GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA Office of Zoning Board of Zoning Adjustment PUBLIC HEARING CASE NO. 19452 Wednesday, March 1, 2017 441 4th Street, N.W. Jerrily R. Kress Memorial Room Second Floor Hearing Room, Suite 220-South Washington, D.C. 20001 OLENDER REPORTING, INC. 1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington Con Dof Con D Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 750 (ASE NO.19452) Toll Free: (888) 445-3376 EXHIBIT NO.207

1 Board Members:

| 2 | FREDERICK HILL, Chairperson |
|----|--|
| 3 | LESLYEE WHITE, Board Member |
| 4 | CARLTON HART, Board Member |
| 5 | ROBERT MILLER, Zoning Commission |
| 6 | CLIFFORD MOY, BZA Secretary |
| 7 | |
| 8 | |
| 9 | Office of Planning |
| 10 | MAXINE BROWN-ROBERTS |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | OLENDER REPORTING, INC. 1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington,D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376 |

| 1 | PROCEEDINGS |
|----|--|
| 2 | MR. MOY: All right, Mr. Chairman, I believe |
| 3 | we're back to the, I guess the trilogy of the shelter |
| 4 | cases. So, the next one would be Application No. |
| 5 | 19452 of D.C. Department of General Services, |
| 6 | advertised and captioned for relief for special |
| 7 | exception under the M rather, MU use requirements, |
| 8 | Subtitle U, Section 420.1F, parking requirements of |
| 9 | Subtitle C, Section 701.5, open court requirements, |
| 10 | Subtitle G, 202.1, lot occupancy requirements, |
| 11 | Subtitle G, Section 404.1, rear yard requirements, |
| 12 | Subtitle G, Section 405.2, variances from loading |
| 13 | requirements, Subtitle C, Section 901.1, floor area |
| 14 | ratio requirements, Subtitle G, 402.1, and the height |
| 15 | requirements of Subtitle G, Section 403.1. This would |
| 16 | allow the addition to an existing building and operate |
| 17 | a short-term family housing facility, MU-4 Zone, 1700 |
| 18 | Rhode Island Avenue Northeast, Square 4134, Lot 800. |
| 19 | CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay, great. Thank you, |
| 20 | Mr. Moy. Let's see now, here. Let's wait until we |
| 21 | all get kind of settled in. Okay. |
| 22 | If you could please introduce yourselves from |
| 23 | my right to left, please? |
| 24 | MR. BROWN: David Brown for the Citizens for |
| 25 | Responsible Options. |
| | OLENDER REPORTING, INC. |

1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington, D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376

1 MR. VANPELT: I'm Dan VanPelt, Principal of 2 the Gorove/Slade Associates.

MR. MOODY: Ryan Moody with Moody Graham4 Landscape Architecture.

5 MS. GILLIS: Greer Gillis, Director, 6 Department of General Services.

MS. ZEILINGER: Laura Zeilinger, Director of
8 Department of Human Services.

9 MS. MAZO: Samantha Mazo, Zoning counsel for 10 the applicant.

MS. MOLDENHAUER: Meredith Moldenhauer, land use counsel for the applicant with the law firm of Griffin, Murphy, Moldenhauer, and Wiggins.

14 MR. YOUNG: Rashad Young, City Administrator15 for the District of Columbia.

MR. McGHEE: Martin McGee, Architect, R.McGhee and Associates.

18 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Ms. Mazo, so I guess 19 are you presenting to us on this case?

20 MS. MAZO: Yes. Yes, I am.

21 MR. TURLEY: If I could interrupt? My name is 22 Matt Turley. I'm a citizen. I was told I could make 23 a request at the beginning, when this case was called, 24 for a rescheduling --

25 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Okay. That's okay.

1 That's okay. Just give me one second. Just you can 2 just have a seat there for one second. Okay?

All right. So, we were going to go in a particular order, but now this gentleman wants to say something so, if you could, just -- you can just pull your chair up right there. Mr. Brown, if you wouldn't just mind sharing your microphone.

8 Sir, have you been sworn in?

9 MR. TURLEY: I was sworn in earlier today.

10 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. So, what would you 11 like to say?

12 MR. TURLEY: Yeah, my name is Matt Turley. I'm a resident of Ward 5. I make it clear, I am not 13 part of the party in opposition. I would request that 14 this case be rescheduled. I have watched throughout 15 the day of witnesses both in support of the City's 16 17 application and in opposition have had to leave due to family and job requirements. So, due to that I'd like 18 19 to request that the hearing be rescheduled with appropriate notice for the community so that the 20 community members can come back and provide, fairly, 21 22 their input.

23 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Actually, Mr. 24 Turley, this is the first time I've ever had anybody 25 do this in this particular way. So, I don't know, is

OLENDER REPORTING, INC. 1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington, D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376

1 that a formal request from someone? I mean, this is 2 somebody just kind of walking up, you know? I don't 3 think this is the way that this goes about, correct? 4 You know?

5 So, Mr. Turley I --

6

MR. TURLEY: I thought I'd try.

7 CHAIRPERSON HILL: That's all right. So, Mr. 8 Turley, I appreciate your comment, but we're going to 9 keep moving forward here. And honestly, as far as 10 fairness goes, we have -- we're doing the best we can. 11 You know, but I do appreciate your comments.

12 MR. TURLEY: Noted. Thanks.

13 CHAIRPERSON HILL: All right? Okay. So, you 14 need to put on your microphone there.

MS. MAZO: A few preliminary matters for the record. First is that Director Zeilinger has already been accepted as an expert in the prior case, 19540. I would ask that the Board again accept her as an expert in this case, 19542.

Also, just a very minor matter, but a sign was posted on the site on February 2nd, long before the sign posting. We believe at some point intermediate, the sign came down. It was reposed on Monday, the And I just wanted to make that clear on the record and just to ask the Board to waive any sign

1 posting requirements or maintenance requirements.

It's very clear from the voluminous record in this case, we have about 190 exhibits now, that there's significant community knowledge of the case and so accordingly, if the sign was taken down at some point, that has not caused prejudice to any resident, and certainly not prejudice to the party in opposition.

9 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. I don't have any 10 problem with the expert testimony. And actually, as 11 far as the waiver goes, although I would prefer 12 obviously as yourself as well, had the sign not fallen 13 down. But I also do believe there's been enough 14 public notice and so I would agree to a waiver unless 15 the Board has any thoughts about that, on that.

16 Okay. Great. So, all right.

MS. MAZO: Councilmember Mendelson is here to speak -- I'm sorry, Chairman Mendelson is here to speak on Ward 5, so we would ask to provide him a few minutes.

21 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Sure. Of course.

22 [Pause.]

23 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Good evening, Chairman24 Mendelson.

25 MR. MENDELSON: Good afternoon. Good

OLENDER REPORTING, INC. 1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington,D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376

1 afternoon.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: I didn't think we were 2 going to be here, to be quite honest. 3 MR. MENDELSON: Well, you would be. 4 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yeah. 5 MR. MENDELSON: I have some copies of my 6 statement for any of the other parties if they want. 7 It's the same statement I gave this morning, but I 8 figured that I should present it again so that if any 9 of the parties want to ask me questions, they can do 10 that. 11 12 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Well, thank you very much for coming back and taking your time. It's very much 13 appreciated by the Board. 14 There is, the way I wrote the 15 MR. MENDELSON: statement was that there is a paragraph that deals 16 with the Ward 3 site, the Ward 5 site, and the Ward 6 17 So, if it's okay with the Board I will review site. 18 the statement as I gave it this morning, but skip the 19 Ward 3 site and talk about the Ward 5 site. 20 CHAIRPERSON HILL: That would be great. Thank 21 22 you. Again, for the record, I'm 23 MR. MENDELSON: Phil Mendelson, Chairman of the Council of the 24 District of Columbia. I was sworn in for the 3:00 25 OLENDER REPORTING, INC. 1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington, D.C.20036 (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Washington: Toll Free: (888) 445-3376

1 one, do I -- I mean, the Ward 3. Do I need to be
2 sworn in again?

CHAIRPERSON HILL: I think it works all day.
MR. MENDELSON: Okay.

5 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Thank you.

6 MR. MENDELSON: Well, I'm willing to accept 7 that.

My purpose in testifying is simple, to explain 8 the council's support for the location of new 9 emergency shelters for homeless families pursuant to 10 D.C. Law 21-141, which is entitled the Homeless 11 12 Shelter Replacement Act of 2016. Early last year 13 Mayor Bowser announced a plan to replace the use of the former D.C. General Hospital as an emergency 14 shelter for homeless families. Her plan called for 15 replacing the 270 units at D.C. General with six 16 17 smaller shelters scattered throughout the city with up to 50 units in each. 18

At the time, and this is important and it's forgotten with the passage of time, there was considerable anxiety that any proposal for new neighborhood shelters would meet stiff opposition, and this was discussed in the council's legislative report, which by the way, I filed with the clerk of the BZA for the record in the Ward 3 case and the Ward

OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington, D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376

1 5 case. The council committee reports, the

2 legislative reports. And there were two with regard 3 to this bill.

In quoting from that report, the council, the current and previous mayors, advocates, stakeholders and District residents from across the city have, since it first opened as a shelter, sought to have D.C. General closed, and a more humane shelter, or system of shelters established for District families experiencing homelessness.

11 Though, in the past, some residents and 12 council members have voiced opposition to the prospect of having shelter facilities in their neighborhoods 13 due to unfounded concerns. Consensus has developed 14 within the council and across the city that sheltering 15 families experiencing homelessness in small, service 16 enriched facilities, in each of the wards, is the best 17 18 approach.

19 Spreading shelters throughout the city also 20 helps to discourage the creation of large 21 concentrations of poverty in just a few wards. And 22 although residents and councilmembers have raised 23 important concerns about various aspects of the plan 24 as proposed in the introduced version of the bill, the 25 committee of the whole agrees that developing smaller,

OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington, D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376

better run shelters throughout the city is the right
 approach. Unquote.

The council disagreed with three of the seven 3 sites proposed by the mayor and disagreed with the 4 economics of the mayor's plan, namely that five of the 5 seven sites would be leased, that the construction of 6 the five leased shelters would be paid for by the 7 District, and that after 15 to 30 years, depending 8 upon the site and the lease therefore, the leases 9 would end and the assets at that time would revert to 10 The city having nothing for its 11 the lessors. 12 investment.

Further, appraisals obtained by the council 13 determined that four of the five leases ranged from 14 slightly above market to extraordinarily above market. 15 The council held a public hearing lasting almost 12 16 hours on March 17th, 2016, over 80 witnesses, over 80 17 citizens registered to testify at the hearing, and 18 subsequently members received suggestions for 19 20 alternative sites.

Approximate a dozen alternative sites were placed on the record for Ward 5. At least three alternative sites were proposed for Ward 3, and there were several alternatives for Ward 6 as well.

On May 17th, the council voted unanimously.

25

OLENDER REPORTING, INC. 1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington, D.C.20036

Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376

1 We're required to vote twice, and that was our first 2 vote. And both votes were unanimous, to direct the 3 mayor to change three of the sites in wards 3, 5, and 4 6, and to change the economic structure of the plan so 5 that all the sites would be owned, not leased, by the 6 city. At the same time the council appropriated \$125 7 million capital budget for the plan.

8 Regarding Ward 5, the council considered a 9 number of suggested locations. The mayor's proposed 10 site was widely criticized and our reasons for 11 rejecting it are detailed in the legislative report 12 accompanying Bill 21-620. And if members of the Board 13 have questions about that, I'm happy to answer them.

Representatives of the Langdon Park Community 14 Association identified alternative locations in a 15 March 8th letter to Mayor Bowser, and these were 16 discussed by several witnesses at the March 17th, 17 public hearing. Ultimately, council members 18 considered two locations the most preferable. 19 One called the Penn Center. The Penn Center building 20 located in Eckington at 326 R Street, and the second 21 22 being a former MPD precinct station located at 1700 Rhode Island Avenue Northeast. 23

For each, site acquisition would be easiest and cheapest, since the properties are already city

OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

owned and there was community support for the Rhode Island site. At final reading on the legislation the council dropped the Penn Center site because we learned that the public library already had plans to use the building as it begins renovation of the Martin Luther King Jr. Library.

7 When all of the factors, all of the factors, 8 including the ones just mentioned are taken together, 9 all of the suggested locations, including the mayor's 10 proposal, were less reasonable than the 17 Rhode 11 Island Avenue Northeast site.

My purpose in testifying today is not to get into the intricacies of the zoning relief being sought, but rather to present the public policy underlying the city's request before you to explain the process behind our decision, and to state the council's support for these sites for emergency shelters. I'm happy to answer any questions.

19 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Thank you, Chairman
20 Mendelson. Does the Board have any questions for the
21 chairman?

22 MR. MILLER: I just, Mr. Chairman, thank you. 23 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Mr. Miller.

MR. MILLER: I just wanted to thank Chairman Mendelson for coming down a second time today, and for

OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

your leadership on this issue, along with Mayor
 Bowser's leadership on this issue, and on so many
 other issues as well.

4 MR. MENDELSON: Thank you.

5 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Does anyone have any other 6 comments or questions for the chairman? All right. 7 Does the party in opposition have any questions for 8 the chairman?

9 MR. BROWN: Just one, Mr. Chairman. Chairman 10 Mendelson, your letter makes reference to a March 8th 11 letter to Mayor Bowser, identifying alternative 12 locations Did that letter identify the Penn Center 13 building and the 1700 Rhode Island Avenue Northeast 14 building as possible alternative locations? 15 MR. MENDELSON: It identified the MPD site at

16 1700 Rhode Island Avenue. I don't believe it 17 mentioned the Penn Center site.

MR. BROWN: Thank you. That's all I have. CHAIRPERSON HILL: All right. Again, in terms of process, is the ANC here? All right. And then also, is there any questions that the applicant might like to ask of the chairman?

MS. MAZO: Sure. Thank you again for being here. Can you confirm that the council endorsed 1700 Rhode Island Avenue and found that no other reasonable

OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington, D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376

1 alternative existed in Ward 5 to meet the project's 2 programmatic needs?

3 MR. MENDELSON: Yes. That was the -- by 4 process of elimination, we felt that there was no 5 reasonable alternative to 1700, and I'm here to say 6 that the counsel supported it because that's what we 7 specified in our legislation.

8 MS. MAZO: No more questions from the 9 applicant.

10 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay, great. All right, 11 well Chairman Mendelson, thanks again for coming down, 12 and appreciate your testimony.

MR. MENDELSON: Sure. And as I said, the statement, I've submitted for the record in all three BZA cases, the committee reports in the two of the three cases. So --

17 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay.

18 MR. MENDELSON: -- thank you all very much. I 19 appreciate it.

20 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Thank you. All right. Ms.21 Mazo.

MS. MAZO: Sure. Just one more very brief procedural issue, which is to just ask Mr. Moy to please swear in some new witnesses that have come that may not have been here at 9:30 and --

1 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Sure.

MS. MAZO: And so --2 CHAIRPERSON HILL: If there's anyone --3 actually, if there's anyone here who plans to give 4 testimony from here on out, and if you haven't been 5 sworn in this morning, if you would please just stand 6 and take the oath administered by the secretary? 7 8 Thank you. Good afternoon. 9 MR. MOY: Wow. [Oath administered to the participants.] 10 11 MR. MOY: Thank you. You may be seated. 12 MS. MAZO: Two other minor procedural issues. The first is that the applicant in this case has 13 submitted the resumes of Ronnie McGhee, as their 14 architectural expert, and Ryan Moody as their 15 landscape architect expert at Exhibit 36C of the 16 17 record, and I would just appreciate the Board's confirmation of acceptance of those individuals as 18 19 experts in those areas as identified. CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yeah, I reviewed those over 20 the weekend and I didn't have any questions or 21 22 concerns as those people being submitted as experts. Does the Board have any thoughts or questions? 23 All 24 right. And, does the opposition have any 25 MS. MAZO: OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

1 questions they want to ask?

CHAIRPERSON HILL: I was looking for Mr. 2 Sorry. Mr. Brown, do you have any thoughts or 3 Brown. objections to those people being submitted as experts? 4 Okay, I'm sorry, you have to turn your 5 microphone on. I apologize. 6 7 MR. BROWN: I wasn't paying attention because I knew I didn't have any objections. 8 9 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. All right. Well, thank you. 10 MS. MAZO: And as well, our traffic 11 12 consultant, Dan VanPelt, is here and his resume is also in the record at Exhibit 30. 13 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Does the Board have any 14 questions or comments for this particular expert on --15 no? 16 MS. WHITE: No, I've reviewed the record and 17 I'm okay with including them as experts. 18 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. All right. Mr. 19 Brown, do you have any concerns about his most recent 20 expert being submitted? 21 22 MR. BROWN: No. CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Thank you. 23 Two other minor issues, just wanted 24 MS. MAZO: to raise to the Board's attention because it's a very 25 OLENDER REPORTING, INC. 1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington, D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376

1 full record that has been, I know, supplemented during 2 the day. But I believe at Exhibit 180 there is a 3 letter from Council Member McDuffie in support of this 4 project.

And then the other procedural issue that I 5 just wanted to raise with the Board and make sure that 6 there was no concern from the party in opposition is 7 that on issues that are addressed in each ward 8 uniformly, such as the guidelines, some issues in 9 regards to the legislation that aren't necessarily 10 ward specific, we would ask the Board's indulgence to 11 12 allow us to rely on testimony from the case 19542, and to be able to refer back to that testimony in the 13 preparation of findings in fact, and in all other 14 matters going forward. 15

As it pertains to ward specific, we will be providing -- we will be providing that to the Board at this time. But in terms of efficiency, we don't think it's worth the Board's time at this time to resubmit kind of all the more general testimony as it pertains to some of the more overarching issues.

22 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yeah, Ms. Mazo, I was 23 hoping you were going to say something like that. So, 24 I also don't have any objection to that and would 25 appreciate, you know, judicial notice in that case, or

OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

1 in those areas.

MS. MAZO: Right. And to be clear, sorry, 2 that's Case 19450. 3 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Oh, okay. 4 MS. MAZO: I think I mis -- I transposed 5 numbers. 6 7 CHAIRPERSON HILL: You misspoke. Okay. MS. MAZO: 8 19450. CHAIRPERSON HILL: Mr. Brown, do you have any 9 objection? 10 11 MR. BROWN: I detect a certain commonality of 12 line of argument that I have no objection to. 13 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Thank you. We are just trying to be efficient. So, but thank you. 14 All right, Ms. Mazo. 15 MS. MAZO: With that we'll start with an 16 17 abbreviated statement by the City Administrator and move through our presentation. 18 I believe timewise, probably 45 minutes should 19 be more than sufficient in light of some of these 20 efficiencies that we're adding in to this process, so 21 22 if you want to give us that much time and hopefully we'll be under. 23 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Under would be well 24 appreciated. 25 OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

1 MS. MAZO: Us too.

2 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Thank you.

MR. YOUNG: Good afternoon, Chairperson Hill, members of the Board of Zoning Adjustment, I am Rashad Young, City Administrator.

I am pleased to be here today to request 6 relief for a special exception and variance from the 7 Board for the development and operation of an 8 emergency shelter in Ward 5, located at 1700 Rhode 9 Island Avenue Northeast, which will help advance the 10 11 shared agenda of Mayor Bowser, the Council of the 12 District of Columbia, and many District residents, advocates, and stakeholders, closing D.C. General, the 13 largest family shelter in the District. 14

I'm joined today by a team of subject matter experts who will each speak to their skill area. Director of the Department of Human Services, Laura Zeilinger, and Director of the Department of General Services, Greer Gillis, the principal architect, and the traffic engineer for this project will also provide testimony.

The proposed Ward 5 shelter that is before you today is on the site of a former police station and will include 46 residential units, a space for on-site wraparound services, and administrative offices and

OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

recreational space for the children, and outdoor space
 of the adult residents.

This proposal to construct a six-story 3 emergency shelter at this site is a critical element 4 of the District's eight-ward initiative to developing 5 a more effective crisis response system. We came to 6 you over the summer to seek relief for the sites in 7 Ward 4, 7, and 8, because you granted us this relief. 8 I'm excited to share that we are on track to begin 9 construction on these sites this summer. 10

11 The site before you today is the site we have 12 selected in Ward 5. For Ward 5 we request the Board grant us variance relief for the requirements 13 regarding height greater than 50 feet, floor area 14 ratio, and loading and special exception relief from 15 lot occupancy, open court, minimum width, rear yard, 16 17 and parking to allow construction of an emergency shelter for more than 25 persons in the MU-4 Zone 18 19 District at the property.

The architect, traffic engineer, and the zoning attorney for the Ward 5 site, will delve into the specifics on the details of the special exception and variance request for this emergency shelter. As a collective, we have worked to achieve minimum, if no substantial detriment to the public good or zone plan,

OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington, D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376

while working to meet the administration's, council's, and District residents' goal of creating an achievable and tangible plan to close D.C. General in the foreseeable future and replace it with smaller, safe, and dignified short-term family housing across all 8 wards.

This is an exciting time. All the pieces are 7 in place. We have a clear plan, we've committed the 8 funds. We have support from the community and we have 9 the political will to make this happen. We have 10 11 begun, and plan to continue an extensive community engagement process. It is critical that the BZA 12 approve the relief we seek today, so that we can move 13 this plan forward to close D.C. General, because our 14 residents deserve better. 15

16 Thank you for the opportunity to speak today. 17 I will now turn to Director Zeilinger to talk about 18 the programmatic aspects of the facility, and I'm 19 happy to take any questions. Thank you.

MS. ZEILINGER: Good afternoon, Chairperson Hill, Members of the Board of Zoning Adjustment, Laura Zeilinger, Director of Department of Human Services. Pleased to be here to request relief from the Board for the short-term family housing program at 1700 Rhode Island Avenue Northeast, which is the same

OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

administrator stated, will help advance our shared
 agenda of closing D.C. General.

I'm here on behalf of my -- to testify on behalf of the Department, as well as an expert on the issue of homelessness and emergency shelter programming.

7 The testimony that I gave earlier about the homelessness in the District of Columbia, the program 8 design, the 8-ward strategy, the what exactly is 9 emergency shelter and the law, the services at each 10 11 program site, the scale of the shelter, and the 12 programmatic needs, as well as the design features all are still stand and relevant. I will jump now to the 13 community engagement portion of this testimony as it 14 relates to Ward 5. 15

Following the D.C. Council legislation determining the D.C. General replacement sites, the Department of Human Services worked in partnership with council members and ANCs to establish membership in the formation and representative community stakeholder bodies, community advisory teams.

The Ward 5 Community Advisory Team began meeting in September of 2016 to ensure the community's voice was represented, beginning with the design phase of the emergency shelter. Each advisor team is co-

OLENDER REPORTING, INC. 1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington, D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376

Toll Free: (888) 445-3376

chaired by the ANC chair, and/or his or her designee,
 along with a representative from the administration.

In Ward 5, Christy Greenwalt, who is the Director of the District's Inter-agency Council on Homelessness, serves as the administration co-chair.

6 Mike Morrison, formerly Commissioner of SMD 7 5B-03, where the site is located, served as the 8 community co-chair until January, when he was replaced 9 in the SMD 5B-03 seat, and the role of co-chair was 10 taken on by Henry Makembe.

11 Additionally, we have representation on the 12 team from ANC 5B, Friends of Rhode Island Avenue, the Brookland Civic Association, Council Member Kenyan 13 McDuffie's office, the Washington Legal Clinic for the 14 Homeless, our Interagency Council on Homelessness, the 15 Children's Playtime Project, Metropolitan Police 16 Department, the Mayor's Office of Community Relations 17 and Services, and the Department of General Services. 18

We talked about the role of advisory teams and community feedback and input on all issues and concerns related to the development of the program, recognizing that each community is unique but would follow the same general process for community input with five core milestones, first being the advisory team formation, then the design presentation and

OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington, D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376

1 input, pre-BZA submission presentation, final

2 designing construction timeline presentation, 3 developing good neighbor agreements.

In Ward 5, over the course of six months and four meetings, we've achieved four of the five milestones, and will continue discussion about the development of good neighbor agreement.

8 I'll note that while there was an engagement 9 by the ANC and we have support in the record from the 10 SMD, that ANC did not take a vote on a resolution as 11 it relates to our application.

12 In addition to the Advisory Team meetings, the administration hosted and participated in approximate 13 six public meetings to share information, respond to 14 community concerns, as well as a neighborhood walk led 15 by the Mayor's office of community relations and 16 services to address community concerns unrelated to 17 the site, but specific to the neighborhood, and 18 smaller meetings providing ANC commissioners an 19 opportunity to engage in one-on-one conversations and 20 have their specific questions answered. 21

The District and its architects have worked diligently to take community feedback into consideration and make additional changes to the design to further integrate and harmonize the new

OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

1 building with the surrounding neighborhood.

For example, although the existing building is neither located in a historic district, nor historically landmarked. The District worked closely with the Historic Preservation office to integrate the unique character of that building into the project design.

In addition, the District has revised the 8 plans to include a brick exterior and a modified top-9 floor exterior designed to better blend with the 10 11 character of the Brookland neighborhood, and to move 12 the entrance of the building to 17th Street Northeast instead of Rhode Island Avenue Northeast. The revised 13 plans also aim to maximize use of available green 14 space as a recreation area for the residents. 15

In our next milestone, with the Community 16 17 Advisory Team is to develop good neighbor agreements, and we have talked at length about what those are. 18 Ι 19 want to repeat that here. In conclusion, the community engagement process in Ward 5 was similarly 20 robust and inclusive. We're excited by the 21 22 opportunity to translate industry standards and human empowerment to practice by proposing building designs 23 that will enable D.C. to support our families and 24 accomplish the city's goals and objectives. 25

OLENDER REPORTING, INC. 1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington,D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376 Thank you for the opportunity to present the project and I ask the Board to grant relief because without the request for relief, we cannot fulfill our goals, and families will unfairly have to endure at D.C. General longer than anticipated. Thank you.

MS. GILLIS: Good afternoon, Chairperson Hill and members and staff of the Board of Zoning Adjustment. I am Greer Gillis, Director of the Department of General Services, or DGS. Thank you for the opportunity to testify today about our efforts to support Mayor Bowser's goal to close the family shelter at D.C. General by 2018.

We are here today before the BZA seeking 13 zoning relief for approval of 1700 Rhode Island Avenue 14 Northeast, as an emergency shelter for more than 25 15 persons. Section U-420.1F identifies conditions for 16 17 approving an emergency shelter use. The BZA may approve more than 25 persons if no other reasonable 18 alternative to meet the program needs of that area of 19 20 the District.

As director of DGS, I can state that no other reasonable alternative is practical to meet the needs of the District.

24 We executed a solicitation for offers process 25 as an open solicitation, so sites were evaluated when

OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington, D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376

1 they were brought forward. All responses to the 2 solicitations were directed to DGS. Upon receipt of 3 the developer's submission the proposals, potential 4 sites were forwarded to DHS for evaluation.

In order to close D.C. General, it is 5 necessary to secure a total of 280 total units. The 6 District sought roughly 12,000 to 30,000 square feet 7 per site, preferably close to public transportation 8 and other services and amenities, and sites that are 9 economically feasible and are able to be developed 10 within the 24 to 30-month timeline. Using these 11 12 criteria DHS evaluated the site qualifications for each potential site submitted. 13

In Ward 5 we received two proposals with only one site, 2266 25th Place Northeast, to be of adequate size and within close proximity to public transportation, and having the capacity to satisfy the program requirements. I will also note that both proposals received are noted in my testimony.

Upon the ward, the architects attended biweekly design meetings with both DGS and DHS representatives to develop test fit layouts and concept drawings. As the designs progressed, we held community meetings to gather input regarding the current design direction.

OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

In a letter from Ward 5 Councilman Kenyan McDuffie, the community submitted a list of nine sites they believe to be viable options for the Ward 5 emergency shelter location, an evaluation of each of the proposed sites was completed. Also, note that all nine sites are listed in my testimony today.

7 The council legislation, last June 13th, for 8 Bill 21-0620, designation of new sites required 9 efforts to solicit, evaluate, and award new teams of 10 architects. In October, 2016, R. McGhee and 11 Associates was awarded a contract for architectural 12 and engineering services, leading the efforts for the 13 Ward 5 site.

As we move into the development process, as 14 the team reached significant milestones, we integrated 15 them within the advisory team and design overview 16 meetings with the Ward 5 residents. This allowed us 17 to gather immediate feedback and to incorporate 18 suggestions made by the community. The proposed 19 design showcases the existing building and the design 20 is based on input from the community and the advisory 21 22 team.

The District has and will continue to meet with members of the community through design and construction that will also provide monthly updates.

OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

To date, we have held over a dozen meetings which include the ANC, councilmembers, district leaders, as well as several stakeholders and advocacy groups. We also conducted community walkthroughs to understand the needs of the neighborhood as a whole.

6 Continuous dialog between the District and the 7 ANC is ongoing. We look forward to continue future 8 meetings which result in a harmonious outcome for both 9 the short-term family housing residents and the 10 surrounding community.

11 In conclusion, on behalf of the mayor, I thank 12 you for the opportunity to testify today. We are ready, willing, and eager to close D.C. General and 13 deliver smaller, dignified, and safe community-based 14 shelters for the city's most vulnerable population. 15 We appreciate your time and consideration, and I am 16 17 now available to answer any questions at the end of our presentation. 18

19 Mr.

Mr. McGhee.

MR. McGHEE: Good evening, Chairman Hill and board members, staff, and distinguished guests. My name is Ryan McGhee, Principal of R. McGhee and Associates Architects.

I know my credentials are listed in the record, but I wanted to add the fact that I spent six

years on D.C. Historic Preservation Review Board. I
 was on the Board of the National Trust for Historic
 Preservation, and I was on the Board of D.C.
 Preservation League, in addition to being listed as a
 National Park Service Historic Architect.

I've completed numerous DGS projects with similar problems, such as an existing building on the site, or a historic building on the site, and did -we had to perform adaptive reuse or repairs or restoration to the building, and add a new building to those structures. It's a similar type project that we've done in the past.

So, this site is rather unique and unusual. 13 It has a -- it faces on Rhode Island Avenue. It has a 14 broad street across from 130 feet across Rhode Island 15 The residential street is unusually broad 16 Avenue. too, 90-feet right of way. The nearest house is about 17 110 feet from the front of the building, existing 18 building. There's a building -- there's another house 19 about 50 feet or 60 feet from the northern border of 20 the site. So, there are residential two-story 21 22 structures around it, but basically the building faces an alley that has an auto structure, auto dealership 23 behind it, so there's a commercial structure behind 24 And across the street there are commercial 25 it.

OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

1 structures also.

2 So, the -- it sits on a mainly two and a half 3 story residential community, but actually, the 4 building itself is about 40 feet tall, about three and 5 a half stories tall. So.

The site has unusual site constraints. It's a 6 unique corner location, a significant 20-foot setback 7 on public space areas, 25 feet on the 17th Street 8 side, 20 feet on the Rhode Island Avenue side. It has 9 the main building, it has a former police station on 10 11 the site. And if you notice, there's a garage at the 12 rear that was for vehicle repair maintenance on the 13 site.

The other unique element on the site, it has a control building on the upper right-hand corner of the site, and a 10 or 150-foot Office of Unified Communication antenna for Verizon and the Office of Unified Communication antenna on the site. Both of which we are trying to retain on the site.

The only element that will be removed on the site is the rear garage, the one-story garage in the back. And we've coordinated our work for Historic Preservation to make that -- to make that attempt. The building is not a historic building. It is not in an historic district. However, we're treating it with

OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington, D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376

National Park Service standards when we repair the
 exterior, restore the elements on the exterior of the
 building. Although, we are adaptively using the
 inside, which has already been adapted, I believe, or
 used, several times over its lifespan.

If you look at the next slide there is an 6 existing condition drawing there that shows the 7 locations of buildings. Shows the -- thank you, 8 Samantha. Shows the antenna. It also shows the four-9 story condo building to the north that's right on our 10 property line, and its courtyard is also right on our 11 12 property line, which assumes that anything built matter-of-right would potentially close their court. 13

The existing building extends over the property line on Rhode Island side corner of the building. And as you can see, there's a -- it's a deep setbacks on all the corners to the building, gives the building some stature on the site, since it was a community police station. So.

But we think the confluence of all these constraints on the site create exceptional conditions to create a building on the site. And we've tried to accomplish that within the strict programmatic requirements that DHS has given us.

25 So, to further look at the photographs of the OLENDER REPORTING, INC. 1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington, D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376

site, there are -- you can see the main building. 1 That's the building we will retain and the facade we 2 retained. You can see a little corner back there that 3 shows the rear of the building, and the antenna right 4 That slides shows, also, the 5 there on the antenna. four-story building before it was skinned. It's about 6 a 45 to 50-foot tall building, approximately. And you 7 can see the other -- let's see. 8

9 The next slide. Okay, the bottom slide there 10 shows the -- the bottom left-hand slide shows the 11 automobile tire dealership there. And the right 12 bottom slide shows the two-story structures that are 13 on the street across 17th Street, and adjacent to the 14 site beyond the apartment building, on the condo 15 building. So, that's the context of the site.

As you move around the site, photographically, there is a -- see the plan? Go back one. Thank you. 0kay.

19 So, this is the overall site. You can see 20 there are a number of -- it's basically a residential 21 community. Our building is on the edge of the site as 22 are similar, similar other apartment buildings on the 23 site. They are all large apartment buildings in this 24 region, four and five-story buildings are facing Rhode 25 Island Avenue. The edge, or the corner of the

OLENDER REPORTING, INC. 1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington,D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376 buildings face Rhode Island Avenue since Rhode Island
 Avenue diagonally crosses east/west here.

So, our site is in the same position so we 3 felt that an apartment building on this corner is not 4 out of the ordinary here, and we look -- we took the 5 context, if you could show that one slide right here. 6 The building all the way down the end, closer -- put 7 it down, we can show next slide, we showed this -- all 8 the way down the corner on the left side there, that 9 building --10

11 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Mr. McGhee, when you just 12 turn around, just make sure you speak into the 13 microphone.

MR. McGHEE: Okay. I'll do that, then so.
CHAIRPERSON HILL: It's difficult to hear you.
Sorry.

So, the bottom left-hand of the 17 MR. McGHEE: black and white plan drawings shows a building there. 18 That's a five and a half story apartment building 19 which is next to a four-story building, which is next 20 to two-story houses, which is, when I walked around 21 22 this site, I look at that as the context for what we'd be working with in terms of putting a larger building 23 next to smaller buildings. 24

25 So, it seemed to comfortably fit the site.

It's been there for many years. There's a heavier
 brick building and that's our community engagement
 what people cited in our instructions from the public.
 So, next slide.

All right. So, there are a number of other 5 photos of apartment buildings. You can see there are 6 brick buildings. They face Rhode Island Avenue. 7 Typically, they have fairly formal facades and entry 8 points. Our building, we felt after discussion with 9 HPO, and neighborhood, we thought that the 17th Street 10 11 side is the entrance to the building, would be our 12 primary residential side. Although, our main -- the main façade of the existing building still would face 13 Rhode Island Avenue and we have a part of our building 14 that faces that on the flat relationship to Rhode 15 Island Avenue also. That was advised to us by HPO, 16 Historic Preservation Office. 17 So.

All right. So, let's see. So, key elements 18 19 of our project are that we are taking the existing control building. If you look at the elevations here, 20 this is a finished elevation of how the building will 21 It's sitting comfortably behind the three-story 22 work. building. It's set back from the back rear of the 23 building, and each face is pulled in as per Historic 24 Preservations observations, so that the coining on the 25

OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington, D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376

1 building is visible, the actual details of the 2 existing building will be visible all around the 3 building.

We also pull it back from the four-story 4 5 apartment building on the north to create an areaway which our landscape architect will get in more detail. 6 A sort of restive area right outside of our dining 7 hall on the first floor. So, we also, you'll see the 8 150-foot tower and its location, which is right next 9 to the existing condo, the recently built condo, and 10 11 will also be next to our building.

12 As part of our work, we've moved the array of 13 the -- we plan to move the array of the tower up 15 feet to make sure it's completely above our building, 14 so that there's no chance of any problems with the 15 safety of the building. Now, we've had a study done, 16 17 which can be made part of the record, I'm assuming, that shows that the amount of emissions on there is 18 There are no emissions on the ground level, 19 slight. which we checked out, existing right now. 20 So, we think this is a safe location for that tower to 21 22 remain.

23 So, but the comments made in the public about 24 the generators and all the equipment on top of the 25 one-story building, we're going to take all of that

OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington, D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376

off that one-story building, install that in the 1 basement of our structure, so that all that will be 2 left is that one story brick structure that's on the 3 site, and our building will actually cover that, so 4 when you walk up to the site as finished product, all 5 you will see is our building, the existing building, 6 and the antenna. You won't see any of the one-story 7 building on the site. Access will be to our building 8 on the alley side. 9

So, our building also is required to be LEED 10 Gold. 11 So, that also relates to what can be produced 12 in terms of fumes from the building, the light, the dark sky aspect of the building has to be controlled 13 so that we're trying to be a good neighbor to the 14 community in all aspects, and LEED Gold will require 15 us to do a lot of work in terms of storm water 16 17 management, and also making this building have as light a footprint energy wise and physically to the 18 neighbors and the neighborhood. 19

So, our building will have no access from Rhode Island Avenue so that any interior work, as you see in the courtyard there, probably the bottom righthand corner, there's a courtyard there, but that's really for children to play in, for seating, so that they can come out and play. There will be a fence

OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington, D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376

there that separates them from the community, so 1 they'll go back inside the building and come out on 2 17th Street. So, there's really no access and egress 3 on to Rhode Island Avenue from the interior of the 4 building. So, the idea is that, again, presenting a 5 face to the community that will be a controlled fence, 6 and we've gone through extensive discussions with the 7 community about what type of fence and edge of the 8 building should be there. 9

Also, we have our loading from the alley in 10 11 the rear, which is where the loading for the police 12 station also was. It runs from Rhode Island Avenue, all the way through the site, and ends up on Hamlin 13 In our building, it is actually 18 or 19 feet 14 Street. wide, and it narrows down to 12 feet when it gets to 15 Hamlin, so we have three parking spaces and one 16 17 loading area. Not a formal loading berth. But we feel that trucks can -- the vans can come in and pull 18 in and pull out with reasonable ease, and continue on 19 their way our Hamlin or Rhode Island, depending on how 20 they ingress. 21

We also have, we think that in our design efforts, which we'll go over the plans in a minute, the idea of creating the edges to the site that are controlled landscape wise, which our landscape

OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington, D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376

architect will talk to in more detail, is the idea of giving the building a buffer to the surrounding site. So, it is set back significantly from the sidewalk area. And we're going to restore that sidewalk and put planting and new trees back on the site, which again, we'll discuss in a minute.

But, if you can go to the -- do they see that? 7 That's the landscape design, which we'll discuss in 8 more detail. But the plans, this is the existing plan 9 and the new basement. So, what happens is, there's a 10 11 full basement in the existing building, which we'll 12 utilize for most of our mechanical equipment. We're going to add a small basement under the new building 13 that also will contain the equipment needed to run the 14 antenna tower. 15 So.

Our loading on the first floor will be from 16 the alley on the right side of there. You can see 17 that, and our trash comes out in a trash chute right 18 near that so it doesn't pass through the building. 19 Our main entry to the building puts our services, our 20 wrap-around services on the first floor as required by 21 22 DHS. So, there are no -- there's no housing, there's no residential units on the first floor. 23

The green, it will stay. So, our green space there, we have green space facing the 17th Street

OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington, D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376

side, and the Rhode Island Avenue side, and so we 1 tried to maximize the amount of green space. So, part 2 of our building overhangs these areas. So, our lot 3 occupancy is actually higher because we overhang these 4 But on the ground plan we've created 5 areas. additional green space. So, we're trying to reduce 6 any adverse effects the building might have on the 7 community. But our program requires us to have some 8 specific requirements. 9

So, we have a monitoring station has to see 10 11 every unit, every door opening on the floor at all 12 times. So, this gentleman has to be able to see through the interior of the space. So, all the red 13 areas you see are basically going to have edges and 14 glass. So, if he's sitting there in the monitoring 15 space, he can look forward or backward and see the 16 17 whole space inside the building. So, that it creates a larger interior court. A typical apartment building 18 might have a double-loaded corridor, single -- six or 19 eight-foot corridor, with the units on both sides. 20

In this case, that's not possible because we have to have this interior series of elements and amenities on each floor. So, each floor has a study area, has a commons, has laundry areas and other common spaces that allow the resident to feel a sense

OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington, D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376 1 of community and sense of safety and get to know the 2 other residents on the site.

So, each floor is sort of restricted in travel 3 once you go to the 7th floor, you're not -- the 6th 4 You're not allowed to go to the next floor. 5 floor. You had to -- you have to go down to the first floor. 6 So, the idea is to create the sense of community but 7 also not have -- you have strangers on each floor. 8 So, I did -- this creates some significant issues for 9 plan layout. 10

11 So, we think we've -- in many tests for 12 attempts with DHS, we've worked out a plan that meets 13 their requirements. We have 11 units that utilize the 14 existing building on two floors. The rest of the 15 floors have eight residents on each floor, for a total 16 of 46. So, let's see. What else? Anything else?

You want to look at the shading studies? Or, actually, we can look at the -- the one other -- the elevations. The elevations are brick and glass, and they're actually metal frame glass. The ground floor is going to be glass where we have a dining area and have some staff and service and office areas.

The upper floor is a mansard standing-seam roof, which was, the community asked for us to kind of install on the site, based on some other buildings

OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington, D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376

around the site. We have that small mansard on top of 1 the roof. But you can notice in the sections -- so 2 the sections -- one of the practical difficulties on 3 the site is that the existing building is three-feet 4 above grade. And the first floor is 13 foot, the 5 second floor is 12 foot, and the next floor is 11 6 foot. So, we, in matching those floor heights, it 7 pushes our building taller. We don't have the girth 8 inside to have ramps and other elements inside, so 9 we're trying to comfortably match the existing 10 building's heights, maintain the floorplate, not do 11 12 major demolition on the site, which allows us to meet the Historic Preservation guidelines. 13

All right. So, one of them, we have a green area ratio of 30 percent. We meet that by about 800 square feet of pervious area on the ground floor, and 3,000 square feet of green roof. So, we do meet the green area ratio. So, any other issues?

19All right. So, I think I'll turn it over20to --

21 Okay. That's the shades. I forgot, the 22 shading studies. Okay, the shading studies.

Now, the shading studies, we did extensive shading studies of this building. I think the biggest test of this building is not the shadows that it

OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington, D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376

creates itself, but the shadows that a matter-of-right 1 building might create if built on the site. And so, 2 we have the existing -- in your package, you might see 3 the existing building shadows, which do produce some 4 significant shadows as a 30 plus foot tall building, 5 40-foot tall building. But as you see, the matter-of-6 right building also casts shadows on almost all the 7 same locations as our building. And the extent of the 8 shadows are almost the same. So, we don't think that 9 the shadows created by our building are significantly 10 11 different than a matter-of-right structure would 12 cause.

And the apartment building to the north, even though we're giving them a 10-foot area way between the two to create some open space and some light for our building, it is in shadow most of the year due to a matter-of-right building.

Now, our building actually creates a few more opportunities for sunlight to reach that courtyard and that building. However, the idea is that the matterof-right structure as shown, just basically creates the same shadow on that building as our building does. So.

24 [Discussion off the record.]

25 MR. McGHEE: Right. So, our shadow studies,

OLENDER REPORTING, INC. 1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington,D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376

without going through every one, is all four different times of the year, different times, three times, three different times of each day. So, the typical greater shadows are in early morning, and again the matter-ofright building would create similar shadows.

6 So, I think Ryan can talk more about the 7 landscape design and how we tried to integrate the 8 building better into the community and take Moody 9 Graham.

MR. MOODY: Good afternoon, Chairperson Hill and members of the Board. I appreciate the opportunity to speak about the landscape today for a few minutes, and answer any questions at the end of the presentation.

The Ward 5 -- oh, my name is Ryan Moody with, a principal with Moody Graham Landscape Architecture.

17 The Ward 5 family shelter landscape is designed to engage the neighborhood with public space 18 gardens, reduce the stress of temporary housing with 19 accessible play and planted areas, protect site 20 resources, and embrace the historically significant 21 22 existing architecture. Garden planting in public space and carefully articulated screen, fences, and 23 walls functions as mediators between the harsh 24 vehicular traffic of Rhode Island Avenue Northeast, 25

OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington, D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376 1 and the intimate courtyards of the family shelter.

By removing existing overgrown shrubs and the chain-link fence along Rhode Island Avenue Northeast, the plan will open views to the existing building and allow for pedestrian friendly gardens adjacent to the public sidewalk.

A new lawn will define the area in front and 7 to the west side of the historic building, and further 8 emphasize the front façade of the existing structure. 9 Since the building will largely be experienced at the 10 11 landscape level by pedestrians and vehicles, we see an 12 opportunity to improve the existing conditions and build something of value to the many who pass by on 13 Rhode Island Avenue. 14

Homelessness is stressful. Gardens reduce 15 These facts underscore the importance of 16 stress. providing areas of play, relaxation, and healing 17 within the family shelter gardens, using pocket 18 planting, everyreen hedges, and carefully situated 19 small trees, the current design provides visual and 20 physical access to plants in each of the outdoor 21 22 areas. Flexible play areas and opportunities for including elements such as a fountain, a color feature 23 wall, hanging sculpture, and a ceiling mural are 24 incorporated with the goal of reducing stress for 25

OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington, D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376

1 families.

Two large trees have significant prominence on the site. A large oak tree on 17th Street and a large spruce tree near the corner of Rhode Island Avenue and 17th Street are both valuable assets to the project and will be protected. Opportunities to plant street trees at multiple locations around the site can further enhance the site canopy.

So, the -- if we can go back just two slides 9 to the site plan, just to briefly walk through the 10 11 plan. As Ronnie mentioned, the entry is along 17th 12 Avenue. And the concept there is to add two new street trees and enter through a garden. The lighter 13 green in front of the existing building is proposed 14 new lawn. So, removing the existing chain link fence 15 that hugs the sidewalk, plant new lawn, remove the 16 17 overgrown shrubs, and really showcase the historic architecture. The darker green is perineal planting 18 19 garden spaces adjacent to the new courtyard along Rhode Island Avenue. 20

As we get into the building, then there are two major play spaces. The courtyard along Rhode Island Avenue, and then a toddler area to the Northwest corner of the site, and then an adult or teen relaxation area between the proposed addition and

OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington, D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376 1 the existing architecture to the north.

2 So, the orange areas here are a current public 3 space areas that we are proposing new perineal 4 planting with low trees and shrubs. So, once again, 5 as a pedestrian walking by on Rhode Island or entering 6 the building on 17th Street, walking past a garden, 7 walking through a garden to come to your home.

8 The fencing along Rhode Island Avenue will be 9 along the property line, set back about 20 feet from 10 the sidewalk. The proposed elements here would be a 11 short brick retaining wall with a metal or other type 12 of screen on top of that. So, providing some 13 transparency through the garden, but also providing 14 the security and privacy needed for the play areas.

We should note that there is a curb cut along 16 17th Street, highlighted in yellow in this slide 17 that's going to be closed.

Sorry, one more slide. So, this is just 18 looking at, once again, the fencing along Rhode 19 Island, a short retaining wall, some type of metal 20 screen fencing, and then privacy wood fence along the 21 22 north and west perimeter of the project. And once again, thinking about not just the experience of the 23 pedestrians, and the experience of kids in these 24 garden spaces, but also the experience of being in a 25

OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington, D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376

1 building and looking out on to a garden space.

2 So, I appreciate the opportunity to answer any 3 questions the Board might have at the end of the 4 presentation.

MR. VANPELT: Good evening, members of the 5 I'm a principal with I'm Dan VanPelt. 6 Board. Gorove/Slade Associates. We performed the 7 transportation review, working with the project team, 8 and we've been coordinating with DDOT. I'm going to 9 briefly touch on the transportation related highlights 10 of the Homeward D.C. Initiative Ward 5 Short-term 11 12 Family Housing Development.

The site is located on the 1700 block of Rhode 13 Island Avenue Northeast, between 17th and 18th 14 There are several Metro bus lines nearby, 15 Streets. with the nearest Metro rail station, Rhode Island 16 Avenue, about a mile from the site. There's seven bus 17 lines that connect to the Metro rail station where 18 The nearest bus stops are there's redline service. 19 across the street, serving the 82, 83, 86, the T-14 20 and the T-18 routes with the E-2 Route one block away 21 22 on 18th Street. The B8, the B9, and the H6 routes are one block away on Franklin Street. 23

The nearest Capital Bikeshare station is one block away, just south of the intersection of Rhode

OLENDER REPORTING, INC. 1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington,D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376 Island Avenue and 18th Street. There are also car sharing opportunities nearby, and walk score gives the location a designation as very walkable. There's good transit, it's bikeable. There's access to several transportation options and services are available on foot or on bike.

7 And the transportation plan takes advantage of 8 the transportation amenities and the proposed 9 transportation demand management plan will incentivize 10 the non-auto modes.

11 A transportation statement was scoped with 12 DDOT and performed for this development. The site will include 46 rooms for families, and approximately 13 150 beds. Information was provided by the District 14 Department of General Services, and the District 15 Department of Human Services, and it tells us the 16 number of residents that own vehicles is extremely 17 limited. Residents are expected to primarily take 18 transit or walk, or to be shuttled to the site. 19

Information was also provided regarding staffing levels and shifts. Ten staff will be onsite at all times at this location with 22 to 26 staff being present at peak staffing times, depending on the programming that's being offered at the time.

The majority of staff are expected to drive.

OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

25

1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington, D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376

However, the relatively small number of new vehicle 1 trips will not have an adverse impact on the 2 surrounding network. There's also the capacity of 3 other modes to accommodate the new non-auto trips. 4 We scoped the transportation site with DDOT and we are 5 pleased to have their support for the project. The 6 staff report states no objection and no conditions 7 from DDOT's review. 8

9 Under current zoning, the parking requirements 10 for the emergency shelter is 22 parking spaces, as Mr. 11 McGhee said earlier, there's three parking spaces 12 provided onsite at this location. As the site 13 constraints made more parking on the site infeasible.

Since not all staff was expected to be 14 accommodated on site, we reviewed the status of on-15 street parking in the vicinity. We looked at almost 16 17 400 on-street spaces within a couple blocks of the site and found that in the evening when neighboring 18 residents are returning home, about two-thirds of the 19 parking in the vicinity was available. We found 20 there's adequate parking available to accommodate the 21 22 new demand from the staff of the site that will need to park on-street without having adverse impact. 23 Much of the available street parking was observed to be 24 within a block of the site. 25

OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington, D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376

The project will include a transportation 1 2 demand management plan, a TDM plan. This plan was developed in consultation with the applicant and 3 tailoring a way to best suit the residential tenants 4 and the staff to take advantage of the non-auto 5 options afforded this location. It includes a TDM 6 coordinator, a marketing program, bicycle parking, and 7 transit subsidies for residents. 8

9 As Mr. McGhee said earlier, most loading will 10 occur from the alley, due to site constraints. 11 There's one 19-foot service delivery space that's 12 being provided, food and supplies. Deliveries will be 13 accommodated in this space and trash pick-up will be 14 accommodated in the alley.

For other loading activities, there is a 30foot -- we're proposing a 30-foot no parking zone on 17 17th Street in front of the street where a curb cut 18 currently exists. We'll turn that into an entrance 19 zone.

To address any concerns about truck activity, a loading management plan was developed and the plan will appoint a member of staff to coordinate all the loading activities. I appreciate you listening to my testimony and I'd be happy to answer your questions. I will now just take the remainder of our time

OLENDER REPORTING, INC. 1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington, D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376

to very briefly walk the Board through the relief that's needed for this project. As indicated in our filings the variance relief is required for the height, for the FAR, and for loading. Special exception relief is required for the emergency shelter use, the parking, lot occupancy, the open court width, and the rear yard.

As has been detailed before previously in the 8 prior case, this case, like the other shelter cases 9 are entitled to the public service, so to the more 10 flexible public service standard. 11 The D.C. Court of 12 Appeals has consistently applied this standard. The similar case is Monaco v. the D.C. Board of Zoning 13 Adjustment. 14

In analyzing the need for variance relief in 15 that case, said, "While a commercial use before the 16 17 BZA may not be able to establish uniqueness in a particular way, we consider, and we say expressly, 18 that the BZA may be more flexibility when it assesses 19 a nonprofit organization which is well established 20 element of our government system. The Monaco Court 21 22 also explicitly stated that public need is an important factor in granting or denying a variance. 23 The Monaco decision has been adopted, of 24 course, by other cases at the Court of Appeals, 25

OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington, D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376 National Black Child Development Institute is one of
 them, as well as *Drowd v. BZA*.

Important to this Board, of course, is the 3 fact that this particular more flexibility public 4 service standard has been applied in numerous BZA 5 Most pointedly, BZA Case 18240, the District 6 cases. of Columbia Public Library case. In that case, it was 7 an area variance case. And in that situation the 8 Board concluded that the programmatic requirements of 9 the library constituted an institutional need that 10 contributed to the exceptional situation facing the 11 12 subject property.

In our case, there is a very strict variance 13 test, which is a three-prong test, demonstrate 14 exceptional condition, practical difficulty, and no 15 substantial detriment to the public good. Our case as 16 defined and discussed by Mr. McGhee, the property is 17 exceptional due to a confluence of factors. Of 18 course, it's a unique corner lot. It has significant 19 public space along Rhode Island Avenue and 17th 20 Street. It's a former police station built in 1923, 21 22 with a taller floor to ceiling height.

HPO has directed that a significant portion of the site -- of the existing structure be retained, despite the fact that it is not in a historic district

OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington, D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376

and is not landmarked. So, there is a historicity
 aspect applied to this particular property.

Also in addition, there is the existing 150-3 foot tall communications antenna, and an approximately 4 360-foot equipment building that cannot be removed. 5 And adding on top of that, as is directed by the Court 6 in the Monaco case, we also have the emergency shelter 7 These do combine to create an 8 programmatic needs. exceptional situation or condition as determined by 9 the Office of Planning. 10

There is express practical difficulty without 11 12 the zoning relief that's required. And certainly as in terms of height and FAR. In particular, the corner 13 lot pushes the building to the side and the rear lot. 14 Retention of the former police building and the 15 antenna also creates a practical difficulty to the 16 17 extent that it has -- there are already structures on the building that have to be retained, and the 18 inability to construct over the police station is a 19 clear practical difficulty that results directly from 20 the exceptional conditions on the property. 21

Also, as well as has been discussed by Director Zeilinger and many others, there are specific programmatic guidelines. One of which of course is the legislation that requires up to 50 units on this

OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington, D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376

particular site, that the number of units on each floor is approximate 10, and that there must be space dedicated to on-site wrap-around services, as well as other space dedicated to private and to semi-private bathrooms.

6 There is no substantial detriment to the 7 public good. In this case, the applicant worked very 8 closely with HPO, as well as certain staff at CFA to 9 retain a certain significant fortune -- portion of the 10 former police station, that the design of the building 11 was designed in order to retain that and retain those 12 viewsheds.

13 The height and the bulk of the project is 14 similar to other apartment houses along Rhode Island 15 Avenue as Mr. McGhee explained, and it will not cause 16 a substantially adverse impact to light or air on the 17 adjacent uses.

Also, it's important to note that most of the project's height and bulk will be set back from the 17th Street residences, due to the existence and the continued height of the former police station.

Next, the special exception standards. Clearly the Board is well aware of those, and the fact that the Courts have found that special exception relief is presumed to be appropriate, reasonable, and

OLENDER REPORTING, INC. 1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington,D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376

compatible with other uses in the same zoning class 1 certification provided the specific requirements for 2 the relief are met, as is here. Harmonious with the 3 purpose and intent of the zoning regulations. And one 4 thing, of course, to note is that this property is 5 indeed and satisfies the emergency shelter use 6 requirement as has been testified to by Director 7 Zeilinger. Accordingly, the use and this particular 8 size is permitted by approval of a special exception. 9

In addition, obviously, it helps to make the 10 11 District's goal of making homelessness rare, brief, 12 and nonrecurring. Lot occupancy court and rear yard are all permitted as special exceptions because 13 they're in furtherance of the comprehensive goal, the 14 Comprehensive Plan's goals, and additional -- one 15 thing also to note is that additional density and lot 16 17 occupancy would be permitted on the project. It was built as an apartment house with Inclusionary Zoning 18 units in the MU-4. 19

There's also no adverse effects on neighbors, as has been discussed, that the project itself has been designed with the community well in mind. There's been a significant community outreach, and in retaining portions of the historic structure, that also helps to preserve the light and air that's

OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington, D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376

available to the residences along 17th Street. And as to the newly constructed matter-of-right 40, almost 50-foot building to the rear of the condo building, our sun studies have demonstrated that any matter-ofright structure on our property, and indeed most likely the existing structure would cast shade on that structure.

8 So, in light of the above, we're happy to turn 9 over to questions.

MS. MOLDENHAUER: Just to add one legal point. 10 11 We understand that opposition is arguing that the 12 city's prior notice that there would be need for zoning relief in this case, would be somehow a bar 13 should be considered as a factor. Even if it is 14 considered as a factor, I just point the Board to the 15 Association to Preserve the 1700 Block of N Street, v. 16 17 the Board of Zoning Adjustment, which is a Court of That was a case where the YMCA, another Appeals case. 18 public service type of use, was acquiring property at 19 the corner of 1700 and N Street in Southern DuPont 20 Circle area, where they knew at the time that they 21 22 purchased the building that they would most likely need relief for the programmatic and institutional 23 needs of a swimming pool. 24

And so, they were asking for parking relief

OLENDER REPORTING, INC. 1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington, D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376

because they needed to provide that on their site. Ι 1 would say that that is a similar analogy here, and 2 that obviously this is an -- there was no acquisition 3 on in regards to Decarte, in regards to the City did 4 not acquire the site. The site has been in the city's 5 inventory, and that there is a programmatic 6 requirement for them to modify this site so that it 7 can be utilized for the goals, as you've articulated 8 on the record. 9 Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay, great. Thank you. 10 All right. 11 What I'm going to do again, if the Board 12 is comfortable with this, going to go ahead and turn to the applicant and have them have an opportunity to 13 cross before we get to our own questions. 14

So, Mr. Brown, if you -- yeah, the opposition, 15 I'll get the name right. Yeah. sorry. Mr. Brown. 16 17 Did I say -- the opposition, sorry. I don't -- in any case, Mr. Brown, if you could go ahead. 18

Do you know how much time you might need for 19 cross-examination? I'm just trying to keep a clock 20 moving. 21

22 MR. BROWN: Less time than they took. CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Well, I mean, you're 23 going to have 45 minutes also during your time to

present. So, I'll go ahead and put 15 minutes up 25

24

OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington, D.C.20036 (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Washington: Toll Free: (888) 445-3376

1 there. Is that okay?

2

MR. BROWN: All right.

3 CHAIRPERSON HILL: All right. I lost my 4 secretary, so I'm going to keep track myself. Okay. 5 Go ahead.

6 MR. BROWN: I'll start with Mr. McGhee. Mr. 7 McGhee, I'm looking at your sun studies, which came to 8 me on Monday in a submission from counsel. The issue 9 date on these sun studies is February 14th of this 10 year. Is that when you completed them?

MR. McGHEE: No, we've updated the studies as of about two weeks ago. That was the latest one we did. I'm not sure what -- what's that you have in front of you, actually?

MR. BROWN: I have the set that was submitted as part of the applicant's response to our prehearing statement on February 27th, I believe.

MR. McGHEE: The set you have was the set that we sent to the law firm, which is the most recent set. So, it may be dated wrong on that set, so.

21 MR. BROWN: Is there an earlier set in the 22 record?

MR. McGHEE: I think there is an earlier set in the record. I think it had a couple of the -- it had an error in one of the shadows and one of the

OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington, D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376

1 dates, I think, so we replaced it.

2 MR. BROWN: All right. Well, I'd like you to turn to the sun study pages. Or you can bring them up 3 on the screen if you want, but I have a couple of 4 questions for you about them. 5 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yeah, that would be helpful 6 if you could pull it up on the screen. 7 MR. McGHEE: Which date are we talking about? 8 I'm talking about -- well, June 9 MR. BROWN: 22nd will be fine. 10 11 MR. McGHEE: Okay. This is of the proposed? 12 MR. BROWN: There are three pages for June Existing sun study, matter-of-right sun study, 13 22nd. and proposed building sun study. Are you with me? 14 MR. McGHEE: 15 Yes. MR. BROWN: All right. Let's go to the 16 17 proposed building sun study, and in each one of the diagrams, 9:00 a.m., 12:00 p.m., and 3:00 p.m., we see 18 from the aerial view, an aerial view of the footprint 19 of the proposed building, correct? 20 MR. McGHEE: 21 Yes. 22 MR. BROWN: Turning back to the matter-ofright sun study, we see an aerial photograph of a 23 proposed matter-of-right building that appears to me 24 to look at least from the air, to be essentially the 25 OLENDER REPORTING, INC. 1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington, D.C.20036 (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Washington:

Toll Free: (888) 445-3376

1 same footprint as the proposed building. Is that
2 correct?

MR. McGHEE: It's similar. I mean, it's a 50-4 foot building.

5 MR. BROWN: I'm talking about how it looks 6 from the sky. The footprint of the building.

MR. McGHEE: No, you're saying -- well, you're saying the conjectural building we created to be a matter-of-right building?

10 MR. BROWN: Yes.

MR. McGHEE: It's the same. It's basically a mass at 50-feet tall. So, it's not really -- I think it's --

MR. BROWN: Listen carefully to my question, Mr. McGhee. The question is, is it the same footprint as on the --

17 MR. McGHEE: No, it is not.

18 MR. BROWN: What is the difference in the 19 footprint?

20 MR. McGHEE: It is not matching our footprint 21 exactly. It's just, again, the mass of the square 22 footage that was in the building that we've proposed, 23 but at 50 feet, at 50 feet tall. So, we did not match 24 the square foot -- the actual footprint. It is the 25 height, though, and the face -- it faces 17th Street

OLENDER REPORTING, INC. 1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington, D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376 1 with the same width.

2 MR. BROWN: I understand, but is there any 3 significant difference in the size of the footprint 4 between the two?

5 MR. McGHEE: I think we put the -- it's a 6 little wider, takes up a little bit -- the court is a 7 little smaller on the Rhode Island Avenue side because 8 they've got the square footage in there to match it 9 up. It's a little bit fatter building, basically, at 10 the ground plane.

MR. BROWN: The lot occupancy that is proposed for the building is 73 percent. And that's what the zoning -- the self-certified zoning request is for. What is the lot occupancy of this matter-of-right building?

16 MR. McGHEE: I don't have that offhand. I'd 17 have to look that up.

18 MR. BROWN: Would you say it's very close to 19 73 percent?

20 MR. McGHEE: It should be close to 73 percent, 21 yes. I'd say maybe a little bit more because like I 22 said, it's a little bit squatter building, actually, 23 than the building we have.

MR. BROWN: All right. The lot occupancy in the MU-4 Zone is 60 percent, correct?

OLENDER REPORTING, INC. 1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington, D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376 MR. McGHEE: Yes.

1

2 MR. BROWN: So, there's nothing matter-of-3 right about the lot occupancy of your proposed matter-4 of-right building, is there?

Well, the idea was to create a MR. McGHEE: 5 sun study, not necessarily match all the other matter-6 of-right issues. For example, the parking so on and 7 so forth. We didn't make a building that was small 8 enough to have the number of parking spaces, so on and 9 so forth. We wanted to get the mass of the building 10 11 to show how the sun would move in a matter-of-right 12 building, with some of the zoning relief that we would need to make a building that size. 13 So.

MR. BROWN: But despite the lower height, a building that occupies 70 some percent of the lot is not going to be a matter-of-right building, is it?

MR. McGHEE: It's a matter-of-right as toheight, basically.

MR. BROWN: But matter-of-right as to amount of lot occupied also has a significant effect on the amount of sun that is received on adjacent properties, doesn't it?

23 MR. McGHEE: Well, what we tried to do was 24 create a building that had the same width along 17th 25 Street and the same width along the northern border,

OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington, D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376

so that the shadow could be studied in the same
 context.

MR. BROWN: I'm asking you to answer my question.

MS. MAZO: Mr. Brown, I mean, we know exactly 5 where you're going and what you're doing with the 6 record here, and so if there is a question, which I 7 can't say whether there is or there isn't, about in 8 regards to the matter-of-right massing that we are 9 providing here, then as part of the information that 10 we will provide to the Board, we can, if the Board 11 12 requests, we can provide a matter-of-right sun study 13 that --

14 CHAIRPERSON HILL: That's okay. So, Ms. Mazo 15 and Mr. Brown, also, we're actually listening.

16 So, the massing, again, the matter-of-right is 17 what is being discussed. So, the subject here is that 18 Mr. McGhee, your massing is not correct. Is that fair 19 to say?

20 MR. McGHEE: It is not a matter-of-right mass. 21 CHAIRPERSON HILL: It is not a matter-of-right 22 massing, but you were saying that it was a matter-of-23 right in order for us to compare the two together. 24 So, yes, I would like to -- Ms. Mazo, and I'm 25 listening to your point, Mr. Brown, and we are all

OLENDER REPORTING, INC. 1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington,D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376

1 listening to your point. And something that you're 2 now, again, clarifying, Mr. McGhee, I suppose, is that 3 the -- well, nonetheless, we would like to see what 4 the massing is of the matter-of-right in regards to 5 the sun study.

6 MR. McGHEE: In terms of meeting all of the 7 zoning requirements without relief?

8 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yes. The matter-of-right 9 requirements.

10 MR. McGHEE: Well, the difficulty there, sir, 11 is the parking, loading, all those other issues.

12 CHAIRPERSON HILL: That's okay. I'm sorry. 13 We're just talking about the massing.

14 MR. McGHEE: Just the massing.

15 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Just for the sun -- the sun 16 shadow study.

17 MR. McGHEE: We can do it.

18 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Mr. Brown?

MR. BROWN: Yes. Mr. McGhee, your design for the site was presented to the Commission on Fine Arts earlier in February. Is that correct?

MR. McGHEE: Yes.

23 MR. BROWN: Have you read the description of 24 how your project was received in the February 24th 25 letter from the Commission?

OLENDER REPORTING, INC. 1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington, D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376 1 MR. McGHEE: Yes.

2 MR. BROWN: Were you there when the matter was 3 presented?

MR. McGHEE: Yes.

4

5 MR. BROWN: Just using your own words, how 6 would you describe how well your project was received 7 there?

Well, the Commission of Fine Arts 8 MR. McGHEE: has their opinion and they actually did not take into 9 10 account our programmatic issues. So, they basically 11 wanted the massing to be pushed against the property 12 line, against the apartment building, and they wanted it to have a single loaded corridor, like a typical 13 apartment building. And they also wanted us to take 14 public space for more green area. And so, their view 15 of it is not one that we may be able to execute. 16

17 So, and my reaction to it is that, it may be 18 difficult to achieve CFA's goal for the site based on 19 their comments.

MS. GILLIS: And I just would like to add, in harmony with what Mr. McGhee said, CFA, their recommendations are just that. They come to us in an advisory role. The mayor can receive recommendations and then from CFA and again, with regards to DCRA, they can use CFA recommendations and comments in their

OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington, D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376

1 discretion and determination. And that's how we also 2 will look at them as well.

MR. BROWN: Thank you, Ms. Gillis, but I don't believe you've ever heard from me, any suggestion to the contrary. I'm just pointing out that the advisory recommendation of the Commission of Fine Arts apparently is not going to be followed in this case.

8 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yes, let Ms. Brown ask his 9 questions, okay? And let's just go ahead and answer 10 the questions that he has.

MR. BROWN: The rest of my questions are for Ms. Gillis.

Ms. Gillis, I'm seeing this information about proposals received regarding Ward 5 in your testimony dated March 1st for the first time this afternoon. This information was not included in any prior

17 submissions, was it?

MS. GILLIS: Yes, it should be part of the public record, sir. We wanted to make sure that we had this information in the testimony because of the site and because of the -- especially the sites that the council member had provided.

23 MR. BROWN: Let's go to page 3 of your 24 testimony. The sentence there --

25 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Hold on one second, Mr.

OLENDER REPORTING, INC. 1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington,D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376

Brown. I'm going to try to find out what you're
 talking about.

All right, Mr. Brown.

3

MR. BROWN: Ms. Gillis, page 3 of your testimony in the middle, it says, "In a letter from the councilman, the community submitted a list of nine sites they believed viable options for the Ward 5 emergency shelter location."

9 What councilman are you referring to?
 10 MS. GILLIS: Councilman for Ward 5, Kenyan
 11 McDuffie.

MR. BROWN: And, what is the date of the letter?

MS. GILLIS: Give us one moment. We're looking for the date of the letter.

MR. BROWN: While you're at it, who was the -from whom was the letter received?

18 CHAIRPERSON HILL: And sorry, Mr. Brown, I am 19 just kind of -- I'm trying to follow along again. So, 20 again, this is how the sites have been selected again, 21 correct? And your connection with this to the zoning 22 is what again, please?

23 MR. BROWN: It's Section 513.1B, Sub 6, the 24 alternative sites. The search for alternative sites. 25 CHAIRPERSON HILL: So, that's your argument.

OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington, D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376 1 That's what you're directing us to?

MR. BROWN: That's why this testimony is in 2 the record. This testimony is an attempt to show that 3 there was a search for alternative sites. 4 MS. MOLDENHAUER: No, the testimonies in the 5 record is response to some of the arguments that 6 opposition has made. We believe that the zoning 7 standard speaks for itself in regards to what the 8 Board has to evaluate. 9 MR. BROWN: Anyhow, do you have an answer to 10 11 my question? CHAIRPERSON HILL: When the letter was 12 submitted. Is that what the question was again? 13 MR. BROWN: When the letter was submitted and 14 from whom. 15 MS. GILLIS: The letter was from Council 16 Member McDuffie. We don't have an answer at this 17 time. My team is still looking for the actual date of 18 the letter. 19 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. We'll get back to 20 you, Mr. Brown. 21 22 MR. BROWN: Yes, I would request a copy of the letter be provided to the opposition in this case, 23 since they're making representations about the letter 24 in this document rather than providing the letter 25 OLENDER REPORTING, INC. 1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington, D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376

1 itself.

MS. GILLIS: So, I will add, it is in public 2 This was a part of the deliberations that 3 record. occurred with the counsel, and also as a part of the 4 determination from the council when they made their 5 selection and their choice for the location at 1700 6 Rhode Island Avenue. So, this isn't new information, 7 8 but again, we wanted to make sure that we presented it just because it was something of concern to the party 9 in opposition. 10

11

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay.

MS. MOLDENHAUER: And I would just object to, there's no discovery requirements. We're not required to provide specific copies to -- as requested by opposition counsel. If the Board requests it, we can supplement the record.

MR. BROWN: I think the best evidence of what transpired is the letter itself, rather than testimony about the letter.

20 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Mr. Brown, let me think 21 about it. Continue with your questions. All right? 22 MR. BROWN: I'm sorry to be so 23 discombobulated, but this is what happens when you see 24 evidence for the first time at the hearing. There's 25 just been no opportunity to evaluate it. I have no

OLENDER REPORTING, INC. 1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington,D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376 1 more questions.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Sorry, go on. 2 MS. MAZO: Sorry. To be clear, these lists 3 were all in the public record as in -- as is that 4 letter that was discussed, also in the public record. 5 So, it's --6 7 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okav. So, if it --MS. MAZO: -- not the first time that it's 8 9 been brought to light. 10 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Thank you for 11 clarifying that for us. Ms. Greer. Now, I'm so tired now. Director 12 13 Greer. MS. GILLIS: That's okay. 14 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Gillis. Director Gillis, 15 if you would submit the letter, please, for the 16 17 record, that would be helpful. Thank you. MS. GILLIS: Will do. 18 CHAIRPERSON HILL: All right. I'm going to go 19 ahead then and turn to the Office of Planning, unless 20 -- actually, maybe we'll go through -- or do you --21 22 does the Board -- I was going to go through the Office of Planning and then we can go ahead and ask questions 23 24 of everyone. Is that all right with the Board? All 25 right. Okay.

OLENDER REPORTING, INC. 1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington,D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376 MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: Good evening, Mr. Chairman and members of the BZA. For the record, I'm Maxine Brown-Roberts from the Office of Planning.

Again, I will stand on the record regarding 4 the emergency shelter at 1700 Rhode Island Avenue 5 Northeast. As outlined in our report, there are 6 several special exception and variances, which we went 7 through all the criteria for approval, and found that 8 the proposal meets all the requirements. 9 And therefore, we recommend approval and I'm available for 10 11 questions.

12 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Does the Board have any 13 questions of the Office of Planning?

No? All right. DDOT is also still here?
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Good evening, Chairman
and members of the Board. DDOT stands on the record.
If you have any questions, I'm available.

18 CHAIRPERSON HILL: All right. Does anyone 19 have any questions for DDOT?

20 [No audible response.]

Does the opposition have any questions for the Office of Planning or DDOT?

23 MR. BROWN: Yes.

24 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay, please.

25 MR. BROWN: One question for you, Ms. Maxine

OLENDER REPORTING, INC. 1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington,D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376

Brown-Roberts. Was there any certification of the 1 zoning relief in this case by the Zoning 2 Administrator, or was it self-certification only? 3 MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: I am not -- I'm not sure 4 if I saw a certification from the Zoning 5 Administrator. I don't remember. 6 7 MR. BROWN: So, your best recollection at the moment is that it's just self-certified? 8 9 MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: Yes. But I'm not saying that there isn't one. 10 MR. BROWN: I understand. 11 12 MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: It's just that I don't 13 remember seeing it. MR. BROWN: I understand. Thank you. 14 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Ms. Roberts, I quess maybe 15 we can clear that -- you could let us know about that. 16 17 MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: Yes, we can check the record. 18 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Thank you. Unless 19 the applicant can clear that up for us? 20 MS. MAZO: No, Mr. Brown is correct, there's 21 22 no letter from the Zoning Administrator in regards to the zoning relief required for this site. 23 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay, this is self-24 certified? 25 OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

MS. MAZO: Correct, it's self-certified. 1 CHAIRPERSON HILL: All right. Thank you. 2 MS. MAZO: And in terms of self-certifying, 3 the applicant had amended its self-certification from 4 what was filed initially. The most recent self-5 certification and the most up to date one, which 6 documents the areas of relief that we're requesting, 7 as well as the -- sorry, as well as the number of 8 parking spaces is included at -- I will get that. 9 It's included at Exhibit -- sorry, Exhibit 43, Tab B. 10 CHAIRPERSON HILL: All right. Mr. Brown, do 11 12 you have any more -- I'm sorry, you didn't have any more questions for the Office of Planning. Do you 13 have any questions for DDOT? 14 No more questions. 15 MR. BROWN: CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Does the applicant 16 17 have any questions for the Office of Planning or DDOT? I just have three questions for the 18 MS. MAZO: 19 Office of Planning. Ms. Brown-Roberts, can OP confirm that there's no time limit for residents to stay under 20 the emergency shelter use in the regulations? 21 That's correct. 22 MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: MS. MAZO: Okay. Also, in Subtitle U, 513.1F, 23 which is the special exception standard for more than 24 25, can Office of Planning confirm that there is no 25 OLENDER REPORTING, INC. 1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington, D.C.20036 (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Washington:

Toll Free: (888) 445-3376

1 search requirement in order for the Board to find that 2 there's no reasonable alternative?

MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: As I stated in the prior case, it is our belief that regarding the alternative cases, that was ruled on by the council.

6 MS. MOLDENHAUER: Sorry, there was a lot of 7 back and forth earlier about the sun study. Can you 8 confirm in the MU-4 Zone that a matter of right lot 9 occupancy for an Inclusionary Zoning site would be a 10 75 percent lot occupancy?

MR. LAWSON: We -- excuse me. Sorry. Joel
Lawson for the Office of Planning.

We don't have that information in front of us. 13 MS. MAZO: Okay. Just sorry, one final point 14 for Ms. Brown-Roberts. Ms. Brown-Roberts, in your OP 15 report you mentioned numerous conditions that made the 16 17 property exceptional. But can you also confirm that the following list is also included, that the property 18 has a unique corner lot location, that there's 19 significant public space along Rhode Island and 17th 20 Street, the former police station, and the existing 21 22 communications antenna and the equipment building combined with the emergency shelter programmatic needs 23 all combine to create a confluence of -- that creates 24 an exceptional condition on the site? 25

OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: I agree.

1

2 MS. MAZO: I have no more questions for the 3 Office of Planning.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Again, back to the matter-of-right issue again. If now, whether it was with IZ units or what have you, the massing and the shadow study is something that we would like to see.

MS. MOLDENHAUER: And we can just clarify that for the Board at a later date, but I just wanted to make sure it was something I don't think was clearly understood. But 75 percent is if this was an affordable project through other government means of --

14 CHAIRPERSON HILL: It wasn't clear to the 15 Board also when you were first speaking of it, and so 16 that's why I just was reiterating the fact. But thank 17 you. So, that would be great.

MS. MOLDENHAUER: Seventy-five percent wouldhave been permitted.

20 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Sure. Thank you.

21 MS. MAZO: Sorry, and to be clear --

22 CHAIRPERSON HILL: You can --

23 MS. MAZO: -- as well as a 3.0 FAR.

24 CHAIRPERSON HILL: You can provide that 25 clarification with the sun study, then. Thank you.

OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

All right. Does the Board have some questions now for the applicant and/or the Office of Planning, DDOT, or the party in opposition?

Yes, Mr. Chair. Just, the 4 MR. HART: question, since we had such a kind of fairly extensive 5 discussion about the number of units on the floor, I 6 mean, this is the 11 for one floor, or the eight for 7 the others, I understand that it's kind of average. 8 But could you just kind of discuss that in this case 9 so we understand this, how that you could have 11 10 11 units on floors two and three, and then the other 12 floors are eight units?

MS. ZEILINGER: Just given that exceptional 13 nature of the historic part of the building, there 14 were just some very slight modifications that we 15 needed to make in order to accommodate the number of 16 units needed overall. So, there are, on two floors, 17 11 units. And then there are eight on the others. 18 19 So, then makes space for the program and supportive 20 services.

21 So, we stayed as close to our design 22 requirements as we possibly could, but did go over by 23 one unit.

24 MS. GILLIS: I would also --

MS. ZEILINGER: And we also, we could not make

1 it any smaller. We already had our initial site in 2 Ward 5, we were able to fit 50 units. Because of the 3 constraints of this site we had to come down to 46, 4 and so we really couldn't make it any smaller and meet 5 our goal of closing D.C. General.

6 MR. HART: I appreciate it. It's really just 7 making sure that -- understanding how this may be --8 how this particular instance has some characteristics 9 and understanding what those are. So, I appreciate 10 that.

11 The next question is to Mr. McGhee. You --12 and this is just kind of a, just a little 13 clarification. The police station is not historic, 14 but you used -- it's not an NHL, its' -- a National 15 Historic Landmark. It's not listed on the National 16 Register of Historic Places, but is it a local 17 designation for historic?

MR. McGHEE: No, it has no designation at all. 18 It has no landmark status. It is eligible as one of 19 D.C. Government's buildings. So, it has the age and 20 stature, but has not been listed or even -- very 21 22 little information about the building at this point. So, the idea is that by regulation we treat it 23 as eligible and treat it with the same level of 24 protection. 25

1 MR. HART: I understand that. I just was, I 2 was trying to understand where it was in the scheme of 3 things. Thank you.

4 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Director Zeilinger, so I 5 have a question for you. You had stated that there 6 was a letter from, which there is, from the SMD in 7 support, but not a vote from the ANC. Could you tell 8 me a little bit more about how that went and why there 9 wasn't a vote? Or, anyone who is happy to answer from 10 the applicant.

Unfortunately, I'm going to 11 MS. ZEILINGER: 12 have to put on a hat as somebody who can testify because at the table I am, and in fact amongst I think 13 everybody here -- oh, no, no, no, no, no. Well, 14 actually, can I, to respond to that question can I 15 bring up the SMD from to -- or do you want to wait --16 17 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yeah, that's okay. Let me just -- I can hold off on that question. 18

MS. ZEILINGER: Okay. Because she's here and --

21 CHAIRPERSON HILL: We'll have a time when 22 you'll have an opportunity to come forward.

Okay. So then, does anyone else have somemore questions, Commissioner Miller?

MR. MILLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and

thank you for your presentation and persistence today.
 Everybody's persistence and patience.

So, going back to Director Gillis's testimony, 3 which Mr. Brown referred to page 3, which had the 4 evaluation of the different sites, so for -- and the 5 nine sites are referenced, that Council Member 6 McDuffie had submitted. And under, when you get to 7 1700 Rhode Island, the reason for selection, 8 rejection, I quess by the executive, was that -- it's 9 listed as the size of the site. Quote, "The size of 10 the site will not," I guess it's missing a word, 11 12 "Allow it to produce the required number of units and accommodate the Hoya Clinic. Site is still being 13 considered for other district uses." 14

So, can you just clarify? Is that referring to the fact that you only could get to 46 with new construction, or was it just referring to the existing building that was there? That obviously wouldn't accommodate anywhere near the number of -- can you just clarify that statement in this evaluation? It may be a matter of timing.

MS. GILLIS: Sure. Thank you. This was a result of the preliminary assessment that was done on the site when it was first brought to our attention. We looked at the size of the site preliminarily.

Plus, also, we also looked at what the site was being 1 occupied for at that time, when we first got this 2 letter. It was occupied by a previous district 3 government agency at the time. So, that was included 4 in the site still being considered for other District 5 It wasn't until afterwards it was mandated in uses. 6 the legislation, the counsel legislation, that we 7 decided to go in and do the full search, the full 8 assessment, and then actually do the design, get an 9 architect on board to help us to see how we can make 10 11 better use of the site.

I also want to add, while I have an opportunity for the clarification, that this information is listed on the D.C. Website.

Thank you for that answer. Going 15 MR. MILLER: back and going back to Council Member McDuffie, he has 16 been noted previously, I think by the Chairman or 17 others, he did submit a letter dated today in support 18 of the project. He did note two issues, continuing 19 issues of concern to his constituents, which he asked 20 the Board to see what we could do to further mitigate. 21 And one was the 70-foot height. And maybe the --22 maybe Mr. McGhee could just reiterate briefly how the 23 height is being mitigated due to the location, it's 24 location, or its distance from the single-family homes 25

across 17th Street, or what you've already done or could be done further to, if anything, to mitigate the height.

I guess the existing building that's on that side, on the 17th Street side, is block -- is already --

7 MR. McGHEE: Well, part of the practical difficulty here is that a good part of the site is 8 taken up by a building that we can't really adjust or 9 build on top of. So, and when you're trying to 10 11 contain the number of units per floor to a tight 12 maximum, it pushes us to this height. So, right now there's nothing we can do under the programmatic 13 requirements and the idea of keeping that existing 14 building intact. 15 So.

MR. MILLER: And how wide is 17th Street? MR. McGHEE: The right of way is 90 feet. And our building is right on the edge of the right of way where the houses on the other side are about 10 to 20 feet back from the property line. So --

21 MR. MILLER: And the other issue that Council 22 Member McDuffie's letter raised as a number of, I 23 guess public benefits that he says he's raised with 24 the executive. Some of -- I don't know if you've seen 25 it because it's only dated today, but he says he's

OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

raised them with you or there's Rhode Island Avenue 1 streetscape improvements, including new sidewalks, new 2 paving and trees, additional -- I'm losing my battery 3 Additional programming and hours at the Langdon 4 here. Park Community Center and library, Woodbridge Library, 5 both within three blocks of the proposed facility, and 6 a number of other things. If you could just address 7 that? 8

9 MR. YOUNG: Sure, Mr. Miller. This is not, as 10 you know, a PUD application, and so the notion of 11 community benefits in this kind of proceeding is 12 different, and isn't something that's part of the case 13 application or what we would normally do.

Having said that, we have walked the community 14 with community members and our team to look at what 15 the issues are, and so while we're not presenting a 16 17 community benefits package in a formal way as the council member has suggested and requested, what we 18 have said to the community is those things, as we walk 19 many neighborhoods across all wards of the city on a 20 regular basis that have nothing to do with the 21 22 development, those issues that we can support them on and mitigate, we will try to do that. 23

25 streetscape and sidewalks are things that we have to

And so, some of these issues around

OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

24

consider in the context of our capital budget for the entire city. But we are willing to try to look at those and look at the other programmatic requests that they have in the context of his, the council member's, request for his ward, and certainly what we receive from many neighborhoods as to services.

7 MR. MILLER: Okay. Thank you. I think that's 8 all I have for now.

9 CHAIRPERSON HILL: All right. Great. Thank 10 you, Commissioner Miller. Does anyone have any more 11 questions for the applicant or the party in 12 opposition? No?

All right. Then what I'm going to do is ask if there is anyone here wishing to speak in support of the application.

All right. Can you all just raise your hands and let me see how many there are of you? Three? Nav. If we could just free up some chairs here for the three people coming up in support?

20 [Pause.]

21 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Has everyone been sworn in? 22 No? Okay. We're going to swear you in.

And then, did I understand that the ANC is here? Is --

25 MR. CASSIDY: [Speaking off microphone.]

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. That's okay. I'm 1 sorry. I'm sorry. You'd have to speak into the 2 microphone anyway. But --3 MS. MAZO: Counsel, I'm sorry. Chair Hill, I 4 just wanted to clarify that --5 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Give me one second. Give 6 7 me one second. Give me one second. MR. CASSIDY: [Speaking off microphone.] 8 9 CHAIRPERSON HILL: You can just push the microphone. 10 11 MR. CASSIDY: Yeah. I was present at the ANC 12 meeting. 13 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Uh-huh. MR. CASSIDY: The Commissioner --14 CHAIRPERSON HILL: No, that's okay. Please 15 identify yourself and --16 17 MR. CASSIDY: Joseph Cassidy. 18 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okav. I live in SMD 5B-03. 19 MR. CASSIDY: 20 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okav. MR. CASSIDY: For the last 14 years. 21 22 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Mr. Cassidy, I will ask you a question but I'm just trying to figure out 23 where you come in my agenda, if you don't mind. 24 So --MR. CASSIDY: Well, I'll be a witness in the 25 OLENDER REPORTING, INC. 1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington, D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376

Toll Free: (888) 445-3376

1 opposition.

2 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Oh, I see. You're going to be a witness. Oh, in the opposition. Okay. All 3 right. 4 5 MR. CASSIDY: But I was present at the ANC 6 meeting. 7 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Okay. I appreciate Then, we'll get to you. 8 that. MR. CASSIDY: 9 Thank you. That's okay. We'll get to 10 CHAIRPERSON HILL: 11 I just didn't know where you were coming in you. 12 terms of the presentation. Thank you. All right. If you could please just identify 13 yourselves from my left to right? 14 MR. MAKEMBE: Hi. Henry Makembe, ANC 15 Commissioner, SMD --16 17 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Could you say your last name again, sir? 18 MR. MAKEMBE: Henry Makembe, M-A-K-E-M-B-E. 19 CHAIRPERSON HILL: And you're the SMD? 20 21 MR. MAKEMBE: Yes. 22 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. MR. MAKEMBE: 5B-03. 23 24 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Oh, you have to push the button. 25

1 MS. HARDING: Amber Harding.

MS COVENTRY: Kate Coventry (phonetic). 2 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. All right. I don't 3 know if -- now see, you all haven't been sworn -- I 4 think you have been sworn in but -- oh, no? Really? 5 Oh. 6 7 MS. HARDING: Swore me in last time. 8 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. It was a little chaotic. MS. HARDING: 9 10 CHAIRPERSON HILL: All right. So, Mr. Moy, if 11 you could swear in the witnesses, please? 12 If you all could just stand and take the oath 13 from the secretary? [Oath administered to the participants.] 14 CHAIRPERSON HILL: So, Commissioner Makembe, 15 I'm just trying to also understand this. Are you here 16 17 representing your SMD or yourself? 18 MR. MAKEMBE: Both. 19 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. [Commotion in audience.] 20 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. All right. Okay. 21 22 Everybody -- all right. So, you're not here -- excuse me. All right. 23 So, I'm going to go ahead and give you three 24 minutes. Okay? And everyone will get three minutes. 25 OLENDER REPORTING, INC. 1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington, D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376

There's just three of you. Okay? All right. Please
 go ahead.

MR. MAKEMBE: Great, thank you. And forgive the jitters, it's my first time here.

5 Thank you for allowing me to testify today. 6 I'd like to take this opportunity to present my view 7 first as a private citizen, and then as a commissioner 8 for the single-member district, 5B-03.

First, as a resident of the District I applaud 9 the government's effort to construct short-term 10 11 housing for families that lack proper shelter. As a 12 resident of Brookland, living three and a half block away from the proposed shelter at 1700 -- 1700 Rhode 13 Island Avenue Northeast, I support the construction of 14 the facility and encourage the Board to grant the 15 16 special exceptions necessary to move this project forward. 17

My family and I are lucky enough to live in 18 Brookland, but I know that this is not an opportunity 19 available to everyone in our city. As a father of two 20 kids, my wife and I are extremely fortunate to be able 21 22 to provide a home for them to sleep, grow, and just be While my wife and I are in a position to 23 kids. provide a comfortable life for our children, I believe 24 that it is incumbent upon the entire community to 25

While I am aware of the concerns raised 2 regarding the heights and the density of the proposed 3 building, I do not believe that either of them are out 4 of line with the existing neighborhood, with existing 5 plan buildings and along Rhode Island Corridor. 6 7 I believe that more density on Rhode Island Avenue will help stimulate growth which could benefit 8 all members of the community. I believe that 9 Brookland, Woodridge, and the Landgon Park 10

ensure that all city youth have the basic needs met.

1

11 neighborhoods are family oriented communities well 12 suited for families in search of stability.

13 Similar to my predecessor, in tandem with this 14 project, I hope to see an increased district support 15 for general improvement to the Rhode Island Avenue 16 corridor as called for in the Comprehensive Plan. The 17 area greatly needs increased streetscape and 18 pedestrian safety improvement, job training programs, 19 and economic development initiatives.

Now, I would like to take a few -- I would like to share a few additional thoughts in my capacity as ANC Commissioner for 5B-03. Prior to my election as ANC commissioner I was invited to join the Neighborhood Advisory Team by my predecessor. Since my selection, I have taken over as co-chair for the

OLENDER REPORTING, INC. 1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington, D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376

advisory team. And since my election is November I've held two single-member Districts meeting, invited DGS, DHS, and their zoning attorney to prevent -- to present once to the full ANC body meeting. I helped coordinate a walking tour and have canvassed the area around the site three times, personally.

Through my conversations with my neighbors, 7 I've identified three distinct view of the proposed 8 The first view is that of neighbors that would site. 9 completely welcome the proposed site as-is, allowing 10 all variances. The second view is that of neighbors 11 12 that would welcome the housing facility at the location, but have concerns and reservation about some 13 aspects of the design. 14

Most of the reservations on the height of the building and parking, especially given the fact that there are a number of elderly neighbors residing directly across from the proposed location.

The last view is that of neighbors who do not want the proposed location at all, regardless of the view that they all told about the design, the vast majority of the neighborhood believes that the site selection process was flawed. And even if they are in favor of the ultimate outcome. So, everybody in the neighborhood basically observed that the site

OLENDER REPORTING, INC. 1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington,D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376

1 selection process was flawed.

Since, however, since the initial site 2 selection, DGS and DHS have gone to great length to 3 solicit community feedback. I believe that many of 4 the concerns around parking can be addressed through a 5 detailed neighborhood agreement, and as such, as ANC 6 commissioner, I would recommend that the BZA grant the 7 special exemptions necessary to move the project 8 forward, with the exception of the one regarding the 9 building height. So, of all the variances, as the 10 11 Commissioner, I would recommend moving forward with 12 all of them, except the one with the height.

I would like to finish by saying that 13 Brookland is a welcoming and diverse community that 14 can and should strongly support neighbors that are 15 experiencing difficult times. Construction of this 16 17 shelter is an important step in the ongoing effort to providing the city's children and underserved family 18 with opportunities to achieve a better life. 19 Thank 20 you.

21 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Thank you. And I 22 let you go until five minutes, again, because as a 23 member of the ANC you do get five minutes of time. 24 So, all right. If you could go ahead. Give me three 25 minutes here, please, on the clock, Mr. Moy. You can

OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington, D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376

1 go ahead, sorry. Oh, sure.

MS. HARDING: Thank you. I'm testifying on behalf of the Washington Legal Clinic for the Homeless, as well as in my personal capacity as a Ward 5 resident. And I'm testifying in support of this application.

Since 1986, the Legal Clinic has provided free
comprehensive legal services to homeless or nearly
homeless D.C. residents. We do not take government
money.

I was appointed to the Ward 5 Shelter Advisory Team by Councilmember McDuffie, and I have attended every meeting. Prior to that I was a member of the Interagency Council on Homelessness Design Committee. My family has lived in Brookland for 12 years.

We support closing D.C. General and replacing it with smaller, healthier, and safer shelters across D.C. D.C. General is not a place that anyone should ever have to live, not even for a short period of time, and D.C. has, for far too long, allowed homeless children and their parents to suffer from poor conditions, poor design, and poor services.

23 With no clear end to our affordable housing 24 crisis and with over 600 families currently in motels, 25 we cannot close D.C. General unless we have

1 replacement shelters ready to go.

When the first Ward 5 site was proposed, we 2 opposed it. We did that not because the neighbors 3 opposed it, which they did, but because it was not in 4 a residential area and we believed that the shelter at 5 that site might have been harmful to the health and 6 safety of the residents at the shelter. There were no 7 nearby grocery stores or other services, and public 8 transportation options were minimal. The site was 9 surrounded by a lot of industrial usages. 10

We knew the D.C. government could do better for homeless families in Ward 5. 1700 Rhode Island Avenue was one of the few alternative sites discussed as far back as last March, including at the March 17th hearing on the shelter plan, and it was the only one that turned out to be viable.

Unlike the previous site, this is a site that 17 is part of a vibrant neighborhood. This is on 18 multiple bus routes within walking distance of the 19 Rhode Island Metro Station, Woodridge Library, Langdon 20 Park and Rec Center. It's a great place to raise a 21 22 family in this city and many of us will be happy to welcome the new residents of our neighborhood when the 23 shelter opens. 24

25 I've listened to the concerns of some

OLENDER REPORTING, INC. 1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington,D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376

neighbors about the height of the building and the variances being sought out for months. I've been at every community meeting where it has been discussed, other than the ANC 5B meeting, last week. It's more than 10 meetings in less than a year.

I've gone over the designs. I have heard the
concerns about process and height and parking. I
understand D.C. is asking for multiple exceptions and
variances today. I'm not unsympathetic to the
concerns that have been raised, nor do I think that
all neighbors with concerns are against having a
shelter at this site.

I would note, though, that I have never seen opposition to any other neighborhood development reach this level of vitriol and hyperbole. Neighbors are, for instance, characterizing a six-story building as a tower that will imperil the soul of Brookland.

The BZA is often asked to grant variances and 18 19 exceptions for development where profit is the aim, often at the expense of low-income communities who 20 face displacement. Here, the BZA is being asked to 21 22 grant relief to help those who have been displaced, to soften the blow of unfettered development by building 23 a safe, humane shelter for families. That is a worthy 24 justification for zoning relief. 25

If the exceptions are not granted then D.C. 1 will have to either build a smaller shelter or find 2 another site. If D.C. builds a smaller shelter it 3 will have to reduce the number of shelter units, 4 meaning the city will not meet its statutory 5 requirements, and will be even further away from 6 meeting the real need for family shelter. Or, it will 7 have to sacrifice some of the privacy or living space 8 to the homeless families that will live in the 9 shelter. That's unacceptable. 10

11 If D.C. has to find another shelter site, it 12 may experience significant delay to closing D.C. 13 General or it might not be able to find another D.C. 14 owned site that works. Once again, at the expense of 15 homeless families.

Even if D.C. could find another site, those neighbors might be even more emboldened to use this zoning process to fight having homeless families in their neighborhood.

None of the design or zoning ordinances concerns I've heard should override the needs of the homeless families who will live at this site. None of this concern --

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Ms. Harding, I just want to point you out, you're kind of going over your time. I

OLENDER REPORTING, INC. 1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington,D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376

1 just want to get you --

2 MS. HARDING: I'm sorry. I'm not looking at 3 the time.

4 CHAIRPERSON HILL: That's all right. That's 5 all right. You can sum up.

MS. HARDING: I have two sentences.
CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay.

8 MS. HARDING: Thank you. None of these concerns are more important than a need to close D.C. 9 General with a sufficient number of units, the need to 10 11 have units that provide dignity and privacy to 12 residents, the public interest, and providing an appropriate safety net for children in crisis, or the 13 desire of families to raise their children in the same 14 supportive community that I raise my children in. 15 Thank you. 16

17 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Thank you.

Hi again, Chairperson Hill and 18 MS COVENTRY: other members of the Board of Zoning Adjustment. 19 Thank you for the opportunity to testify. My name is 20 Kate Coventry. I'm a Senior Policy Analyst at the 21 22 D.C. Fiscal Policy Institute. DCFPI promotes budget and policy choices to expand economic opportunity and 23 reduce income inequality in D.C. through independent 24 research and policy recommendations. I'm also a 25

OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

voting member of the D.C. Interagency Council on
 Homelessness and was an appointed member of the Design
 Principles Committee, the committee tasked with
 providing recommendations on design guidelines for
 replacement units.

I am also a Ward 5 resident who lives in
Bloomingdale. So, I'm not going to go over my written
testimony because I'm going to do some things that
came up in the previous case that there was some
confusion about.

11 So, first of all, I'd like to talk about how 12 I've never seen so many community meetings and that 13 there were so many community meetings I was not able 14 to keep up with all of them in the three wards. I did 15 the best that I could.

So, I went to five different Ward 3 meetings, 16 two Ward 5 meetings, and two Ward 6 meetings, and I 17 can submit the dates for the record if you need that. 18 And so, I will say that you know, I do not disagree 19 that the site selection process was not the best, but 20 I will say that I've seen DHS and DGS work very hard 21 22 to meet with residents and to address their concerns, and particularly so in the Ward 3 case. 23

I'd also just like to talk about the 10 rooms. Now, that was a big -- 10 rooms per floor. Given

this site and the historical situation, we as advocates, were okay with increasing that number to 11. But when Director Zeilinger testified earlier that the advocates would be quite upset with any increase in that, she was telling the truth.

As a member of the Guidelines Committee, the 6 10 families is quite important to us. And quite 7 frankly, it was quite important because of the 8 abduction of Ralisha Rudd (phonetic). And you know, 9 this is not an apartment building. This is where 10 11 people cycling in and out. It's very hard to know 12 your neighbors in that situation. And we're talking about children, we're talking about people who have 13 experienced domestic violence. 14

So, we decided that 50 families was a good 15 economy of scale in the building and that 10 families 16 17 per floor would create a sense of community and safety, and sightlines to the bathroom was definitely 18 a big priority. And I will tell you that if the -- if 19 DHS and DGS had gone above 11 families, I would have 20 sought legislation at the council to prevent them from 21 22 doing that.

23 So, I will say our other top priority was 24 flexible service space because kids do get turned away 25 from the Homeless Children's Playtime Project quite

OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington, D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376

regularly. So, I would not want to see any proposal
 that would cut into this flexible service base.
 Families have complex needs. We need to have all
 kinds of services there and space for kids, because
 this is essentially a place for kids.

So, I just urge the Board of Zoning Adjustment 6 to approve the zoning relief that the District is 7 asking for, and to ensure the closure of D.C. General 8 is not delayed. The D.C. General family shelter is no 9 place for families. It's no place for anybody. 10 And so, anything that would delay that is putting kids at 11 12 risk. And that's just not acceptable to me, or to the D.C. Fiscal Policy Institute. 13

14 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Thank you. So, 15 we're going to turn to the party in opposition if they 16 have any questions to cross.

17 I do want to make a comment here real quick We're going to probably take a break pretty again. 18 soon, just so everybody can kind of like stretch. And 19 I do -- I can't emphasize enough that we've all been 20 here all day. It's been late, and I want everyone to 21 22 present their arguments, present their discussions in a way that again is civil, and so that we can hear the 23 facts and then decide upon them. 24

And it doesn't do anyone any good to do

OLENDER REPORTING, INC. 1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington,D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376

anything other than just calmly talk about what we're here to talk about. And I understand though, it's been very late. I mean, I really do and I know that everybody gets emotional about things. But I'm just trying to ask everyone to just take a moment before moving forward this evening.

So, after my little speech, Mr. Brown,
although, Mr. Brown, you've been very good all day, I
must say. I didn't know you were going to get a round
of applause, but it's good that -- it's good,
apparently, it's coming from your clients. So, that's

12 good.

13 So, if you could go ahead and ask your 14 questions, please, if you have any? And then we'll 15 turn to the Board.

MR. BROWN: I just have one question of all three. It's the same question I asked before. Do any of the three of you live within 200 feet of the project?

20 MR. MAKEMBE: I do not. I live three and a 21 half blocks away.

MS COVENTRY: No, I do not. As I said, I live in Bloomingdale.

24 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. That's all right. 25 Okay. Great.

Does the Board have any questions of the
 witnesses?

MR. HART: Not a question, Mr. Chair, but, Ms. 3 Coventry, wanted to thank you for that information 4 about the number of units. It's very helpful to have 5 that, to understand that a little bit more, and also 6 kind of the amount of give and kind of why you'd be 7 okay with -- or you understand that in this instance 8 it kind of makes sense that this is more of an 9 exceptional thing. 10

11 MS COVENTRY: Sure. And just to clarify 12 something that might not have been clear. I'm not as good on verbal when I don't have it right in front of 13 The District also came forward and very much 14 me. improved the sightlines and the number of bathrooms. 15 So, that was also a factor in the 11 units being 16 17 acceptable to advocates.

18 MR. HART: Thank you.

19 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Does anyone have any 20 more -- oh, I'm sorry. Ms. White, you had a question? 21 MS. WHITE: Oh, not right now.

22 CHAIRPERSON HILL: All right. Okay. So, does 23 the applicant have any questions for the witnesses? 24 MS. MAZO: I do. I probably have four or five 25 questions.

1 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay.

2 MS. MAZO: Okay.

3 CHAIRPERSON HILL: I'm going to give you three 4 minutes on the clock since you're telling how many 5 questions.

6 MS. MAZO: Okay. Well --

7 CHAIRPERSON HILL: That's great.

8 MS. MAZO: -- these go to community outreach 9 and so the Board may --

10 CHAIRPERSON HILL: That's all right. That's 11 okay.

12 MS. MAZO: -- interested.

13 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Go ahead. Yeah, sure.

MS. MAZO: First of all to Amber. Amber, you mentioned that you were on the Advisory Committee with Commissioner Makembe. Can you just explain a little bit about who was on that committee, the discussions that were had with that committee, and kind of the tenor of activity with that committee, please?

MS. HARDING: Sure. I think -- on my date. Our first meeting was on October 6th, and the makeup of the committee was neighborhood residents, people who are close to the site and the outgoing ANC and the incoming ANC, people from the Civic Association, and a lot of the businesses along Rhode Island. Certainly,

OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

people from the government were participating in that, and the architects were actively participating in that. Councilmember sent staff to the meetings. I'm trying to think if I'm forgetting anybody else. And then the -- Henry can fill in if I'm forgetting people.

But the, you know, the topics were -- the 7 first meeting was very open. Anything anyone wanted 8 to share about what they were looking for in the site. 9 Or what types of design issues were of a priority to 10 11 the community. And then, it sort of whittled down in 12 specificity from there, I would say, in order to give more feedback to the -- or input to the architects 13 prior to their design. And then they came back to us 14 with a preliminary design that we gave feedback on. 15

And then we helped plan the public meeting that occurred in December. And then we had an additional meeting after the public meeting to talk about how that went and what we learned and what type of input that we had.

So, I believe that there were, if you count --I don't know if you count the public meeting. But there were four meetings of the Advisory Committee, plus the public meeting, plus two single-member ANC meetings, plus the walk, and plus the two 5B meetings

OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

1 where it was raised.

MS. HARDING: Sorry. This is to Councilmember 2 Makembe. Can you just very briefly, in a calm manner, 3 describe the tenor of the February 22nd ANC meeting in 4 which -- well, can you just describe what happened at 5 that meeting, please? 6 MR. MAKEMBE: Yes, and while I --7 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Mr. Makembe, I mean, 8 Commissioner Makembe, again, briefly would be the key. 9 10 MR. MAKEMBE: Yeah. CHAIRPERSON HILL: 11 Thank you. 12 MR. MAKEMBE: No. Yeah. We had a, I would say, a very passionate discussion. There were some 13 folks that were obviously came up willing -- opposing 14 the shelter. And there were some folks that spoke up 15 supporting the shelter. But it was a very passionate, 16 intense discussion and some folks, members of the 17 community felt the need to yell at my wife, which I 18 19 did not appreciate. CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. That's all right. 20 Okay. So --21 22 MS. MAZO: Sorry. Can you just very quickly explain what happened with the resolution that was 23 raised at that meeting? Please? 24 MR. MAKEMBE: Which meeting? The full body 25 OLENDER REPORTING, INC. 1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington, D.C.20036 (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Washington: Toll Free: (888) 445-3376

1 meeting?

The full body meeting, I presented -- I think 2 what's important here is I had a special session SMD 3 meeting on -- with my SMD District. 4 I had a resolution. The community did not respond well to 5 that resolution. I went back and made changes to that 6 resolution, brought it up at the full body meeting. 7 It was -- I presented those resolution, but the 8 resolutions that I presented were not seconded, and 9 therefore the ANC did not vote on any resolution. 10 However, all four of the five ANC 11 12 commissioners did submit letters either supporting or 13 opposing the shelter through the Board. CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Ms. Mazo. 14 MS. MAZO: No more questions. 15 16 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Great. All right. 17 Does the Board have any more questions for the witnesses? No? Okav. 18 So, Mr. Brown, we're going to take --19 everybody, we're going to take a guick five-minute 20 break. And then when we come back, Mr. Brown, we're 21 22 going to have your presentation. All right? And again, thanks everybody for coming down 23 and please stretch. 24 [Brief recess.] 25 OLENDER REPORTING, INC. 1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington, D.C.20036

Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376 1 CHAIRPERSON HILL: All right. Let's all 2 please settle back in. Let's see.

All right, Mr. Brown. Mr. Moy, do you need more copies of something?

5 MR. MOY: Don't worry about it. The staff 6 will take care of it.

7 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. All right. Okay. 8 Oh, do you have more? Hold on one second. One 9 second. How many -- I think you needed 12. Do you 10 have 12? Okay. Mr. Moy, he has more. At least to 11 get through the hearing.

12 Mr. Brown, I'm going to go ahead and again the applicant had 45 minutes. Was it 45 minutes? I can't 13 even remember how long ago it was now. Forty-five 14 minutes. And so, we're going to go ahead and put 45 15 minutes on the clock for you as well, and then see how 16 17 it goes. You know, if you need, you know, more or less time. And so, yes, give me one second here until 18 19 we get the secretary back.

20 Mr. Moy, actually, could you just actually 21 start the timer, maybe?

22 MR. MOY: Yes.

23 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Forty-five minutes. Okay.24 All right. Thank you, Mr. Brown.

25 MR. BROWN: Oh, good evening, Mr. Chairman and

OLENDER REPORTING, INC. 1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington,D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376

Members of the Commission. And I haven't called you,
 Your Honor, once all day.

3 CHAIRPERSON HILL: You know, Mr. Brown, that's 4 so funny that you mention that. I made that joke to 5 my wife the other day and she again clarified that I 6 am not a judge. But thank you.

7 MR. BROWN: We are here today to object to 8 the location of the Ward 5 homeless shelter site. 9 We're not here to object to the concept of the 10 diaspora of the D.C. General Hospital to the various 11 wards in the city.

I've given you another set of bullet points for our opposition testimony. I will follow the same format as this morning. I will summarize, in this case, the 10 points on our list, and then turn it over to the witnesses to testify. We'll do our best to stay within 45 minutes.

Unfortunately, I am not able to rely on incorporation by reference of earlier testimony. I don't feel that would be appropriate in this case, and so I have to be somewhat repetitive of matters that were said this morning.

23 CHAIRPERSON HILL: That's fine.

MR. BROWN: First of all, the Board has full power to say no to the selection of the Rhode Island

OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington, D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376

Avenue site. The CFRO filed a lawsuit challenging the 1 council and the mayor for failing to obtain the advice 2 of the ANC before identifying the Rhode Island Avenue 3 site as the location for the Ward 5 shelter, in the 4 shelter act. We wanted a do-over on the site 5 selection where the issues and concerns of the ANC 6 would be given great weight. In the site selection 7 process, just as this Board gives great weight to ANC 8 issues and concerns. 9

In the CFRO prehearing statement we have 10 documented for you, our failure to get the mayor and 11 12 council to reconsider the relevant language and the shelter act dealing with Ward 5. We also documented 13 that the Mayor and the council both argued in Superior 14 Court that this Board was fully empowered to remedy 15 our concerns about site selection by turning down the 16 17 choice made if it does not warrant approval under the zoning regulations. 18

Now, however, DGS has made a flatly contradictory argument that you must regard this site as statutorily mandated, location for the Ward 5 homeless shelter. The government simply cannot have it both ways. In one form or another, citizens have a right to voice their concern about whether this site, out of all possibilities for government owned or

OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

acquired land in Ward 5, is appropriate for a homeless
 shelter.

In this form, citizens are constrained to 3 couch their concerns in the language of compliance 4 with the zoning regulations. But DGS is now trying to 5 deprive the adjacent neighbors of even those 6 compliance concerns by arguing in essence that this 7 Board has no choice but to accept the city's choice of 8 this site and provide whatever number of approvals, 9 and there are many, of zoning relief that are 10 necessary to legitimate the use. 11

12 This Board should reject this claim and fully 13 embrace its power to say no to this site if warranted 14 under the regulations, just as it would have for 15 dealing with any other applicant.

Point two, the height and FAR variances should 16 be denied as too much for this zone in this 17 neighborhood. A multitude of neighbors' letters, as 18 amplified by the testimony you will hear today, 19 details how the 70-foot, six-story height of this 20 proposed shelter will be vastly out of scale in 21 22 relation to the neighboring properties. Such a height is extremely harmful to the intent, purpose, and 23 integrity of a zoned plan that limits height, in the 24 MU-4 Zone to 50 feet, necessitating a 40-percent 25

OLENDER REPORTING, INC. 1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington,D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376 1 variance.

The same is true of the FAR variance, a 2 request to increase the bulk of the building from 2.5 3 to 3.1, or again, a 40.5 percent variance. Several 4 members of ANC 5B have sent letters in which they find 5 the proposed building out of scale with the 6 neighborhood. You see those in Exhibits 117 and 128. 7 The CFA also finds the building quote, "Too 8 tall for its context, appears bulky, and overwhelms 9 the historic Colonial Revival style building currently 10 11 on the property." That's in Exhibit 106. 12 While CFRO does not dispute that DGS is entitled to a little more leeway than the private 13 sector in seeking variance relief for this public 14 service project, flexibility does not mean bending a 15 rule beyond the breaking point. Otherwise, there 16 17 would be no point in applying zoning standards to public entities. Here, a 40 percent height variance 18 coupled with a 40 percent FAR variance is simply a 19 bridge too far, and none of the cases cited by DGS, 20 including prior board decisions, comes close to the 21 22 sort of extravagant variance relief sought here. In addition, any relaxation of variance 23 requirements for the District Government ought to 24 have, as a precondition to relief, a convincing 25

OLENDER REPORTING, INC. 1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington,D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376 demonstration we feel is absent here, that the District is in this particular instance, limited and constrained in its selection of properties so as to necessitate the relief requested.

5 The District is quite unlike other nonprofit 6 entities who have been afforded limited variance 7 flexibility in the accommodation of their 8 institutional needs to the single parcel of property 9 they happen to own, and where there was no issue of 10 achieving institutional needs possibility at another 11 location.

12 Point three, the variance requests arise from 13 the presence of other uses on the property, which is not an exceptional situation or condition. The height 14 and FAR variance request can be traced directly to the 15 existence of other uses on the property, a condition 16 known at the time the co-location decision was made. 17 The historic police station and antenna structure and 18 19 building on the property greatly complicate achieving the goal of an orderly efficiently designed homeless 20 shelter on the property. And it is only if one begins 21 22 with the false premise that these existing conditions are unavoidable that one can comprehend DGS's claim 23 that the six factors that they mention constitute an 24 exceptional situation or condition of the property. 25

OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

Factors four and five on their list are simply the existence of these two preexisting conditions. Both are completely avoidable by selecting a site that contains no antenna structures and no historic building built for other purposes.

6 Factors one and three on their list are 7 ordinary property boundary conditions that while 8 limiting the available space for construction, are in 9 and of themselves in no way exceptional. Including 10 the presence of an alley. Wider than normal 11 sidewalks, and a corner lot configuration.

12 Factor six is the project's programmatic But those needs are the same wherever the 13 needs. homeless shelter is located. It is not something 14 unique to this property. In acting on the variance 15 request the Board will be well within its rights to 16 take into account that the District brought these 17 difficulties on all by itself by selecting a site that 18 -- and was fully aware that it had all these 19 preexisting conditions and structures. 20

The *Gill Martin* case, which about an area variance, says that prior knowledge of the difficulty is one of many factors that you may consider in reaching an area variance decision. We're not saying that it's decisive, as in a use variance, but it is a

OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington, D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376

factor that you can consider. And the Court has also distinguished between hardship arising exclusively from the sole affirmative acts of the applicant and hardship cost and significant part by third-parties. That's the D.S. Carte case, 338, A2d, 1233.

6 In this case, the hardship, the difficulties 7 are caused entirely by the Board's own self-imposed 8 decision to use this site.

Point number four, in the MU-4 Zone, 150-9 person emergency shelter is beyond any plausible 10 11 limit. DGS argues that the special exception use 12 emergency shelter fits its proposed 150-person facility. After all, there is no limit on size and 13 the definition of emergency shelter in the zoning 14 regulations. So, no use variance is needed. 15 That may be so, but DGS cannot dispute that the emergency 16 17 shelter proposed is over six times the size of the normal maximum range of the special exception in terms 18 of number of occupants in the MU-4 Zone. 19

The Board should find this size to be over the unstated line in the regulations, just as it would for a shelter of 1,000 occupants, and characterize it as a use variance. But even if you're not inclined to do that, the absence of an express limit does not mean you cannot impose a reasonable limit if for no other

OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington, D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376

reason than by applying the rule under X Code -Regulation Section X, 901.2 that a shelter of this
size, in this zone, would not be in harmony with the
general purpose and intent of the MU-4 Zone.

5 A general conclusion that the specific facts 6 of record in this case will more than adequately 7 confirm is correct here.

Point five. The special exception for an 80 8 percent decrease in on-site parking is unjustified 9 because the site is too small. DGS proposes three 10 11 parking spaces for a staff, and none for occupants and 12 visitors. The reduction from the minimum requirement of 22 is 19, or 86 percent. But under Section U, 13 513.1B2, to obtain a special exception for a homeless 14 shelter with more than four occupants, the shelter 15 must meet the parking requirement. That is a special 16 17 exception requirement. You must meet the parking requirement. 18

19 Reducing the parking requirement is tantamount 20 to obtaining a special exception within a special 21 exception, something that I don't think is 22 contemplated by the zoning regulations. Surely if the 23 concept of emergency shelter special exception is 24 going to be stretched to six times its normal limit, 25 there should be little or no flexibility on the modest

OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

22-space parking requirement. Eighty percent
 reduction is not flexibility. It is all but complete
 abandonment of the parking requirement for an
 emergency shelter of this size.

But even the three spaces that they have left 5 for parking, are deficient, as they are accessed by a 6 15-foot drive aisle. Whereas, if you look in the 7 zoning code the minimum standard for perpendicular 8 spaces for drive aisle width is 20 feet. Nor can this 9 19-space shortfall be justified on the basis of an 10 11 inventory of on-street parking. The proper evaluative 12 framework for such a reduction is special exception relief under Section C, 703.2C. There's no request in 13 the application for such special exception relief. 14 And if there were, the testimony provided to the Board 15 in this case about the shortage of parking in the 16 17 vicinity of the site, suggests that the grant of all 19 spaces of relief is a dubious prospect. 18

In any case, the lack of parking space on the property is directly linked to the fact that the site is simply too small for a 46-unit homeless shelter, a problem that could have been solved by selecting a more appropriate Ward 5 site for the shelter.

As three ANC 5B Commissioners have noted, and this is a quote from their letters, the proposed

OLENDER REPORTING, INC. 1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington,D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376 1 project needs either a larger city-owned land 2 marketable building, or an unoccupied site owned --3 city owned site in an R-5 Zone neighborhood.

Point six. The special exception for a 22 4 percent increase on lot occupancy is unjustified 5 because the site is too small. DGS proposes a lot 6 occupancy of 73 percent in the MU-4 Zone, whereas the 7 lot occupancy maximum is 60 percent. This is 8 erroneously characterized as a 13 percent increase. 9 In actuality, the increase is 13 divided by 60, or 10 11 21.66 percent.

12 As detailed in our prehearing statement at page 13, no increase in lot occupancy would be needed 13 for the proposed project if the site were a mere 2,700 14 square feet larger. So, as with the parking 15 shortfall, the need for increased lot occupancy is 16 directly tied to the fact that the site is simply too 17 small for a 46-unit homeless shelter, a problem that 18 could have been solved by selecting a more appropriate 19 20 Ward 5 site for the shelter.

Number 7, the special exception for a 27 percent reduction in the open court width is unjustified because the site is too small. DGS proposes a 27 percent reduction in the court width, claiming that a redesign to eliminate this reduction

OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

1 would cause a loss of five living units.

Once again, however, the need for the relief 2 is a function of the small size of the property or 3 problem solvable by selecting a more appropriate site. 4 There would be no need for the special exception if a 5 property just seven feet wider, all else the same, 6 were being employed. And such extra width might even 7 mean achieving the shelter act goal of 50 units for 8 the Ward 5 shelter instead of just 46. 9

In addition, the CFA architectural review of the building design appears to recommend eliminating the court in favor of an L-shaped building, quote, "In order to create a more usable floorplate to avoid the awkward adjacency with the historic building and to maximize usable outdoor space." Unquote.

Point number eight. The rear yard reduction 16 17 requests are incomplete and unjustified. Our prehearing statement provides a detailed analysis 18 which I don't have time to repeat here. Pages 14 and 19 15, explaining how DGS is inappropriately converting 20 the north lot line of the property which has been the 21 22 rear lot line since at least 1922 or 23 into a side lot line despite these many decades in which adjacent 23 and nearby development has justifiably relied on the 24 original designation of it as a rear lot line. 25

OLENDER REPORTING, INC. 1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington,D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376

This would trigger a need for an eight request 1 for a zoning relief, reducing -- going back to a rear 2 lot line, because they are reducing the north side 3 rear yard line from 15 feet minimum by 3.1 feet, or a 4 21 percent reduction. But there would also be need 5 for yet another special exception. This one is 70 6 percent reduction from the requirement that windows on 7 the north side of the homeless shelter be at least 40 8 feet from the abutting building to the north. That's 9 in Section G 1201.1A. 10

This would be a drastic impact on the new 11 12 condominium building going up on the abutting property to the north, which you will hear about from one of 13 our witnesses, which understand that witness as a 14 developer who has understandably taken advantage of 15 the south facing exposure to the old police station, 16 much further away than the homeless shelter will be. 17 Point number 9. The overly large emergency 18 shelter special exception should be denied due to 19 adverse impacts in the neighborhood. Under U, 20 513.1B4, DGS must provide the shelter -- must prove 21 the shelter will not have adverse impact on the 22 neighborhood because of traffic, noise, operations, 23 and here I'm adding something that wasn't in the last 24 case, or the number of similar facilities in the area, 25

OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington, D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376

1 quoting from the statute.

2 Compliance with this requirement is asserted 3 rather than demonstrated. The claim of compliance is 4 also contradicted in myriad ways by the testimony and 5 letters of abutting and nearby property owners, which 6 time does not permit me to summarize, but which you 7 will hear about.

Finally, point 10, the overly large emergency 8 shelter special exception should be denied given the 9 absence of evidence of a search for reasonable 10 alternative sites. We dispute that there was a 11 12 reasonable search for alternative sites to the Rhode Island Avenue site. Especially given the enormous 13 size of this facility in relation to the standard 14 range special exception approval in the MU-4 Zone, as 15 showing that the program goals cannot be achieved by a 16 17 facility of smaller size at the subject location, and if there is no other reasonable alternative to meet 18 the program needs of that area of the District is 19 20 essential.

The shelters for Ward 1 and Ward 4, which were -- are on city acquired land after the determination of where those shelters were to go. We do not know if any suitable Ward 5 site, lacking any need for zoning relief, or at least lesser zoning relief, could have

OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington, D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376

been acquired as there is no evidence of a systematic 1 acquisition effort. Nor is there any demonstration 2 that no city-owned land would adequately fill the 3 bill. So, in conclusion, I refer the Board to our 4 much more detailed prehearing statement and to the 5 letters and written testimonies of our witnesses, some 6 who are here today to emphasize for you their most 7 significant concerns about the project. 8

9 And again, as I reiterate our offer from 10 before, that CFRO would welcome the opportunity to 11 file a post hearing recommended findings of facts and 12 conclusions of law, as well as a summary, a 13 conclusion, a written summary concluding argument.

14 With that, I would like to turn to, first to 15 Thomas Curlin, our first witness.

Thank you, David. My name is Tom 16 MR. CURLIN: Curlin and I live three and a half blocks away from 17 the proposed site. I have two -- I'm sorry. Well, 18 two group houses, one former crack house which I 19 renovated on the evenings and weekends for three and a 20 half years. It's about 600-feet from the shelter. 21 Next to it is another group house. They're occupied 22 by a variety of individuals from quite a range 23 ethnically and economically. 24

And I also own another house about two blocks

OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

away on Gerrard Street. The first are at the corner
 of 16th and Hamlin. The second one -- or those first
 two are there. The second is at 15th and Gerrard.

I want to start by saying, unfortunately we're 4 missing one of our best voices in terms of a witness. 5 Pastor Fred Willis is unfortunately suffering from 6 pneumonia, I understand. He would have straightened 7 all of our spines, and would have in a clear, 8 baritone, six-foot frame, six-foot, two frame, 9 addressed some of the important points that I think 10 others will elaborate on in a different tone. 11

I will as well, and I will confess first of all that I am a dark vitriolic soul that speaks to Brookland's soul. Let me begin by describing how vitriolic I am.

The District's homeless rate increased 34.2 percent between 2009 and 2016. Quote, "The highest rate of homelessness among 23 major cities," end of quote, according to the U.S. Congress Conference of Mayors.

Last year D.C. Mayor, Muriel Bowser, presented a humane way forward, to build 50 family facilities in all eight wards. Unfortunately, the D.C. Council selected 1700 Rhode Island Avenue Northeast, a former police station, after Langdon Park rejected a shelter

OLENDER REPORTING, INC. 1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington,D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376

next to its warehouse and bus facilities. So,
 clearly, I'm filled with vitriol.

What I want to do is focus on what I think is a very important part for those of us who live in the neighborhood, who have for 35 years or less, even. And that is that the impact on the neighborhood really speaks to your 513.1B4 that you heard our counsel refer to. Especially that part about similar facilities.

And technically the council and the Department 10 11 of Government Services has met that standard by not 12 creating another shelter within 500 feet. They're 540 feet away, as a matter of fact, from a facility which 13 was sponsored originally as a luxury condominiums for 14 seniors, and now out of those 25 units is occupied by 15 10 homeless, chronically homeless people, is the way 16 it's described on the website. 17

So, rather than read everything I have here, 18 let me just describe how many similar. And now 19 similar is a term that's very important. Similar is 20 not identical. Similar means you provide housing 21 22 support, medical support, educational support for disadvantaged individuals while they are homeless or 23 they are seeking income assistance for housing or 24 other assistance. 25

OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

I will speak not only of federal and state, 1 2 but also nonprofit, enterprises that are within a matter of feet of one another of the proposed site. 3 So, the first, if you do build 1700 Rhode Island 4 Avenue, you're 550 feet from 1545 Gerrard Street 5 apartments, which again was that 25 affordable 6 apartments for seniors. It's 205 feet from 1515 Rhode 7 Island Avenue, diagonally across the street for 23 8 residents. And if you are implying the zoning 9 Inclusionary Zoning requirement of at least 10 10 11 percent, you have two or three apartments there that 12 are going to be for affordable income homes.

That is 415 feet away from the 1500 Franklin 13 Street, Veteran's Administration Community Resources 14 and Referral Center, which is quote, "A 24/7 hub to 15 combat homelessness among veterans." And that 16 building is 280 feet, so it's about 130 steps, away 17 from the National Center for Children and Families at 18 1438 Rhode Island Avenue. That used to be a grocery 19 store, a Giant grocery store, and a very small Giant 20 grocery store. And a Catholic Charities, and now it's 21 22 owned by the National Center for Families and Children, which provides assistance for homeless 23 families, victims of domestic violence, children, and 24 adolescence. 25

OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

And that building is 430 feet away from the 1 2 Mid-City Development, which used to be, or still is for a moment at least, the Brookland Manor. 3 And we don't need to step on down Montana Avenue toward the 4 Montana Terrace. We can look up and down the street. 5 We can go up to 1814 Rhode Island Avenue, they called 6 it 1814 Hamlin, but it's right where Rhode Island 7 meets. And that facility as well, is a transition. 8

9 If you go three or four blocks on the other 10 side, around 18th and Evart, you will find not only 11 the Department of Aging, but you will find a number of 12 other facilities, Artist House and others that are 13 built in that area.

My point is that much like your concern about similar facilities being built so close to one another that it impairs the quality of life of the citizens, the city has to file each year, a Caper, a comprehensive annual report evaluating their performance in meeting the 1968 Fair Housing law. And in their analysis of impediments on page

12, you will find the statement that the severe concentration of community-based residential facilities in Northeast quadrant creates a de facto social service district that undermines the ability of community residents, whether in shelters or not, to

OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington, D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376

achieve the goal of normalization and community
 integration.

So, largely what I ask you to do is to look at 3 the second half of your 15-513.1B4 section on the 4 similar facilities and the context, not of identical, 5 but as the legal profession would say, noscitur a 6 sociis, a word is known from the company it keeps. 7 And similar means similar. And many services rendered 8 by these facilities within three or four blocks of the 9 proposed site really are key to that. 10

I'm going to turn very briefly to the question of traffic, which is the other -- and parking, which is the other component. And that is the traffic is difficult going up Rhode Island Avenue. They turn off on Franklin Street one block before you hit the traffic going on toward Rhode Island Avenue Metro. And you have a lot of accidents there.

The problem with the study you have, the 18 transportation studies, it has no traffic, no 19 vehicular count. It has no accident account. It 20 focuses on staff parking. It does nothing to speak to 21 22 the number of EMS or fire engines that have come there, the Metro access vehicles that have come to 23 that front door to take people to Metro, to relatives, 24 visitors, whatever. All that traffic is absent from 25

OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington, D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376

their transportation study and that needs to be
 addressed.

3 So, thank you very much. I clearly think 4 there is serious consideration on this issue from an 5 impact on the community.

6 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Mr. Curlin. Our next 7 witness is Faraz Khan (phonetic).

8 MR. KHAN: Hi. Good evening, everyone. Mr. Chairman, I am one of the two persons who could 9 possibly end up in this shelter if you grant these 10 11 variances or whatever these exceptions, because my 12 name is Faraz Khan, and me and my business partner, Mr. Rosin Demani (phonetic), who is also present here, 13 own the most seriously impacted property at 2909 17th 14 Street Northeast, right next to the proposed building. 15 In fact, we are the only adjacent neighbor of that 16 17 property.

You know, we have been developing properties 18 19 in D.C. for a while now. The requested variances, especially to go up additional 20 feet and to reduce 20 the rear setback would, if granted, would definitely 21 22 impact our structure, our building. Actually, as a matter of by-right built brand new building if you 23 grant these variances by blocking most of the sunlight 24 from the courtyard. 25

OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

If you look at the -- I'm sure you're familiar 1 with the design of the existing condominium building 2 that is at the finish line right now. The courtyard 3 was designed on the fact that there would never be any 4 building next to, or even close to that courtyard 5 because of the rear setbacks and this government 6 building. And, that's why we created that courtyard. 7 We have six units in that building. Every unit, it's 8 a living room, dining room, and kitchens. Windows are 9 in that courtyard. 10

Like, if you look at the picture of the model 11 12 that should be in the folder I provided you, that could give you the real picture what's going to happen 13 to that courtyard. That picture speaks by itself. 14 Like, I don't have to explain a lot about that. 15 Ιf you just look at that. The new building will be 70-16 feet tall. New building will be towering over our 46-17 feet high building and other like 20 feet, 25 feet, 18 plus minus high rest of the single-family homes on the 19 street. And it will block almost all the sunlight 20 from all the living room, kitchen, and dining rooms. 21 The lower units will be the most impacted 22 Not just that, actually, I'm also providing the 23 ones. landscape plan for our building. That was in that

folder. It will also hugely impact that landscaping 25

OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

24

in the courtyard. We will be planting a lot of trees, shrubs, and other landscaping in that courtyard, which is basically based on the green area ratio required and approved by Department of Environment. And we are supposed to provide that. No sunlight will definitely impact those shrubs or trees.

And other important thing is it will hugely 7 impact the sale of those condos. Like, a few 8 interested parties have already backed out, like, and 9 rest awaiting the outcome of this BZA meeting. 10 11 They're really concerned about the impact of this 12 proposed building on our condominiums. They're really concerned about like, are we going to lose all the 13 sunlight from our living rooms or kitchens? Or like, 14 would there be like parking chaos on the street 15 because the proposed building is only providing three 16 parking spots with 50 families, like almost with staff 17 members, almost 175 people. And only providing three 18 19 parking spots.

In comparison, look at our building, six units, six families, and we have three parking spots at the back, required by law. I would like -- I would say I strongly, strongly, I cannot emphasize more, oppose the variances and exceptions due to the fact that the building that requires several unreasonable

OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

and unnecessary variances would end up ruining as a 1 matter of by-right built brand new building. That 2 will be really unfair. We played by the rules, 3 followed all the -- we didn't -- we had an option to 4 qo to BZA, get an exception. We also compromised on 5 the courtyard. The width of the building at the 6 courtyard section, just to provide extra light to the 7 units. 8

9 We've played by the rules and still we could 10 end up being bankrupt. And that would be really 11 unfair. I don't have anything else to say. Thank 12 you.

MR. BROWN: Thank you. Our next witness isDavid Forest.

MR. FOREST: Well, good evening. Excuse me. My medication is wearing off here. My name is David Forest. I've been a resident at 2913 17th Street Northeast for seven years, and live there with my wife, Dina, 70 feet from the site of the proposed facility.

I'm also the president of a neighborhood community organization, Citizens for Responsible Options, representing dozens of concerned neighbors who have banded together in protest because we were not informed of the site selection in advance and had

OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

1 no realistic opportunity for input.

I'll start by noting that one very good friend and neighbor has already left the block, in part because of the shelter project. Another good friend is right now preparing to sell their home and leave because of this project. And two more friends and immediate neighbors are in the wings, considering leaving.

9 So, anyone who tells you there will be no 10 impact on the property values, or on the very fabric 11 of our community is not living our reality. This 12 wouldn't be happening if the size of the proposed 13 building and the occupancy were proportional to the 14 neighborhood, say 25 people at the site. It's not 15 about the resident population, but the scale.

I can tell you that folks I know have gone door to door around the immediate area, gathering about 300 signatures in opposition to the proportions of this design, with all of its exceptions and residents oppose this 10 to 1. Don't be fooled by form letters of support that you see uploaded to IZIS. It's not even close.

The combination of height and yard special exceptions result in blocking a significant amount of direct sunlight to most of us who live on the 2900

OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington, D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376

block. And as you've heard, most egregiously to the 1 condominiums, sunlight is important to our mental and 2 physical health, to our plants, and our gardens. Ιt 3 would be particularly devastating to the senior 4 residents on the west side of the street. 5 Ms. Connie, the widow who has asthma, Ms. Carolyn who has 6 epilepsy, Ms. Amy who is very sick, Howard University 7 professor, Emeritus Maddie Tabron (phonetic) and her 8 husband, who have Alzheimer's, and Ms. Delores, Pastor 9 Wilks' wife, who is blind but can perceive light. 10 And 11 I commend you to my wife, Dina's very touching written 12 testimony about the cathartic healing that her garden has given her since she lost her son. 13

There are so many things wrong with this site and the design. It's not possible to do them justice in the five minutes that I have, but I'll try.

Allowing a special exception for occupancy would be like dropping some sort of strange people bomb with 146 residents and 27 staff with visitors coming and going; visitors who are not allowed in the building. Compared to the 25 people who live on this block. The influx will be overwhelming.

The parking study is deeply flawed; claims that homeless people don't have cars and the staff will use public transit, which begs the question of

OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

1 why the city is spending millions of dollars in Ward 3 2 to service homeless who don't have cars and staff who 3 will use public transit.

The recent increase in high speed traffic 4 The lack of a loading berth 5 represents real dangers. is a bigger problem than anyone acknowledges. 6 My written testimony illustrates how cars and delivery 7 vans can't negotiate into the parking spots as 8 designed from the narrow alley. It's 15 feet. 9 It's not 18 or 19 feet as you heard earlier. 10 There's no 11 garbage dumpster area identified, which means shoe 12 horning one in will degrade the outdoor spaces further. They're already way too small. 13

This is all completely unnecessary. It's not 14 the last possible scrap of land in Ward 5. There's 15 Fort Totten where the District is selling land. 16 There's McMillan Park, there's land for sale down the 17 street, Virginia Williams could be expanded. 18 It was a terrible choice from the start, and no one wants to go 19 back and do the selection right, but everyone seems on 20 board to move ahead with a bad idea. 21

I don't know if you caught it earlier, but Phil Mendelson actually described the selection process for the site, which is they got some ideas from Langdon Park residents. It turns out that it was

OLENDER REPORTING, INC. 1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington,D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376 actually one resident, Kevin Malone. It's on the written record. And he identified a number of sites, and they looked at it and said, great, let's pick 1700 Rhode Island Avenue. What he didn't tell you was that Rashad Young assessed the site and determined that it was too small and that Christopher Weaver --

MS. MOLDENHAUER: I would object. I'm sorry. City Administrator Young is here. He can testify to facts rather than having an opposition witness trying to testify to what he was saying.

11 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. That's okay. Just 12 one second. Is CA Young here in the room?

13 [No audible response.]

14 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. That's all right. 15 Just go ahead and finish your statement. When the 16 City Administrator is here you can repeat your 17 statement and then he can clarify if there's any 18 guestions later, or respond.

19 MR. FOREST: Sure.

20 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Go ahead.

21 MR. FOREST: It's part of the written record. 22 There's --

23 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay.

MR. FOREST: -- public, public record. And same thing for Christopher Weaver, letter April 11th,

OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

1 2016, determined site was too small for the purposes.

All right. I'm about out of time so I'm going to conclude.

4 MR. BROWN: Our next witness is Joseph 5 Cassidy.

6 MR. CASSIDY: Good evening. And thank you for 7 the opportunity to testify. My name is Joseph 8 Cassidy, and I'm a resident of 5B-03. I live in 1921 9 Kearney Street Northeast for the last 14 years. I'm 10 going to attempt to abbreviate my originally planned 11 statement in the spirit of brevity here.

12 But I did want to point out that one very conspicuous salient feature of this case has involved 13 what David alluded to moments ago, a number of 14 official statements coming from the very people that 15 are asking this Board today to approve this plan. 16 These statements that I'll just reiterate briefly, all 17 speak to the impropriety of the site. And I'll start 18 19 with the mayor.

In her May 27, 2016 letter to Chairman Mendelson, she describes the site as constrained and urges him to make provisions for housing, at least 11, so the 15 families that wouldn't fit, citing the number where it would cap at 35, that the other families needed to have some provision made for them

OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

1 to be housed elsewhere.

And Administrator Rashad Young's comment, 2 which I also took from the public record and I won't 3 reiterate any further. And finally, my own 4 councilman, McDuffie's January 17th, 2017 5 correspondence to Directors Gillis and Zeilinger 6 conceding the unmanageable size of the project on such 7 a small property. And in his e-mail --8 9 MS. MAZO: Again, I mean, I'm sorry. We iust --10 CHAIRPERSON HILL: 11 Excuse me. No, just let 12 him finish. Okay? Thank you. In his e-mail to the Director, 13 MR. CASSIDY: Councilman McDuffie wrote, and I quote, "As you are 14 aware, Ward 5 residents have continued to express 15 concerns about the height of the proposed Ward 5 16 shelter. While I understand the inherent challenges 17 of getting all the units needed, and amenities on a 18 small footprint, on behalf of the impacted neighbors, 19 I ask you to expand every reasonable resource 20 available to come up with a creative way of reducing 21 22 the height of the building to something more manageable for its neighbors. 23 Now, these same officials ask this Board to 24 approve a plan that each of them to various extents 25 OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

has recognized is improper. On the contrary, the BZA 1 2 should reject the City's request for these special acceptance (sic). In accordance with the recent 3 letter by Thomas Luebke, a fellow of the American 4 Institute of Architecture of the U.S. Commission of 5 Fine Arts, citing the guote/unguote, "Challenges 6 inherent to this site and the difficulty of designing 7 a new building that can reasonably meet the specified 8 program." 9

Mr. Luebke states that this CFA, the Commission of Fine Arts, quote, "Observed that the proposed massing is too tall for its context, appears bulky and overwhelms the historic Colonial Revivalist style building designed by Albert L. Harris."

In conclusion, he states that he anticipates 15 the submission of a new concept design that 16 17 corresponds with his comments. By rejecting the requested special exceptions, the Board will place 18 itself in the company of many other distinguished, 19 thoughtful city planners, who authored the likes of 20 the 2014 D.C. Department of Transportation's Rhode 21 22 Island Streetscape master plan, and the 2011 Small Area Plan. 23

24Rhode Island Small Area Plan, Rhode Island25Avenue, Diamond of the District. These plans

OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

articulate discreet prescriptions to make the Rhode 1 Island Avenue corridor an attractive destination for 2 future commercial and residential mixed use and 3 development. Notably, the small area plan, quote, 4 "Recommends that new licenses for storefront churches, 5 check cashing services, addiction treatment 6 facilities, halfway houses, and group residential 7 facilities be extremely limited or prohibited." 8 That's the small area plan at page 4. 9

In tandem, these two documents are visionary 10 11 guideposts for the Board today in answering the 12 question they are confronted with. The streetscape master plan cites, again I quote, "One of the key 13 assets of the corridor is its fabric of existing 14 historic buildings." Master plan at page 3. And 15 recognizes the, quote, "Opportunity for the 16 preservation of the police use station." Small area 17 plan at page 14. 18

19 Instead, the City propose to demolish the 20 later third of this historic building in its quest to 21 realize this hastily devised project.

The CFA letter is also instructional here when it observed, and I quote again, "When sites are selected quickly initial feasibility studies may reveal the specific building program's need to be

OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington, D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376

adjusted, requiring more flexibility and following guidelines, the designs for the new multifamily housing projects are tested for real neighborhood sites."

On behalf of the nearly 300 SMD 5B-03 5 residents who petitioned in opposition to this project 6 as currently proposed, but are unable to be here 7 today, I respectfully ask this Board to acknowledge 8 the widespread citizen opposition, and join the CFA 9 while filing the -- while following the pression (sic) 10 11 advice of the aforementioned city planners, and deny 12 the city's request for relief vis-à-vis the special exceptions to their own zoning regulations. And I 13 thank you for your indulgence. 14

15 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Thank you. Mr. Cassidy.16 Correct?

17 MR. CASSIDY: Yes, sir. Thank you.

18 CHAIRPERSON HILL: So, Mr. Brown, I just 19 wanted to make sure that -- you have two more people. 20 I want to make sure they have the opportunity to 21 speak. We basically have come to the end of your 45 22 minutes. Is another five minutes going to be okay for 23 the two of them? Is that --

24 MR. BROWN: I cautioned them. I said, no more 25 than three or four minutes apiece.

1

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay.

2 MR. BROWN: So, I'm hopeful that we'll get 3 there.

4 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. I'm going to put six 5 minutes on the clock for both of you there. Okay? 6 All right. Thank you. If you could please introduce 7 yourselves?

8 MR. BROWN: Our next witness is John Iskander. 9 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Could you say your last 10 name again, sir? You need to push the button.

MR. ISKANDER: Okay. Iskander, I-S-K-A-N-D-ER. First name is John.

13 So, thanks again. You all are sinking down as 14 the night goes on. Sorry. And thank you for your 15 service to us and the city.

A couple of things I wanted to just say as you all are thinking about this. One is, a lot of people have talked about the question, you know, can you put in more than 25 people and the grounds that they would have to meet is that there would be no reasonable alternative among other things.

22 So, I mean, one of the things that's super 23 noticeable when you're just sitting here as a citizen, 24 is that in essence, the city kind of certifies itself. 25 Like, is this the only reasonable place? Like, well

yes, it is. Right. And it goes around in a kind of 1 circle where they ask each other effectively all being 2 offices that report back to the Executive Office of 3 the Mayor. Right? I mean, you all should of course -4 - I mean, you're in this world much more than I. 5 But in the world that I work in, when everybody works for 6 one person at the top they tend to take that into 7 consideration. 8

Now, I'm not suggesting anything untoward, I'm 9 just saying, think about that as you think about this, 10 11 right? Yes, oh yes, this is the perfect place. It's 12 amazing to reflect on the fact that before the council member suggested the current place, 1700 Rhode Island, 13 when the mayor suggested the place that they selected, 14 1700 Rhode Island was unacceptable. It's too small. 15 It's an inappropriate place, right? That's in the 16 17 record.

But then, and all the city people are like, yeah, that's terrible. Wrong place. We can't use that. It's not going to be big enough.

And then, when the council actually passes a law and says, no, this is the right place, then all of a sudden of course, the city falls in line. And yes, this is the perfect place. We need to support this place. The only possible alternative. There is no

OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington, D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376

reasonable alternative. Right? You get that kind of language. Clearly that's not true, right? It's just, on its face, not true, and this seems like something that you all should be really thinking very seriously about.

The thing that we have been wanting as 6 neighbors, as citizens, as residents, is for the city 7 8 to actually come and engage with us. That has never happened. All of this talk about, oh, we've had so 9 many meetings. Those meetings have been by fiat. 10 They come and they tell us, here's what we're going to 11 12 do. Do you want red brick, or do you want yellow 13 brick?

14 We're like, no, we want three stories. How 15 about that?

16 Yellow brick? How about a tree? Would that 17 help you? No, we want it to be a smaller building and 18 less dense.

Could we extend the hours over at the Langdon Rec Center for you? Right? They do those things, right? A little bait and switch on us. And really what we're saying is, come and talk to us. Take us seriously. We're the tax payers. We're the people who will live with this for 20, 30, 40, 50 years, and you need to listen to us.

1 So, all of this is important for you only 2 because it goes to this issue of is this in fact the 3 reasonable, the only reasonable alternative. Clearly 4 it isn't.

5 The second thing I just wanted to very quickly 6 mention is why it is that you did not get a resolution 7 from the ANC. So, you heard from our SMD 8 commissioner. In effect, our SMD commissioner 9 challenged us several months ago, to show him that 10 there was in fact opposition. He's like, there's no 11 real opposition to this. Okay.

12 So, we went out, and my colleague will talk 13 more about this. We came back. We showed very 14 clearly, there is immense opposition.

Over the period leading up to this meeting we 15 all knew the BZA meeting was coming. We need to have 16 17 a resolution that reflects the community sentiment, and effect, our SMD commissioner stalled and stalled 18 and stalled, until we got to our last meeting which is 19 on February 22nd, at which time he proposed a 20 completely unacceptable resolution without time, at 21 22 that point, for us to come back and get a resolution. However, four of the five commissioners there 23 very clearly indicated their support for a resolution 24 and you have three letters on the record tonight, 25

OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

1 saying that they oppose it. But, if we had more time 2 I guarantee you there would be an actual great weight 3 of the community on --

4 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Mr. Iskander, I -- how do 5 you pronounce it? Iskander?

6 MR. ISKANDER: That's fine. Yeah.

7 CHAIRPERSON HILL: I just, I'm just keeping 8 track of time only because it's kind of, I have to 9 then go back to the applicant. But I do want you to 10 sum up when you get a chance because actually you've 11 been -- you've woken me back up. You know, I was --12 MR. ISKANDER: Going down.

13 CHAIRPERSON HILL: At least your tone is, you 14 know, very energetic. So, would you like to wrap up 15 before you move to your colleague?

16 MR. ISKANDER: Well, yeah. I mean --

17 CHAIRPERSON HILL: And we might come back for 18 questions with you. I'm just --

MR. ISKANDER: No, the only thing that I'll say is that when it came up to the ANC, the ANC basically -- the question was like, show we have a little opposition here, sort of like Ward 3 did. Right? You know, we'll have a little opposition here, a little agreement there. And in fact, the city's attorney was there and made that suggestion.

OLENDER REPORTING, INC. 1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington,D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376 And basically, the ANC commissioners came back and said, the other commissioners said, no, and they rejected sort of root and stock, the proposition that was being made by our SMD commissioner, the one who went against the will of his own residents.

All right. So, just, you know, as you all 6 think about this, the ANC really actually hasn't had a 7 chance to formulate itself and its opinion and 8 thoughts here for you all. But in terms of what I can 9 convey, in terms of what I saw, right, not speaking to 10 11 their sort of state of mind and so on, that is a very 12 strong opposition. Something that again, there is a deep opposition at the level of community. Thank you 13 very much. 14

15 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Thank you. He ate 16 up all your time. But I'm going to give you two 17 minutes. Okay?

18 Well, he covered many of the points that I was 19 going to cover. So, we're okay.

20 CHAIRPERSON HILL: What's your name, please? 21 MS. WEAZNER: My name is Laura Weazner. I 22 live at 1918 Lawrence Street Northeast. I am a member 23 of Single-member District 5B-03. And so, my purpose 24 to discuss with you is the petition, which you can see 25 is in Exhibit 103. I won't read it for you. You can

OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington, D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376

1 look at it, at your leisure.

But essentially, as John explained, we -- I 2 went to many of the meetings and Commissioner Makembe 3 made it very clear to his constituents that he was in 4 support of the shelter, and he felt that his 5 constituents shared his opinion. And we tried to 6 explain to him that we felt otherwise, and that we 7 don't agree with the special exceptions and variances, 8 and we felt dismissed. 9

So, we went to the streets and we canvassed 10 11 our entire SMD, and eight of us collected signatures. 12 So, the total handwritten signatures are 208. And then we opened the petition up online just to 5B 13 members, and received an additional 83 signatures 14 online. So, the total number was 291 neighbors who 15 directly near this shelter, are opposed to the special 16 17 exceptions and variances.

And the petition is very clear. It's not that we're opposed to the shelter, but we are opposed to an enormous building that steals sunlight from the neighbors and will have a direct impact on those residents who are within 200 feet. So, I hope you will consider that in your decision.

24 We did bring that petition to all the 5B 25 commissioners, and as well as to Commissioner Makembe,

OLENDER REPORTING, INC. 1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington,D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376 a sking him to pose a resolution and he chose to bring a resolution to the SMD that the residents at that meeting were wholeheartedly against. There was a vast majority who did not support his resolution. We felt the language did not support what the residents in this community feel, which is that we are opposed to the special exceptions and variances.

And so, when the 5B meeting came, the other commissioners realized how the SMD actually feels, and they decided to not second his resolution, which is why you don't have one before you today. And that's all I have for you.

13 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Thank you. Mr. Brown,14 anything in conclusion?

MR. BROWN: I have nothing further to add.
 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Great. Or, I should
 say, thank you.

So, before we eventually get to the Board 18 asking questions, we're going to ask the applicant --19 give the applicant the opportunity to cross. And so, 20 again, I'm going to try to just keep track of time in 21 22 general. And so, Ms. Mazo, do you have a rough idea? Is 15 minutes a good place to start? That's where 23 I'm kind of thinking is a good place to start. 24 MS. MAZO: Yeah, 15 minutes is probably a good 25

OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

1 place to start.

2 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Okay. So, once 3 again, right --

I hear my colleague and I --4 MS. MAZO: That's okay. CHAIRPERSON HILL: That's all 5 That's all right. That's all right. So, I 6 right. thankfully do still have the microphone and somebody 7 here has the control of the timer, so I get the hook 8 eventually. But so, please ask your questions and 9 let's just, everyone let's try to answer the 10 11 attorney's questions. That's what they're here for. 12 And then we will try to move forward. Thank you. MS. MOLDENHAUER: Maybe it's just been a 13 while, but I figured I'd ask a couple of questions to 14 opposing counsel, to just clarify because --15 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Can you lean into the 16 17 microphone? I'm sorry, Ms. Moldenhauer. Sorry, I'm like --18 MS. MOLDENHAUER: That's all right. We're 19 CHAIRPERSON HILL: all shrinking apparently. 20 MS. MOLDENHAUER: I don't have a lot of room 21 22 to shrink. But, okay. So, you indicate that the Board has the 23 authority to say no to the site. Isn't it true that 24 the Board only has the authority to accept or deny the 25 OLENDER REPORTING, INC. 1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington, D.C.20036 (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Washington: Toll Free: (888) 445-3376

1 application before them?

2 MR. BROWN: That's correct. MS. MOLDENHAUER: So, your statement that they 3 have the ability to say not to the site, as the site 4 that was selected by council, is not accurate? 5 MR. BROWN: Well, I would call it a bit 6 rhetorical. By basically denying you their requested 7 relief, they are in effect saying no to the site. 8 MS. MOLDENHAUER: But you've also indicated 9 that your clients do not oppose the use of a special -10 11 - of an emergency shelter here. So then, you would be 12 supporting the special exception relief, but then having questions regarding the variance and the size 13 and the height. 14 MR. BROWN: Gee, if I said that, I misspoke. 15 My clients are very much in favor of making sure that 16 a homeless shelter in Ward 5 is anywhere but in the 17 immediate vicinity of Rhode Island Avenue. 18 So, just not near them. 19 MS. MOLDENHAUER: 20 MR. BROWN: Right. MS. MOLDENHAUER: And so --21 22 CHAIRPERSON HILL: That's okay. And by the way, I'm just also being clear that I did hear the 23 first question which also, I understood as we have the 24 ability to deny the variance. 25

OLENDER REPORTING, INC. 1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington,D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376 MS. MOLDENHAUER: The Board always has the ability to deny --

3 CHAIRPERSON HILL: I just wanted to clarify, 4 right.

5 MS. MOLDENHAUER: -- any application. 6 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Just wanted to --7 MS. MOLDENHAUER: I think that's --

8 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Just wanted to clarify 9 also. Right.

10 MS. MOLDENHAUER: Yeah.

11 CHAIRPERSON HILL: No, I appreciate the 12 question.

MS. MOLDENHAUER: I think it was a very easy question, probably, to answer given the entire day. But let me just jump back in here.

So, another question that you said is that the 16 17 exceptional conditions are unavoidable. And I just, I want to go back just kind of focusing on the issues of 18 19 zoning. The variance is a standard that actually goes to the property, not to council or site selection. 20 Ιt goes to the property itself, and then the relief being 21 22 sought for this property. Is that correct?

23 MR. BROWN: Yeah, I think I understand your 24 question. Yes.

25 MS. MOLDENHAUER: You understand the question

1 and the answer is yes, or --

MR. BROWN: Yes. 2 MS. MOLDENHAUER: And then, I'm going to turn 3 it over to my co-counsel. 4 Okay, Mr. Curlin, I appreciate all MS. MAZO: 5 of our conversations that we've had at our meetings, 6 but I just would like you to clarify a few things for 7 In your Washington Post submission, and then in 8 me. your submission here in your discussion, you have 9 mentioned other types of uses, 1545 Gerrard Street. 10 11 But to be clear, none of those are emergency shelters, 12 correct? That is correct, and that's why I 13 MR. CURLIN: began with a term, noscitur a sociis, a word is known 14 from the company it keeps. And similar is not the 15 same as identical. 16 17 MS. MAZO: Okay. So, you have technically met the MR. CURLIN: 18 standard of 500 feet, but you are still abutting the 19 question, or avoiding the question. 20 MS. MAZO: Okay. That's fine. 21 22 MR. CURLIN: Similarly. It was a, you know, guick answer. 23 MS. MAZO: Now again, if you could just help me 24 Okav. understand, because I'm getting a little confused by 25 OLENDER REPORTING, INC. 1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington, D.C.20036 (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Washington: Toll Free: (888) 445-3376

some of your numbers that you had in your testimony. 1 So, our property is at 1700 Rhode Island Avenue. 2 MR. CURLIN: That's correct. 3 So, you say, 500 feet from that 4 MS. MAZO: property is 1545 Gerrard Street apartments. 5 That makes sense to me, a block and a half away. 6 7 MR. CURLIN: Yes. MS. MAZO: But then you say --8 Excuse me if I may? 9 MR. CURLIN: MS. MAZO: Yeah. 10 MR. CURLIN: 11 It is on the opposite side and 12 one block away. So, there it's 1700 Gerrard. That's where -- what's missing in their discussion about 17 13 and Rhode Island is Gerrard intersects. So, here is 14 Rhode Island, here is 17th. But dissecting that 15 intersection is Gerrard Street coming in. And so, one 16 block away is 1545. So, 1700 is on the far side of 17 the sidewalk if you will, and 1545 is on the near side 18 of the sidewalk on 16th -- on 16th Street. 19 MS. MAZO: Okay. So, maybe you can help me --20 MR. CURLIN: Certainly. 21 MS. MAZO: -- to understand again, some of 22 these numbers a little bit more fully. 23 24 MR. CURLIN: All right. MS. MAZO: But you said that the property at -25 OLENDER REPORTING, INC. 1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington, D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376

Toll Free: (888) 445-3376

1 - the Violet project --

MR. CURLIN: Project. 2 MS. MAZO: -- at 1550 Rhode Island is 205 feet 3 4 away. That's from, from --MR. CURLIN: 5 MS. MAZO: Where? 6 7 MR. CURLIN: 1545 Gerrard Street. So, if you walk from --8 9 MS. MAZO: Oh, so you --MR. CURLIN: -- Gerrard Street --10 So, okay. So, I understand, I was 11 MS. MAZO: confused then. 12 I thought you meant that each of these were within --13 MR. CURLIN: No. No. 14 MS. MAZO: -- this proximity to 1700 Rhode 15 Island Avenue. 16 MR. CURLIN: No, that's --17 MS. MAZO: But you're taking this from 1545 18 Gerrard Street, or it's all cumulative? If you could 19 just help us to understand? 20 MR. CURLIN: Yeah, certainly. We have the 21 22 benefit of Washington Post editor. When I wrote the article, it said, from 1700, 550 feet gets you to 23 1545, or 1545 Gerrard Street, 205 feet away from that 24 is the Violet project. 25

OLENDER REPORTING, INC. 1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington,D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376

Then you take the corner and go up to 1500 1 2 Franklin Street to the Veterans. That's 415 more feet. You go across --3 MS. MAZO: Sorry, and just to be clear, that's 4 415 more. 5 MR. CURLIN: Yes. 6 7 MS. MAZO: So, in total that's 620 feet from Gerrard Street, which is another 500 feet from our 8 property. So, what you're saying --9 10 MR. CURLIN: Only --MS. MAZO: -- here is that -- I'm sorry, 11 12 again, maybe I'm dumb. Maybe I can't understand these numbers. But what you're really saying, if I'm to 13 understand, is that say I pick this 500 Franklin 14 Street Veterans --15 16 MR. CURLIN: All right. MS. MAZO: -- Administration. It's not 415 17 feet away from Rhode Island, it's actually more 18 like --19 20 MR. CURLIN: No. MS. MAZO: -- 1,000 feet away. Is that 21 22 correct? MR. CURLIN: Not quite. But you're closer. 23 My observation as you read on down is that we're 24 talking about a four-block radius, but we start with a 25 OLENDER REPORTING, INC. 1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington, D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376

very tight focus on how closely they are to one 1 another, very similarly serviced organizations. So, 2 only if you zig-zag back and forth do you come up with 3 your cumulative number. 4 MS. MAZO: Got it. Okay. So --5 If you do a direct line from --MR. CURLIN: 6 7 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Wait, give me a second. Give me a second. 8 MS. MAZO: 9 Sure. So, there's a list that's 10 CHAIRPERSON HILL: 11 been provided in testimony --12 MR. CURLIN: Correct. CHAIRPERSON HILL: -- as to similar but not --13 MR. CURLIN: Yes, identical. 14 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Similar but not identical. 15 16 MR. CURLIN: Yes. 17 CHAIRPERSON HILL: So, if on submission you guys would like to come back to the list and tell me 18 19 what you all think the number is, and you can tell me what you all think the number is, that's fine. 20 21 MR. CURLIN: Sure. Be glad to. 22 CHAIRPERSON HILL: From the property that we're speaking of. I understand what you're asking 23 24 about, but we don't need to go down each --MS. MAZO: Yeah, no. And that's fine. 25 OLENDER REPORTING, INC. 1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington, D.C.20036 (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Washington: Toll Free: (888) 445-3376

1 CHAIRPERSON HILL: -- each one.

2 MS. MAZO: It was just more, it was purely --3 CHAIRPERSON HILL: There seems like there's a 4 discrepancy.

I couldn't understand. And so, I MS. MAZO: 5 just wanted some clarity. I'm not sure we need to 6 come back on any sort of submission to illustrate this 7 point, except my understanding now from Mr. Curlin is 8 that it is incorrect to read that one of these 9 locations, let's say the Brookland Manor location, is 10 450 feet away from Rhode Island Avenue, from the site. 11 12 Is that a correct understanding?

MR. CURLIN: No. No. I'm trying to lead you through a neighborhood which we all live in, and we can walk from one site to the next site, taking 205 feet steps, or 450 feet steps, or whatever. I can drive --

18 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Excuse me one second again.19 I'm sorry. Mr. Hart, did you have a comment?

20 MR. HART: Yeah. I actually, while I 21 understand the conversation that's going on, I think 22 that really it's either a map to show where all this 23 stuff is, and that way we don't have to kind of go 24 through, what is 500, 200, and whatever, because 25 really it is just to understand what this is. This is

OLENDER REPORTING, INC. 1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington,D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376

all easily mappable. And if you can provide that --1 MR. CURLIN: I can easily do it. Certainly. 2 MR. HART: -- that would be helpful. 3 MR. CURLIN: That solution. 4 So, I just don't think that we need MR. HART: 5 to get into what is more, 200, or whatever. 6 It just seems very -- we're going down the rabbit hole for 7 something that I'm not really sure is -- I think is 8 kind of easily fixed. 9 MR. CURLIN: Right. Visualized, right. I'll 10 do that. 11 12 MS. MAZO: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Curlin. I believe those are all the questions I had for you. I 13 would like to spend a couple of minutes talking to Mr. 14 Khan. 15 Now, Mr. Khan, you testified that you've 16 17 developed in the area for a while. Have you developed in the District for a while? 18 19 MR. KHAN: In the Metropolitan area, D.C. MS. MAZO: Okay. So, in the District of 20 Columbia? 21 22 MR. KHAN: In District of Columbia too, with my business partner. Yes. 23 MS. MAZO: Okay. And you mentioned, well, 24 that you didn't believe that anything was ever going 25 OLENDER REPORTING, INC. 1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington, D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376

1 to be built on this site, but did you have a no-build 2 easement that you purchased to go across this site?

MR. KHAN: No, because of the rear setback we knew that it's going to require special exception or a variance, which we would fight because our building is built as a matter-of-right. So, that would be really unfair to block all the sunlight from a building which was built as a matter of by-right by giving lot 7 unrealistic variances or special exceptions. Yeah.

MS. MAZO: Well, Mr. Khan, you said you've 10 11 developed in the District. Do you understand that in 12 the District that corner lots have the ability to choose their rear lot line, and so accordingly the 13 rear lot line that was selected for this site, because 14 it is a corner lot, is correct? So, therefore, you 15 had really no reliance at all on zoning that you would 16 17 get any sort of setback from your property.

MR. KHAN: That could be -- yeah, that could be a case, but you know, if anything someone is building as a matter of by-right, I have no right to contest that or protest that.

But my only question here is, or plea is, why would you allow -- give someone variances or special exceptions to ruin other buildings that were built as a matter of by-right? If that was a case of by-right,

OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington, D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376

1 I wouldn't -- you wouldn't see me here.

MS. MAZO: Okay. That's fine. Mr. Khan, clearly you understand that no side yard is required in the MU-4 Zone because you built your property all the way out to the side lot line as indicated by the fact that there are no windows along that lot line. Is that correct?

8 MR. KHAN: That's right. But I know that 70-9 feet tall building would not be allowed by right 10 there. That will block all my, what do you call it, 11 courtyard. Yeah. It's not by-right to go 70 feet 12 above. Yeah.

MS. MAZO: Right. And, would you -- you mentioned your landscape land and the impact on your landscape plan. Would you have understood that there would have been any -- and similar impact on your landscape plan on a matter-of-right structure that was built to 50 feet along the side yard line as it could be on this site?

20 MR. KHAN: Could be, yeah. Could be.

MS. MOLDENHAUER: So, our firm, in addition to representing the City on homeless shelters, every once in a while does come before this Board representing market rate developers such as yourself. And, there are plenty of projects -- are you aware that there are

OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

plenty of projects in the city that have courtyards 1 built right up against other market rate developments 2 on other projects? 3 MR. KHAN: I'm not sure. Like --4 MS. MOLDENHAUER: Are you aware that 5 throughout the city, so there's like H Street or other 6 areas, where there are buildings built right up 7 8 against a courtyard? 9 MS. MAZO: That share a party wall. 10 MS. MOLDENHAUER: That share party walls. I'm not sure about that, no. 11 MR. KHAN: 12 MS. MOLDENHAUER: You're not sure about that. So, you don't know the city well enough to --13 MR. KHAN: No, I know the city but I'm not 14 sure if there are buildings like that. 15 MS. MOLDENHAUER: So, but you built a court 16 17 that's complaint to zoning, right? MR. KHAN: Uh-huh. 18 MS. MOLDENHAUER: So, would that then mean 19 that that court would be adequate enough to provide 20 interior light for your lightwell? 21 22 MS. MAZO: As designed. 23 MS. MOLDENHAUER: As designed. 24 MR. KHAN: Yes. MS. MOLDENHAUER: No matter what happens, 25 OLENDER REPORTING, INC. 1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington, D.C.20036 (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Washington:

Toll Free: (888) 445-3376

1 surrounding that, that is designed for purposes of 2 protecting your property and a court is wide enough 3 then, pursuant to zoning, to provide light to those 4 units?

MR. KHAN: Yeah.

5

6

MS. MOLDENHAUER: Okay. Thank you.

7 MS. MAZO: Mr. Cassidy, just a couple questions. You've referenced what I think you called 8 the small area plan, and you indicated that there were 9 -- you stated that there were certain potential 10 But was one of those -- sorry. 11 limitations. 12 Potential limitations on what could be built based on certain areas of that small area plan that I don't 13 believe you identified, but did that small area plan, 14 the reference, did it in any way limit the ability to 15 16 construct an emergency shelter?

MR. CASSIDY: I'm not an expert on the small area plan, counsel. But I can tell you what I've read was explicitly taken from that document. And the things that it prohibited and recommended against were explicitly written out there. And I quoted from that. Intentionally. I didn't paraphrase, I quoted. I took their language.

MS. MAZO: All right. Thank you. No more questions.

OLENDER REPORTING, INC. 1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington,D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376 Mr. Forest, just a quick question. When was
 NRG created?

MR. FOREST: We're not NRG. We're Citizens for Responsible Options.

5 MS. MAZO: Oh, I'm sorry. Citizens for 6 Responsible Options.

7 MR. FOREST: And the people who currently make 8 up our membership began organizing in June, shortly 9 after the city council vote. But we did not become 10 Citizens for Responsible Options until later August or 11 early September when we basically felt we had run out 12 of options and needed counsel to get the city to hear 13 us.

MS. MAZO: Okay. And, Mr. Council -- sorry, Mr. Forest, I would just ask you to respond to this question in a calm manner, but the record -- there are many, many letters of support in the record. Do you agree with that statement?

MR. FOREST: How many are there? I don't know. I didn't count them. I know that there is redundant records in the record, the last time I saw them, but --

MS. MAZO: Okay. So, just to be clear, your testimony was that residents oppose this project 10 to 1.

OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington, D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376

MR. FOREST: I was very specific when I said 1 2 that. Not all residents everywhere. I said the residents in the nearby area, because that's where we 3 did our polling. 4 Now, the letters of support, I don't know. 5 Some of them seem to come from close by. Some of them 6 seem to come from across town. I haven't done an 7 analysis. I haven't had time. 8 9 MS. MAZO: Okay. Understood. I would say, compared to our 10 MR. FOREST: 11 number of signatures in our petition, that number is 12 small. MS. MAZO: But, you just admitted you hadn't 13 counted, so I'm not sure how you can make that 14 assumption, correct? 15 MR. FOREST: It's true, I didn't count but I, 16

17 you know, breezed through them and I didn't see 18 anything like 290 exhibits of letters of support. I 19 think my people would have alerted me if that had 20 happened.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Thank you.
MS. MAZO: I think we're done, under our 15
minutes. Six minutes to -- six seconds to spare.
CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. All right. So,
we're up to the Board now again. So, welcome back up

1 this way. Okay?

2 Let's go ahead. Does the Board have any questions for the witnesses? 3 Okay, I just wanted to --4 MS. WHITE: CHAIRPERSON HILL: Ms. White. 5 MS. WHITE: Yes. Thank you. Just wanted to 6 have you kind of reiterate again what you believe to 7 be the adverse impacts of this project. I'm hearing a 8 lot of different things. I know there's a lot of 9 support. I know there's a lot of opposition. 10 11 So, I wanted to just be clear because what our 12 job is, to look at the rules and the regulations. So, I'm just trying to get a sense of whether or not your 13 opposition fits within the text of the rule. 14 Mr. Brown? 15 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Mr. Brown, I'm sorry, your 16 mic is not on. 17 MR. BROWN: I've tried to reiterate the legal 18 requirements and how extreme the variances are. 19 Ι have left to the citizens to describe for you in their 20 own words, what they regard as the adverse 21 22 neighborhood impacts. And I'd rather that they answer that question. I'll turn over to Mr. Forest. 23 I mean, it doesn't have to be a 24 MS. WHITE: long response. Just to kind of get me thinking in 25 OLENDER REPORTING, INC. 1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington, D.C.20036 (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Washington: Toll Free: (888) 445-3376

1 terms of --

| 2 | MR. FOREST: Sure. |
|----|--|
| 3 | MS. WHITE: what your position is. |
| 4 | MR. FOREST: Sunlight. People leaving the |
| 5 | neighborhood because of this project. The parking. |
| 6 | The increased traffic. The fact that the scale of |
| 7 | this in terms of the number of people there is |
| 8 | overwhelming to the 25 residents on our block. And |
| 9 | the proportions of this facility are just dismaying. |
| 10 | The fact that they are destroying part of the historic |
| 11 | eligible police station, which we enjoy every day, and |
| 12 | sandwiching this massive structure in between the |
| 13 | condominiums and the rest of the police station is |
| 14 | just devastating to us. And I'll defer to our other |
| 15 | testimonies. |

MR. ISKANDER: Am I able to add one thing on 16 this, which is, Joe Cassidy referred to that document 17 that the city put out that they called Diamond of the 18 District. Rhode Island Avenue, Dimond of the 19 20 District, meaning like it's something you know, that can be mined. And I don't know what. And we 21 22 colloquially call it, Diamond in the Rough, because our neighborhood is like so poorly served right now. 23 It's so -- the things that we, as residents, and many 24 of us have been there for a long time, but that we 25

OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

want are things that need time and nurturing, and a
 loving and caring city to sort of berth into
 existence. And it's not there now.

And the city has made all these plans to try 4 and make that happen. So, when you put in an 5 institution, an institution that is closed, that is 6 gated, that has security and so on, that can serve 7 those, you know, those temporary residents fine. 8 But it actually does harm to the overall development. 9 That's just one more thing for you all, and I think 10 11 that is really relevant to the work of the Zoning Commission and for the BZA. 12

13 MS. WHITE: Thank you.

MR. CURLIN: If I might add to that 14 discussion? Really Rhode Island Avenue becomes like, 15 16 I don't know, the Dan Ryan Freeway. And it separates 17 our neighborhood from anybody on the other side in Langdon Park, as well as people going the other way. 18 We're surrounded by Rhode Island Avenue, South Dakota 19 Avenue, New York Avenue. You can go any number of 20 avenues. Michigan Avenue, New Jersey Avenue, Florida 21 22 Avenue. If you wanted an avenue with an M-4 rating, there are plenty of sites around. Literally, you can 23 walk down or drive down all the streets in Ward 5, and 24 you literally could get off the Dan Ryan Freeway, 694 25

OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

1 miles later, if you were to stretch end-to-end all the 2 blocks we have in Ward 5.

We feel that that area is so concentrated with 3 large buildings which are public service buildings, 4 which have been cited by the architect as, oh, this is 5 nothing unusual. But the occupants are not neighbors. 6 When I drive to my local little CVS station right 7 across the street from say, the Veterans, and right 8 across the street from the National Center for 9 Families, for Children and Families, I have to make 10 sure I don't hit a veteran because there are no 11 12 sidewalks.

13 If you have a shelter there at the corner of 14 17th and Gerrard, I won't say 17th and Rhode Island, 15 I'll say 17th and Gerrard, a block and a half away 16 from several of my houses, you're going to have no 17 sidewalks. If you look at the transportation study, 18 page -- or, it's Figure 4. You'll see half the places 19 people could park as staff, are missing sidewalks.

And this is not something, as John was mentioning, we're not well-served. We're not looking for tennis courts, gardens, rooftop places. We're Ward 5. We're the stubborn ornery cousins who are charitable. If you go into our neighborhood you will find more, you know, seminaries and monasteries and

OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

churches, and everything you ever would imagine, as
 well as public service organizations.

And we feel that as in the 1960s and '70s, we 3 have to stand up. We have been called filled with 4 vitriol, but we are stubborn, charitable cousins. We 5 will not sit down, just like in our '60s and '70s, we 6 had ancestors who said, we will not have a concrete 7 8 freeway coming from the beltway to the center city. Well, it turned into public transportation, the 9 Redline. 10

11 MS. WHITE: Thank you.

12 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Mr. Hart?

MR. HART: Yeah. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. MR. Forest, one of the things that you were -- that you stated in your testimony was that someone asked, I guess the -- actually, it wasn't the ask. This is what you were actually talking about.

18 MR. FOREST: Uh-huh.

MR. HART: And in terms of the number of people that you would kind of the density that you would kind of be okay with on this site, or maybe it was your group would be okay with on this site, and you said 25 people. And correct me if I'm wrong. Was that an accurate statement?

25 MR. FOREST: Yeah, that would have made sense.

OLENDER REPORTING, INC. 1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington, D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376

1 That's never been proposed by the way, for this site. 2 MR. HART: So, which is fine. Actually, the 3 question is, you were also asked about kind of 4 available parcels. And what you listed were, I don't 5 know, four or five parks. So, basically, land that 6 the city already -- the District already owns.

And what I'm a little bit confused by is what you're proposing, or what you would be in favor of, would be basically six buildings that could house 25 people on park land.

11 MR. FOREST: No. I didn't say that.

MR. HART: Well, what I'm saying is that, the issue is that you have 25 people, and if they're looking at 150 people about to have in this unit, you have to put them at some place. And so, if you're trying to look for a similar amount of units for those people, you're looking at six buildings for that same --

MR. FOREST: No, you need a lot with at least 30,000 square feet. And the mayor found a site that was big enough, and one of the options that I said in passing was, look down at Rhode Island and 13th. There's 84,000 square foot lots ready for sale right now.

25 It was actually looked at by the city. But OLENDER REPORTING, INC. 1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington, D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376

they, at the time, said well, it's just too expensive. 1 But, the manipulations that I'm seeing here in this 2 process, like in Ward 3, spending millions and 3 millions to get past zoning exceptions, you could buy 4 a lot like that, and you'd have plenty of room, and 5 you could put a facility that would be just the right 6 size, big playground, plenty of parking, and you know, 7 why not look for that in the first place? 8 That was my point. 9 10 MR. HART: Okay. So --That wasn't done. 11 MR. FOREST: 12 MR. HART: Well, but you're also saying for this particular site that if it was 25 people then the 13 rest of the people would be going on to some other 14 If you were to only build that, that this --15 site. Well, that. Yeah, right. 16 MR. FOREST: То 17 meet the City's programming needs, yeah. MR. HART: Thank you. 18 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Commissioner Miller? 19 No questions. 20 MR. MILLER: CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. So, I'm going to ask 21 22 -- by a show of hands, how many people are here wishing to speak in opposition? Four. Okay. All 23 24 right. Okay. Thank you. So, if I could get like -- if this middle 25 OLENDER REPORTING, INC. 1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington, D.C.20036 (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: Washington: (410) 752-3376

Toll Free: (888) 445-3376

section here wouldn't mind just giving up their seats?
And by the way, thank you all very much for your
testimony and thank you for doing your best to remain
calm in a very difficult situation; in a very
different situation.

And, I'm going to remember -- I've said calm a 6 lot today. It's very interesting. But, I've decided 7 a new thing that I'm going to say is, I'm the only one 8 that gets to say calm. You know? Right? That no one 9 else get to say calm. It's going to be my word. 10 Okay? Because when everyone is using it in other ways 11 12 I don't think it necessarily works exactly the same way sometimes. But, all right. 13

So, if we could please go from my left to right, and if you wouldn't mind just introducing yourselves, and -- yeah. So, that would be good. Okay. Sorry. And did everyone get sworn in? Okay. Okay. All right. Great. And the witness cards have gone over there and -- okay. All right.

20 So, there's no one left here to testify in 21 opposition? Okay? Because I see a couple of people 22 that have been sitting here and they're not coming 23 forward, so I was just kind of curious. All right. 24 Okay. All right.

25 If you could just please go from my right to OLENDER REPORTING, INC. 1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington, D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376

Toll Free: (888) 445-3376

1 left, and introduce yourselves?

2 MR. LEE: Sure. My name is Eric Lee, and I live at 1620 Hamlin Street Northeast. It's about 300 3 feet from the site. I'd like to add a few comments 4 for the record, and I'd like to note that our 5 elderly --6 7 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Excuse me. I'm sorry. Can we just go through first and get --8 MR. LEE: 9 Sure. CHAIRPERSON HILL: Can I just get everybody's 10 11 names? Hi. 12 MS. PERKINS: I'm Theresa Perkins. I live at 1514 Gerrard Street Northeast, two blocks from 13 the site. 14 MR. KLINE: Dan Kline, 3109 20th Street 15 Northeast. 16 MR. STEIN: Jeff Stein, 1620 Hamlin Street 17 Northeast. 18 MS. CAMPBELL: Do I have to push this? Yes. 19 Sandra Campbell, 3310 20th Street Northeast. A little 20 further away. 21 22 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. And, so as with the parties that were in support, I'm going to give 23 everyone three minutes. And so, please, go right 24 ahead, Mr. Lee. 25

MR. LEE: Think I read the first paragraph. So, I would like to add a few comments to the record and I would like to note that our elderly neighbors who are directly across from the site could not make it tonight. I think we've already addressed that.

With that, my neighbors across from and 6 adjacent to the site are a mix of elderly, immigrants, 7 disabled, combat veterans, and others. They include a 8 pastor and his blind wife, they include lifelong 9 DC'ers, including those with Alzheimer's, and those 10 11 coping with tremendous personal loss. They are kind, 12 and they are generous. By and large, they are members of one or more protected class. 13

On the other side of this equation there is another group of citizens who are also vulnerable. Both sides rely on the process and none of us should pit one group of vulnerable citizens against another. Yet, the applicant and its surrogates have actively demonized my neighbors who also rely on the process to have a voice.

Overall, I find the applicant and its surrogate efforts in our ANC, deplorable. If you take a step back and examine the events leading to this hearing, then you will see what looks like a concerted effort to restrict or eliminate input by residents

OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington, D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376

1 into the process that leads us here today.

Additionally, we do not and have not 2 challenged the merits of the program. We are told 3 that the program does not require this design at this 4 Nonetheless, the applicant and its surrogates 5 site. seem to have tried to suppress the residents. 6 I do not think that the mayor or city council envisioned or 7 intended for the program to deny my elderly neighbors 8 their voice. 9

I oppose the application and I absolutely reject the myth that my community was engaged in the process that led us here today. And I will leave you with some words from Pastor Wilks.

As Pastor Wilks said at our SMD meeting, who is representing the elderly, and who is representing the poor in our SMD? Thank you.

17 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Thank you.

MS. PERKINS: All right. Hi, there. I kind of feel like a Democrat at Trump's address to the congress last night, because I would like to know how many people, if people from the city could raise their hand, who are in attendance who work for the city or representing the city. And if we could have a show of hands --

25 CHAIRPERSON HILL: That's okay. Actually, OLENDER REPORTING, INC. 1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington, D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376 1 it's all right. And, I'm sorry, Ms. Perkins. You 2 just have to kind of give your testimony here. It's 3 not that type --

4 MS. PERKINS: Okay.

5 CHAIRPERSON HILL: But I --

6 MS. PERKINS: I just would like the record to 7 show that the majority of people here represent the 8 city or --

9 CHAIRPERSON HILL: I don't know if that's 10 necessarily true, to be quite honest, and I can't put 11 that on the record because it's not something I can 12 like, just put down on the record. And by the way, 13 I'm not really sure where we are in this equation now 14 that you've just laid out.

15 MS. PERKINS: Okay.

16 CHAIRPERSON HILL: So, just to let you know. 17 Okay? Just to let you know. Just to let you know. 18 Okay?

MS. PERKINS: [Speaking off microphone.]
 CHAIRPERSON HILL: We all live in the city.
 Okay? We'll start there again.

22 MS. PERKINS: Alrighty.

23 CHAIRPERSON HILL: All right. But that's24 okay.

MS. PERKINS: Okay. Well, that being said, I

appreciate your time and the opportunity to have my
 voice heard here today in regards to the proposed
 variances.

I have attended numerous meetings where the architecture firm has presented their proposal. At each one they repeatedly called the site problematic, quote/unquote. I agree.

You can't get a quart into a pint pot. 8 Thev are trying to configure 30,000 square feet of 9 programmatic requirements into a 12,000 square foot 10 footprint. The architects have callously refused to 11 12 listen to any suggestions or objections to their In meetings that I've attended they were very 13 design. smug and about their presumption that they would 14 automatically receive a pass on any zoning variances 15 that they might request. When I asked them about 16 17 this, they were like, oh, we're going to get that. You know, we're not worried about that. 18

19 So, also I'd like to point out that the 20 PowerPoint and the scale of buildings that are 21 exhibited throughout this PowerPoint, is not 22 realistic. The proportion is entirely deceptive as 23 far as if you put the, you know, the square feet. 24 It's not correct.

25 If these variances were granted, I fear they OLENDER REPORTING, INC. 1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington, D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376 would set an untenable precedent for our neighborhood.
Rhode Island received the Main Street status as been
mentioned, making it eligible for many improvements.
The goal is to work towards the positive redevelopment
of our city's traditional business districts.

6 When this was first proposed, we were told it 7 might house 25 to 35 families. The number grew and 8 grew with each successive meeting, until we are at the 9 number of 46 families today, which they would like to 10 make 50 if it was humanly possible to provide 50 units 11 on this site.

We were also told that temporary housing would be for 60 days. This morning I heard that the average stay last year in temporary housing was 142 days. That is almost five months.

This particular site has a building that is eligible for historic designation. Therefore, the architect has had to work around that building due to the fact that legally they might have to acknowledge that it is historic. It was the first police station in the area. It is quite beautiful, and the community would like it to remain intact.

The back of it, that they propose to build over and knock off, was actually a stable that housed the horses there.

OLENDER REPORTING, INC. 1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington,D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376

Okay. The Commission of Fine Arts strongly 1 2 objects to the zoning variances requested today. And, we do not object to providing shelters for homeless 3 We support disbursing the D.C. general 4 families. However, the DGS strategic plan seems to 5 population. be carved in stone. There is no flexibility in their 6 plan whatsoever. Obviously, they are already spending 7 a fortune for architecture firms, lawyers, high-priced 8 lawyers, and a huge entourage of employees to confirm 9 that they are right. 10

I, for one, am tired of being vilified for 11 12 disagreeing with their rigid, unbendable plan that demonizes me simply because I disagree with it. 13 Ιf city properties are to be used, there are numerous 14 options capable of serving the purpose. 15 In fact, using smaller facilities would decrease the 16 institutional feel of these buildings. 17

I live across the street from an affordable 18 housing apartment building for seniors. Construction 19 was completed last year. Of the 25 residents, 11 of 20 them were homeless. The building is a block away from 21 22 the proposed shelter, and there was no mention of the building being used to house the homeless population. 23 My point is that DGS has an agenda and 24 everyone else be damned. Get out of the way and shut 25

OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

up. They are blatantly ignoring the concerns of the residents of the community. They are bulldozing their way on to a tiny plot of land that is completely unsuitable for their proposal. I suggest they learn to be open to other ideas, respect the community, and not force their agenda on us. We did not sign up for this in any way, shape, or form.

To request substantial variances on eight 8 different zoning laws is unheard of. Maybe you've 9 heard of this before, but I certainly have not. 10 This is a complete travesty of democracy, the city's 11 12 comprehensive plan, and my personal rights as a There are letters of objection from several 13 citizen. ANC representatives in 5B, where the site is located. 14

Please also note the petition with almost 300 signatures against these variances. I ask that you please take these into serious consideration when deliberating on this matter. I strongly oppose this proposal, and I thank you for your consideration and mindful attention to this matter, as well as the privilege for your time.

22 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Thank you. All right, Mr. 23 Kline?

24 MR. KLINE: Yes.

25 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Kline? I'm going to put

OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

three minutes on the clock there for you as well, Mr.
 Kline.

3 MR. KLINE: Thank you. Chairman Hill, members 4 of the Board, it's almost 12 hours that you have been 5 listening to homeless shelters, with a little bit of 6 interruption for pizza, and I just wanted to thank you 7 for being unbelievably patient.

8 CHAIRPERSON HILL: You're going to get an 9 extra minute.

10 [Laughter.]

11 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Mr. Moy, you can throw 12 another minute on there. All right, Mr. Kline.

MR. KLINE: We have talked a little bit about exceptional situations and conditions, and I think this is an exceptional situation and condition. This site is too small. Very, very simple.

17 It is too small in that there are only three parking spaces when it requires 22. There is no 18 loading dock. A building of that size with no loading 19 It is going to clog the street. You don't have 20 dock? to have much of imagination to realize that. There 21 22 are people, over 125 people are going to live there. There's going to be Metro access picking them up. 23 They're being served meals twice a day. 24 It's going to affect the street. 25

OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

You want to talk about quality of life? That 1 2 is going to affect quality of life. And there's not enough sunlight. If you're building a building that 3 is 40 percent taller than what is allowed, yes, the 4 Department of -- the Office of Planning suggested that 5 the sunlight is only going to be blocked during the 6 morning, but sunlight in the evening is really not as 7 much of a concern. 8

This is going to affect the people. And it's 9 not going to be a matter of ever getting better. In 10 11 fact, even the city acknowledges that the site is too 12 small, rather than 50 people as the 2016 legislation is required, they're only putting in 46 rather than 13 putting in 10 on each floor. There's a couple of 14 floors with 11. By their own admission, it's too 15 small. 16

I don't exactly know why one doesn't seek out another site, but there's no way that you can sit here and say that eight special exceptions, and eight variances, in order to make this overly small site function, is a good idea.

Mayor Bowser decided, and the council agreed, that we need to close D.C. General. D.C. General's conditions are deplorable. Anyone who has ever been there, know that. Providing reasonable housing for

OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

people who are unfortunate is an important thing and a 1 great idea for the city to do. But anyone who has 2 ever been to the site of D.C. General, knows that if 3 the City wanted to, they could build right there. 4 There's plenty of room to There's plenty of room. 5 build. You could leave the hospital right where it 6 is, and until you get another structure built, then 7 vou could take it down. 8

9 So, why are they not doing that? My guess is, 10 and I have not read it anywhere, no one said this to 11 me, and I'm not an expert, but the real estate is too 12 valuable. So, you're not going to keep a shelter 13 there. That makes sense. The city has matured. It 14 makes perfect sense.

In turn, you wind up with a shelter at 1700 15 Rhode Island Avenue that is 69 feet tall. And you, as 16 17 the BZA, have an opportunity to consider the fact that once that 69-foot shelter is built, that will be a 18 precedent. That will be a precedent for the tire 19 shop, the used car lot, the transmission shop, all of 20 which are along Rhode Island Avenue, all of which 21 22 currently have height restrictions of 50 feet, all of which are clearly going to be sites for development in 23 the near future, and all of which are going to turn to 24 this nonprofit, 69-foot special exception and call 25

OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington, D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376

1 that a precedent and say, we'd like to have all of our 2 buildings now 69 feet tall too.

And I don't think that that is something that the BZA should vote for and I'm very grateful for your listening.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Thank you.

6

7 MR. STEIN: My turn. So, my name is Jeff I live at 1620 Hamlin Street. I live 8 Stein. approximate 300 feet from the location of the proposed 9 Ward 5 homeless shelter planned for 1700 Rhode Island 10 11 Avenue. And I urge you to reject all of the variances 12 and special exceptions required to construct this facility as it is currently designed. 13

I am not completely opposed to the placement of a family homeless shelter at this location, but I am absolutely opposed to the placement of this homeless shelter as it is currently designed at this location.

As a community representative on the advisory team, tasked with community outreach for this facility, I have been heavily involved in the design and planning process. I have listened to the concerns of countless community members and relayed that information to city officials, hoping that these concerns would be considered and incorporated into the

OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington, D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376

1 design of this facility.

I found this process to be discouraging 2 because the overwhelming response I received to many 3 of these concerns were that they were either 4 unjustified, or that they were inactionable due to the 5 constraints with the planned location of the facility. 6 7 In fact, I would argue that there were attempts to suppress the involvement or residents most impacted by 8 the construction of this facility. 9

During one advisory team meeting I encouraged city officials to conduct separate outreach meetings for residents living within close proximity to the site, and was told by our current ANC commissioner for MD 5B-03, that a separate meeting for these residents would only slow down the project. Those notes are attached to my testimony, or the meeting minutes.

From that moment forward, it was apparent to me that the valid concerns of residents most impacted by the construction of this facility were of little value to the elected officials, and the city employees managing the implementation of this project.

Zoning regulations are in place to segregate uses that are thought to be incompatible, to prevent new development from interfering with existing uses, and to preserve the character of a community.

OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

Residents rely on these regulations to ensure
 development is moderated, and that it is consistent
 with the master plan for an area.

A 70-foot tall family homeless shelter housing 150 individuals that provides inadequate parking for those residents, employees, and visitors, is certainly incompatible with neighboring single-family homes and will interfere with their use. This sort of unbridled development is also inconsistent with the character of this quiet residential community.

11 If the shelter currently proposed is allowed 12 to be constructed, it will adversely impact the neighboring home owners and community as it is today 13 and in the future. I purchased my home after a 14 careful review of the zoning and comprehensive plan 15 This shelter, as it is currently being 16 for this area. 17 proposed, is not consistent with either of those, or the zoning regulations for this area. 18

19The Board of Zoning Adjustment should insist20that these guidelines are followed for all

21 development, otherwise, why did we even go through the 22 effort to create them in the first place?

There are already days when it's not possible for me to find parking on the block where I live. And I've also attached pictures of that in my testimony.

OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

I am required to maintain the sidewalks in 1 2 front of my home and ensure the tree boxes are landscaped, and I insist that I should be afforded the 3 ability to park within a reasonable distance to those 4 same sidewalks and tree boxes. I should not be forced 5 to walk one, two, or even three blocks to get to and 6 from my personal vehicle because of a poorly planned 7 residential building. 8

The one thing -- I know I'm over. So, the one 9 thing I'd like to mention that hasn't been mentioned 10 11 before is that my home sits directly between two 12 churches. On Wednesday evenings, Sundays, some Saturdays, there's no parking for a block or two. 13 There's nowhere -- or funerals and things like that. 14 And that's not taken in consideration in this traffic 15 study. Adding all this additional parking for the 16 shelter, for the employees, for the visitors, and not 17 even considering the other residential buildings being 18 constructed a block from my home, there's going to be 19 no parking. There's nowhere for anyone to park some 20 days already, with 18 units going up a block from my 21 22 home, six more being built right around the corner, and then 46 more residential units going up around the 23 There just isn't anywhere else to park. 24 corner. And I'll stop there. I know I'm over. 25

OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Thank you, Mr. Stein. Ms.
 Camel. Ms. Campbell?

MS. CAMPBELL: Yes, Ms. Campbell. Just like the soup.

5 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Campbell. Yeah, you need 6 to push the button.

7 MS. CAMPBELL: I'm sorry.

8 CHAIRPERSON HILL: That's all right. That's 9 all right.

10 MS. CAMPBELL: Now, can you hear me?

11 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yeah, that's great. Thank 12 you.

Sure. I'm just going to 13 MS. CAMPBELL: piggyback on something that Jeff said, and I have to 14 confess that I'm not that concerned, terribly, for 15 Jeff, although we do get mugged in our neighborhoods. 16 Like, we're a kind of a -- people say Brookland, and 17 it is actually Brookland, but actually it's Brookland 18 Woodridge. And I do feel like we haven't brought you 19 pictures, unfortunately, and I wonder if I could add 20 some pictures to the record. Is there some way I can 21 22 get you pictures later? It's a serious question, because --23

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Let me think about it. Go ahead and just give your testimony if you don't mind.

OLENDER REPORTING, INC. 1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington,D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376

MS. CAMPBELL: Okay. And it's because when 1 pictures are shown to you by the skilled architect who 2 knows to prepare this kind of thing beforehand, 3 they're actually guite deceptive because their wide-4 angle lens, in part. Right? So, actually if I could 5 encourage you to visit the site, when you walk on this 6 site you think, oh my God, this really is tiny. Not 7 because the site itself is tiny, but because it's so 8 occupied already. And additionally, I don't think 9 that you get to see those small houses that are 10 11 adjacent to it when all that is brought is the 12 tallest. And in some cases these helpful buildings along Rhode Island Avenue. They help us because they 13 have that art deco character, because they're little 14 pieces of beauty interspersed among a lot of 15 nonbeauty, frankly. 16

What I'm trying to say with that is, for scale, I don't think you could get any sense of the discontinuities of scale that are being proposed here by the images which I do not at all say was a -- claim was intentional on the part of the architect. Rather, the architect has the ability to give you wide angle lens pictures --

24 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Ms. Campbell, I'm only 25 interrupting you because I really want to hear what 26 OLENDER REPORTING, INC. 27 OLENDER REPORTING, INC. 28 OLENDER REPORTING, INC. 2003 OL

Toll Free: (888) 445-3376

you have -- I mean, as far as the photographs, I mean, we do have the architectural drawings and we do have an ability to really take a close look as to what the neighborhood is like. I mean, is that what you were -- what you wanted to get across to us?

MS. CAMPBELL: Yeah. Well, exactly. 6 But party, in part through words. So, but it is that that 7 I was asking if I could convey it via photographs. 8 Of course, what I really want you to do is please go 9 visit the site. But I don't think I can ask you to 10 11 drive across town to do that. So, I urge, if you 12 would, I urge the members of the Board to actually visit the site before you make any --13

14 CHAIRPERSON HILL: I don't think we're allowed 15 to, sorry.

16 MS. CAMPBELL: Oh, you're not?

17CHAIRPERSON HILL: I don't think we're -- I18think we're not allowed to do independent research.

Okay. Okay, interesting. 19 MS. CAMPBELL: So, then could we send you pictures? Yeah. 20 There are a lot of little one and a half story bungalows. 21 We 22 actually are -- there are some two-story houses across the street on 17th. And the reason many of us have 23 come down is because our elderly neighbors cannot so 24 easily come down. I know, I'm getting there myself, 25

1 so we come down really on their behalf.

We've come down to you before, in fact, to 2 fight against variances in height on Rhode Island 3 Avenue, with the midcentury -- the midcentury. 4 The Mid-City financial redevelopment of Brookland Manor, 5 where I personally am -- John, who spoke eloquently 6 earlier, asked that this Board not allow variance in 7 height, especially fronting Rhode Island Avenue on 8 that development because we were also then concerned 9 about shadowing, the shadowing impact on the street, 10 actually. 11

In this case, I'm more concerned for my neighbors on 17th, and so it's just, we do -- some of us have a track record of coming down before you and asking, please do not grant height variances. Again, my primary reason to be here, please do not grant height variances. And, I also feel very bad for Ressa (phonetic) and Faraz Khan. Thank you.

19 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Thank you. Okay. Does the -- well, to answer Ms. Campbell's question, I don't 20 need any photographs myself right now. Does the Board 21 22 need any additional photographs? Yes, Mr. Miller? Yes, I do. Like in the previous 23 MR. MILLER: case where I wanted to have the applicant provide some 24 perspectives from the adjacent low-density residences 25

OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

to the south, in that case, I was going to ask the 1 applicant as part of a post-hearing submission, and 2 the neighbors to show what each of them think is the 3 perspective from those two-story single-family houses 4 across 17th Street. So, I would like to see, or be 5 directed in the record where the exhibits that show 6 better how the height and massing looks from across 7 17th Street, from the lower density. 8

In terms of the variance test, the second and 9 third part of the -- well, not the second and third. 10 11 Maybe it's the third part of the variance test, the 12 substantial -- that there's no substantial detriment to the public good or to the zone plan. So, I would 13 like to see -- I personally would like to see some 14 additional perspectives, just as I go forward 15 evaluating this. 16

17 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. So, we'll just 18 clarify that at the end. Ms. Campbell?

MS. CAMPBELL: Well, I actually would just like to add one tiny thing, which is that our power was out for hours last night, so there are some people in the neighborhood who would like to know if they could still add a letter.

24 CHAIRPERSON HILL: I don't know yet. We'll 25 have to get back to that. But yeah, let me get back

OLENDER REPORTING, INC. 1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington,D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376 1 to you, okay?

All right. Let's see. So, I'm going to let 2 the applicant cross-examine, and again, I think that 3 we've been going over a lot of the testimony in 4 previous -- you've asked previous questions of the 5 previous witnesses that seem somewhat similar. So, 6 I'm going to go ahead, you just said five minutes, 7 perhaps. I'll throw out five minutes there, and see 8 how we go with that, Ms. Mazo. 9 MS. MAZO: Yeah, and we may not take all of 10 11 that. 12 I have a couple questions for Mr. Kline. Mr. Kline, you testified about loading and made some 13 statement -- and apologize if I don't -- if this is a 14 paraphrase, but --15 MR. KLINE: Sure. You're fine. 16 17 MS. MAZO: Where you said that you didn't believe that there are any other buildings of this 18 size that were -- that didn't require loading. 19 And I just was wondering if you were aware that over the 20 summer, in the Ward 5 -- sorry, the Ward 8 site, that 21 22 the Board did approve loading relief for another one of these emergency shelter sites in Ward 8. 23 I actually wasn't referring to 24 MR. KLINE: emergency shelters. I was referring to buildings of 25 OLENDER REPORTING, INC. 1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington, D.C.20036 (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Washington: Toll Free: (888) 445-3376

that size, housing that number of people. Typically, if you're going to be serving meals and having people be picked up by Metro access, it makes it a lot simpler if there is a loading dock. And without that I don't doubt that there have been times when that is not done, but I think for the most part a loading dock is a really good idea.

MS. MAZO: Mr. Kline, and I absolutely 8 appreciate that and I was wondering if you're also 9 aware that the applicant and with DDOT's 10 11 recommendation, has dedicated one of its parking 12 spaces to loading because the number -- the highest number of quote/unquote, loading type vehicles, are in 13 fact smaller vans, or even cars. And so, DDOT raised 14 one of these issues to the extent that DDOT indicated 15 that they did not want any loading to occur in the 16 17 alley. And so, accordingly we have -- and DGS has amended its plan to dedicate one of its parking spaces 18 to loading. And I just didn't know if you were aware 19 of that. 20

21 MR. KLINE: I wasn't aware, but I do 22 appreciate that you are clearly helping our side 23 because you're obviously indicating the fact that 24 there is neither enough parking, nor enough space for 25 loading, which is the kind of thing that I would have

OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington, D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376

1 said. So, thank you.

5

MS. MAZO: Okay. That was obviously not the purpose of my statement. The purpose of my statement was to --

MR. KLINE: I'm sure it wasn't.

MS. MAZO: -- was to document that DDOT recommended and is in support of the proposed loading space, and is also in support for the special exception for parking.

10 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Ms. Mazo, by the way, 11 again, we're still listening up here. So, I did hear 12 what you were saying about the parking with the 13 loading.

MS. MAZO: And then following up, Mr. Kline, you mentioned something that I've heard a lot in the community meetings that everyone is concerned that if this height is approved on this site, then what's going to stop your next commercial developer to come --

20 MR. KLINE: Nothing.

MS. MAZO: Nothing. Well, I'm not sure if you were here for the Ward 3 discussion, or if you were here earlier in our discussion, but do you understand that this Board and even as CFRO's counsel has, you know, stated, that there is a special test that gets

OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

applied to this type of public service use? And so, there is a specific -- there would absolutely be a distinction between a public service use like this one, and the relief that it could obtain, which would be very, very different from what a commercial developer would obtain.

7 MR. KLINE: I'm sure you are correct in 8 theory. I'm quite sure. And I'm also quite sure that 9 in theory, a building of this size could never be 10 contemplated for this size site.

11 On the other hand, the distance between theory 12 and reality sometimes allows for a developer to point 13 and say, here is a building close by. It is this 14 height. I simply want to match that height. That 15 happens throughout the city.

16 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay, okay, okay, okay.
17 Let's just --

MS. MAZO: So, Mr. Kline, you would understand that obviously any such building would require BZA relief and would have to come to this Board and would have to work with its neighbors to obtain --

MR. KLINE: I'm sure they'd be here at 9:00 at night too.

24 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yeah. So, I guess what I'm 25 trying to get away from now is long questions and long

OLENDER REPORTING, INC. 1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington,D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376 answers. So, specific questions, specific answer.
 Yes. No. Do we have more?

MS. MAZO: One more question for Mr. Sheen (sic). You indicated that there are days when you come and you can't find parking. But are those the same days as when there's a lot going on at the church?

Typically, it's the church. The 8 MR. STEIN: issue with living between two churches is that we 9 never know when the events are going to occur. 10 We 11 always know Sunday. We always know Wednesday. But 12 then there are other event days. There are things There are things like weddings. 13 like funerals. There are events like the one that's coming this Saturday 14 where I'm sure my entire block is going to be filled 15 with cars from out of town, and we'll have nowhere to 16 17 park.

So, my issue with the traffic study is that it 18 looks at one point in time when it's not -- this isn't 19 like, look at business hours. This is random points 20 in time where it's kind of hard for us to know when 21 22 we're going to be able to park, and when we're not. And adding these additional spaces that are going to 23 be needed for this site, and the additional visitors 24 and things like that, that are also going to be 25

random, makes it very hard for us to plan and known
 when we can actually park near our homes.

MS. MAZO: I have no more questions. CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. All right. Does the Board have any further questions for the witnesses here?

7 [No audible response.]

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. I know I've said it 8 a lot. We really do appreciate you coming down, and 9 you've waited a really long time for just a few 10 11 minutes. But we are listening. We're understanding 12 what is going on with the neighborhood, and all of the testimony is in the record. So, thank you all very 13 much. 14

We're going to ask the applicant for rebuttal, 15 and I only responding just because I was trying to 16 17 figure out how much time is a good amount of time. We tried earlier with 25 minutes on the previous case, 18 and it went to 30. And so, if it's okay, I'll go 19 ahead and give a shot for 25 again. And there is a --20 or, in addition to that, do you want to take like 21 22 three minutes to get kind of everything squared away, and then we'll come back and maybe I can get it done 23 Does that sound like a good idea? 24 faster? That sounds like a good idea to me. 25 MS. MAZO:

OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

1 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. All right. So, 2 we're going to take a quick, and I mean really quick. 3 Like, we're going to take five minutes. And the 4 purpose of which is so that the applicant can really 5 get their ducks in a row so we can go through rebuttal 6 quickly. Thank you.

[Brief recess.]

7

8 CHAIRPERSON HILL: All right. Okay. Thank 9 you all very much, and Mr. Brown, thanks for being 10 with us here, and you're all alone over there.

11 CHAIRMAN: Mr. Moy -- oh wonderful. Thank you. 12 MS. MAZO: Okay. Very Briefly were going to 13 touch on some issues just as a wrap up and in response 14 to some of the comments that we've heard.

First, I'd like to start with Mr. Dan VanPelt 15 our traffic consultant. We have heard that their 16 17 neighbors are claiming that there's going to be adverse impact on the neighborhood because of traffic. 18 Can you please document for us the efforts that you 19 and your firm have done in order to prepare a traffic 20 statement, and also in regards to the parking 21 22 analysis?

23 MR. VANPELT: Sure. With any application in 24 the District, one of the first things we do is meet 25 with DDOT and talk about the application and then go

OLENDER REPORTING, INC. 1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington,D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376

through a scoping exercise. DDOT has comprehensive 1 transportation review scoping guidelines. One of the 2 things that was determined for this facility and for 3 the other similar facilities is that the peak period 4 trips are less than 25 in the peak direction. 5 And because of that there's no -- DDOT doesn't require a 6 vehicular capacity analysis or look at any crash data 7 because it's seen that that that number of trips is 8 just not going to have significant impact on the 9 network. So, that's why that was not included in this 10 review or in any other short term family housing 11 12 projects and studies that have been completed.

13 Therefore, we did focus on the parking because 14 of the parking relief. The study area for the parking 15 study was part of that scope with DDOT. The area that 16 -- those block faces that we looked at was something 17 that we reviewed with DDOT and we're looking at the, 18 kind of the immediate walkshed, if you will, where 19 people could park.

We focused on a typical weekday evening knowing that the primary land uses surrounding is residential, and were most concerned about then what the impact would be as people are coming home and looking for parking spaces in the evening. One of the gentlemen did testify that Wednesday evenings are a

OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington, D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376

bit difficult and I think our counts were actually 1 done on a Wednesday evening in early December. So, I 2 don't know if we captured some of that church activity 3 but that was one of the days we looked at. And then 4 we found of the 400, almost 400 spaces, that they were 5 about 36 percent occupied as you looked at the area in 6 entirety. And that's what allowed us to come to the 7 conclusion that the additional spaces that would need 8 to be parked on the street wouldn't have an adverse 9 impact. 10

MS. MAZO: Dan, one just quick question. An issue has been raised about the drive isle width, and can you document that in the zoning regulations alley and drive isle are not the same thing and therefore are treated differently in the zoning regulations as it pertains to parking space size?

MR. VANPELT: Yeah. The parking spaces are of legally compliant size, but the drive isle itself, for parking facility the drive isle width needs to be a minimum of 20 feet. But this is an alley were talking about and so, that doesn't apply to allies.

It is not uncommon in the District to have allies that are less than 20 feet, and have parking off of them.

MS. MAZO: Next, I would like to go to the

fact that the one thing that hasn't been raised, and we will just touch on it briefly, is the fact that the facility will have no adverse impact on the neighborhood because of noise. I'd first like to direct my question to project architect, Mr. Ronnie McGhee.

Mr. McGhee, in your professional experience and expertise do you believe that a project of this site will create objectional noise that would have an adverse impact on the neighborhood?

Well, the answer to that would be 11 MR. McGHEE: 12 Typically, an apartment building does not create no. a great amount of noise, and this building will be 13 LEED certified Gold, which means we have to control 14 the noise from the outside, how it affects the 15 residents on the inside. So, that will definitely be 16 a factor in reduction of noise that might come from 17 inside the building. So, we don't think it will have 18 an adverse impact on the site and neighbors. So, thank 19 20 you.

MS. MAZO: Also on the noise issue, I'd like to ask a similar question to our landscape expert, landscape architect, Ryan Moody. Mr. Moody, based on your landscape design and your screening, can you also determine in your expertise that there would be no

OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

adverse impact on the neighborhood based on noise? 1 MR. MOODY: I believe that's an accurate 2 statement. The nearly 20-foot buffer of planting 3 between Road Island Avenue and the proposed play area, 4 as well as the low retaining wall and screening fence, 5 will significantly inhibit any noise coming from that. 6 7 And along 17th street, the 7-foot tall proposed privacy fence and additionally 20-foot buffer of 8 planting area, I think will be advantageous to the 9 community as opposed to the existing chain link fence 10 that now currently hugs the sidewalk. 11

MS. MAZO: And the next question, still walking through that 513.1D4, I guess. So, this question is about to document that the facility shall not have adverse impact on the neighborhood because of operations, and I would like to direct that question to Director Zeilinger.

So, we don't expect an adverse MS. ZEILINGER: 18 19 impact from the way that we're operating the program. As noted in my written testimony, we operate homeless 20 services throughout the District of Columbia, and 21 22 often times people do not know that there is a program even located there, if they weren't there during the 23 time that it was sited initially. When we operate 24 programs well, they exist in harmony with the 25

OLENDER REPORTING, INC. 1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington,D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376

community. We will, you know we have a good neighbor 1 -- we will have a good neighbor agreement, we will 2 have community advisory teams to help us understand if 3 the neighborhood is experiencing any impact, and to 4 resolve any issues should they arise. But we have a 5 lot of confidence there really would not be any 6 negative impact that would come from the operations of 7 our programs, and things that may be of concern, I 8 have full confidence that we can handle them going in 9 and prevent them from occurring. 10

11 MS. MAZO: Next, Mr. Curlin, on the opposition 12 he identified what he believed to be a number of similar facilities in what he stated was the general 13 vicinity of the property. I would like you to maybe 14 talk through some of those facilities and to go 15 towards the question of, would the facility shall not 16 -- our facility, I'm sorry, the emergency shelter at 17 1700 Road Island Northwest, shall not have an adverse 18 impact on the neighborhood because of the number of 19 similar facilities in the area. And that question is 20 directed to Director Zeilinger. 21

MS. ZEILINGER: So, some of the programs that were noted in the opposition's witness testimony are permanent housing programs and affordable housing programs. So that sometimes they are providing

OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

1 permanent supportive housing or other resident -- or 2 services and housing in residential setting.

They are not emergency shelter uses. 3 We've established that and I think everybody has agreed to 4 it, but what was implied by the opposition witnesses 5 is they're still a program of similar in nature, and I 6 think the only similarity is that the people in those 7 programs can't afford to pay market rate housing and 8 hire people to come in and provide them services, 9 themselves. 10

11 So, the neighbors talked about neighbors who 12 have -- you know, experience a range of different disabilities on the block, and sort of an impact on 13 them. But I would argue that similarly speaking, the 14 city does provide resident -- you know, the ability 15 for people to access permanent housing and bring those 16 17 services in. The only thing that makes them different is the fact that -- is means, or perhaps something 18 that may have happened in their life that preceded 19 their now living in one of those programs. 20

So, the opposition referred to a people bomb that we're dropping on this neighborhood. Well people are not bombs, and they are not weapons, and they are not there to damage anybody around them. They are simply individuals who have experienced something that

OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington, D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376

has caused them to be without. And similarly, folks 1 who now are in permanent housing in places you can 2 walk to from here, which is true throughout the city, 3 are really no different and there's no reason why --4 to think that somehow our being here, as well as the 5 other programs in the neighborhood, the confluence of 6 that is somehow having a negative impact on the well-7 being of the neighborhood. 8

Laura, can I just redirect 9 MS. MOLDENHAUER: you? And the term that I kind of say, when I say a 10 11 bomb is that something happens all at once. The 12 individuals that are experiencing homelessness, in your experience, based on operations, they don't all 13 come in and out of the facility all at once. 14 You know, can you just address that? 15

16 MS. ZEILINGER: Sure. Just like any other 17 apartment building, people come and go based on their schedules. And certainly, people often times are 18 leaving in the morning, just as neighbors leave in the 19 morning, and coming back at various times during the 20 day based on their schedules. But there is not --21 22 it's not a 12-hour, low barrier shelter where we close at 7:00 a.m. and everybody leaves and we open back at 23 7:00 p.m., and people line up outside to come back in. 24 People come and go as they do in any other 25

OLENDER REPORTING, INC. 1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington,D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376 1 residential setting.

7

MS. MAZO: Sorry. And, Dr. Zeilinger, just --Director Zeilinger, I just wanted to also follow up with, you have mentioned a good neighbor agreement. Good neighbor agreements are property specific, correct?

MS. ZEILINGER: Yes.

MS. MAZO: And so accordingly, a good neighbor 8 agreement on this site could take into account the 9 fact that there may be other sites that are within the 10 11 vicinity, and that -- so, a good neighbor -- would you 12 expect that a good neighbor agreement would take that into account and could be another way to limit any 13 potential adverse impact from the location? This 14 location? 15

MS. ZEILINGER: So, the good neighbor 16 17 agreement will be specific to the operations of this program, and with this neighborhood. However, we've 18 seen described in other wards, if there are concerns 19 that the community has, then they bring them to the 20 community advisory team, and we've been able to be a 21 22 convening force to bring folks in to answer their questions and concerns. So, if there is concern in 23 the neighborhood about the way other programs are 24 operating we certainly could use that community 25

OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

advisory team structure to convene those programs and
 stakeholders to similarly address any community
 concerns.

MS. MOLDENHAUER: I'm now going to turn to a 4 CA Young to address, there were two times and the 5 opposition statements, or individual in opposition 6 statements, that there were referencing your comments 7 and your either -- actually, I'll just indicate that, 8 you know, talking about specific sites and whether or 9 not this site was appropriate. Can you address that 10 11 and explain how that comment was maybe taken out of 12 context?

Yeah, thank you. And I do think 13 MR. YOUNG: the comments, particularly about our review of 1700 14 Rhode Island was taken out of context. When we talked 15 about 1700 Rhode Island with Ward 5 at the point at 16 17 which we had proposed a different location, the site constraint at 1700 Rhode Island was really due to the 18 fact that we had a clinic, we called it the Hoya 19 Clinic, that would be onsite at the other Ward 5 20 location. 21

So, when you talked about 50 units for shortterm family housing, plus the clinic, that footprint then made 1700 Rhode Island not practical. When the council said, you have to own your site or be on city

OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

property, then we changed, we looked at then, the 1 constraints, or the size constraints differently, and 2 then we dropped the clinic, which then made 1700 Rhode 3 Island a viable location for us to consider. 4 And bv the time we got to that point we had to cross the 5 threshold of considering this location for other 6 municipal uses, and decided not to do that, 7 particularly given the constraint that council put us 8 on with the legislation. 9

10 So, it isn't an issue of, the first site was 11 a great site, and now because council changed it, the 12 second site is a better site. We changed the program 13 significant when we dropped the clinic from the 14 conversation.

MS. MOLDENHAUER: Just to continue to follow 15 up on this line of questioning, I know there's been 16 17 questions about the degree of a search, even though the term reasonable search is not in the regulations, 18 I'll use the regulations in regards to other 19 reasonable alternatives. Can you explain why the 20 city, in its review, believes that there are no other 21 reasonable alternatives? 22

23 MR. YOUNG: Well, first is because the city 24 with through an exhaustive process to consider 25 locations. And again, from our solicitation to our

use of a broker, to actually having reviewed and 1 responded to the locations that came from the Langdon 2 Community, they wrote us a letter, I actually 3 responded to that letter and talked about each of 4 those locations that they suggested, and our 5 evaluation of those locations. And really, I think 6 the argument that we've heard all day about, go 7 somewhere else, also suggests this issue of --8 suggests that there isn't a reasonable alternative. 9 We had a site in Ward 5, and what they said is, hey, 10 11 we support the program but go somewhere else.

Now we have another site in Ward 5, and what we hear is, we support the concept of the program but go somewhere else. Also heard that in ward 3. We had is a site and Ward 3, that community said, we support it but go somewhere else. Now that we're somewhere else, that community also says, go somewhere else.

18 So, there really isn't an opportunity for us 19 to hit the reset button again, go through, pick 20 another location, and have what seems to be the same 21 argument about where this facility can be located.

MS. MOLDENHAUER: And now you said you cannot do that because, what, that would jeopardize the ability for the city to obtain their goals and their objectives?

OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

MR. YOUNG: We've got to have 280 units in 1 order to close D.C. General. If we don't have 280 2 units then we're in a much more expensive proposition 3 to providing more hotels, some of which are outside of 4 the District, for these families, or faced with the 5 prospect of running two systems, some community-based 6 sites and then a half empty and still dilapidated and 7 inappropriate D.C. General. 8

9 So, if we don't have the 280 sites, we don't 10 have an ability to move forward with a program that 11 makes any rational sense to deliver services and get 12 people out of -- these families out of homelessness 13 MS. MOLDENHAUER: Director Gillis, I think

14 you've been here most of the time. In any point in 15 time during the opposition's testimony, did you hear 16 anybody in the opposition identify or provide a list 17 or a recommendation of multiple other reasonable 18 potential sites?

MS. GILLIS: No other reasonable potential sites at this point.

MS. MOLDENHAUER: And is that based on a specific criteria that DGS has identified and evaluated?

MS. GILLIS: That is correct. We need to make sure that we look at sites that have a certain amount

OLENDER REPORTING, INC. 1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington,D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376 of square footage to be able to provide the program that DHS has recommended to us. It needed to be within a certain proximity of public transportation and other amenities. Without that, it's not a suitable site.

6 I'd also I like to take the opportunity to 7 make a clarification to my earlier testimony with 8 regards to this letter. We received the letter, the 9 letter was not from Councilmember McDuffie. The 10 letter was actually from the Langdon Park Community 11 Association, dated March 8th, 2016. It is in the 12 public record.

We actually responded to that letter per the request from Councilmember McDuffie, at the council hearing on the legislation for the District sites. But it was actually that letter to where the community had come up with a list of potential sites. Again, that just was not suitable according to our criteria

MS. MOLDENHAUER: Can I just -- that letter is 19 now part of the record, but is there also a place 20 that's been available publicly for anybody to find 21 22 that letter or other information on this process? It's been on the website, MS. GILLIS: Yes. 23 our Homeward D.C. website, where we had all of our 24 information, public records on all of the information 25

OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington, D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376

with regards to this entire project, including all of
 our searches.

MS. MAZO: Sorry, I just have a couple more questions. The first is for Director Zeilinger.

You know, we've been talking guite a bit about 5 this U 513.1B6, and it talks about the program goals 6 and objectives of the District of Columbia. And we 7 have talked through the need to require the 280 units. 8 We've required -- talked through the needs to provide 9 dignified housing, but can you just elaborate on the 10 timing issue, and just how critical that is for DHS 11 12 and for the District to be able to close D.C. General?

MS. ZEILINGER: Absolutely. So, it has been 13 now, more than one year since the mayor announced our 14 initial strategy and the initial sites. 15 We came before you with the sites that were not changed by 16 council. It has taken this long to be before you with 17 the different sites. As the City Administrator 18 pointed out, if we then had to all of a sudden try to 19 find new places, we would be continuing this process 20 endlessly. 21

We have a very important goal, to close and replace D.C. General. There is certainly a moral imperative that we all know has been shared. That's undisputed. We are just now at the third anniversary

OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

of the disappearance of Relisha Rudd, and so it just which is the catastrophe that happened that I think
called into question the conscience of this community
that we need to do better by families.

So, these delays that would result from 5 saying, go back, go back, go back, keep looking, keep 6 looking, you haven't looked at enough places, or how 7 about consider this one now, or consider that one now, 8 will only cause us to -- like when is enough, enough? 9 We have the goal of -- the initial plan had us closing 10 11 D.C. General before the 2018 hypothermia season. Now 12 we're an additional year out, at least with the additional parking structure in Ward 3, perhaps even 13 longer unless we can find some other place to be able 14 for some of the families to be while we do that. 15

So, it's, we have all agreed that the 16 conditions at D.C. General are not good for families 17 and they are very costly for our department. When we 18 keep a building open, even if it's open half full, we 19 have to pay for that full building. Even with D.C. 20 General full, it costs us \$55,000 per family, per year 21 22 at a minimum, to shelter them in those inadequate conditions. We know we can do better, but that's what 23 it means to be trying to keep a facility operational 24 and provide the level of security that is needed in 25

OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

order to be able to operate our program in a building
 like that.

MS. GILLIS: And I'd also like to add, to keep D.C. General open, just the building alone, Director Zeilinger referenced it, it costs us \$1.2 million dollars just to keep it operating, and that's just the functions of the building outside of the program that Director Zeilinger mentioned

Okay. This question is dedicated 9 MS. MAZO: to Ronnie McGhee, our project architect. There has 10 11 been quite a bit of discussion in the opposition 12 about, why can't you make the building shorter. And I just wanted to ask you very directly, could you make 13 the building shorter, keep the same program, and still 14 provide the 46, or up to the 50 units that are 15 required to be -- that need to be provided on site in 16 17 order for the full complement of the 280 units to be provided throughout the district? 18

MR. McGHEE: Well, the short answer to that NR. McGHEE: Well, the short answer to that Ne'd be eight or 10 units down if you build a floor. That's what we'd have to do. Thank you

MS. MAZO: So accordingly, you know, you would say in your opinion or expert opinion, that goal and objectives of the District of Columbia be those goals that there is a timeliness issue, we want to close

OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

D.C. General sooner, and that we need the 280 units, we need dignified housing. Cannot be achieved by a facility of a smaller size at the subject location. Is that something that you would agree with?

I would agree with that, yes. MR. MCGHEE: 5 MS. MAZO: And one follow-up question, just to 6 clarify for the record. CA Young, again, the same 7 8 requirement says that the Board of Zoning Adjustment, that an emergency shelter for more than 25 persons is 9 permitted if the Board of Zoning Adjustment finds that 10 11 the program goals and objectives cannot be achieved, 12 and if there is no other reasonable alternative to meet the program needs of that area. Can you confirm 13 that there is no reasonable alternative? 14

MR. YOUNG: I can confirm that there is no other reasonable alternative.

MS. MAZO: We have no more issues on rebuttal. We'll take questions from the Board.

19 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Great. Actually so, thank 20 you. Before -- I am going to end with questions from 21 the Board, and I am going to let Mr. Brown go ahead 22 and cross.

Mr. Brown, if it's alright again, I'm going to ahead and start with just 15 minutes on the clock. Does that sound good with you?

Okay. Mr. Moy. All right, Mr. Brown,
 whenever you'd like to start.

MR. BROWN: Mr. Young, you talked about how 3 you got -- a letter came from the Langdon Community, 4 and that was one of the steps in which you're saying 5 every time somebody says, we're in favor of this 6 program but do it somewhere else, that the Langdon 7 Community's pitch to you not to have the shelter 8 located in Langley precipitated the next step, right? 9 And you wanted to bring an end to this integrative 10 Is that a fair summary of what you were 11 process. 12 saying?

MR. YOUNG: Say that again please? I'm not sure that that reflects what I was saying. So, ask it again please?

You said something about how one 16 MR. BROWN: 17 Community says, we don't want it. We support the concept of shelters but not in our community, look at 18 And then, you look at these other 19 these other sites. sites, and then you find that the same process would 20 be reoccurring over and over again unless you put a 21 22 stop to it. Isn't that a fair summary of what you were saying? 23

24 MR. YOUNG: Not so much precipitated by the 25 letter itself. When we started the process and went 26 OLENDER REPORTING, INC. 27 OLENDER REPORTING, INC. 20036 Number of the started the process and went 28 OLENDER REPORTING, INC. 20036 Number of the started the process and went 29 OLENDER REPORTING, INC. 20036 Number of the started the process and went 29 OLENDER REPORTING, INC. 20036 Number of the started the process and went 29 OLENDER REPORTING, INC. 20036 Number of the started the process and went 29 OLENDER REPORTING, INC. 20036 OLENDER REPORTING, INC. 20037 OLEND

Toll Free: (888) 445-3376

to the community on the first site, which was before we receive this letter, we said -- we heard, not in this community, take it somewhere else. And then also, the letter also said, well then look at these other locations too.

So, I want to be clear that it isn't with the 6 -- it isn't that this letter suggested that -- made 7 that characterization that I testify to. It actually 8 happened before this letter. But the sentiment being 9 yes, the community, when we went to it and proposed 10 11 the site, said do it somewhere else. This letter 12 suggests, look at these other places at somewhere else, and certainly this community says that as well. 13 MR. BROWN: So, the listing of alternative 14 sites that you got from Langdon was essentially biased 15 in favor of any place but Langdon. Isn't that correct 16 MR. YOUNG: I'm not making that 17 characterization. I'm just making the fact that they 18 19 did not want it where we proposed it, and gave us other sites to look at with ultimately the city 20 council, as you know, suggesting, endorsing, and 21 22 including and its legislation, the site that we're currently speaking about. 23

24 MR. BROWN: Would you agree with me that a 25 suggested list of alternative locations from the

OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington, D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376

1 Langdon Community is not a suggested list of locations 2 from the community of Ward 5 writ large?

3 MR. YOUNG: I would say that, and I would also 4 respond back to you that we went through a pretty 5 detailed and extensive process absent this Langdon 6 letter and their sites, to evaluate sites in Ward 5.

MR. BROWN: Question for Ms. Zeilinger.
 MR. YOUNG: To determine the reasonableness of
 the alternative. We had an extensive process of our
 own.

11 MR. BROWN: Ms. Zeilinger, as I was listening 12 to the tone of your testimony I got the distinct impression that some of the timing associated with the 13 work that is being done to complete this process of a 14 goal of 280 housing units, is being caused by people 15 who are questioning the propriety of some of the 16 17 choices that you've made; people in the community such Is that -- did I get the wrong as my clients. 18 19 impression on that?

MS. ZEILINGER: So, what I am saying was that we had an initial goal and project schedule that had us closing D.C. General in 2018. When council made the changes, and change the sites, that then delayed our timeline to do that, and that further -- and I was pointing out for clear understanding where that --

OLENDER REPORTING, INC. 1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington,D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376

MR. BROWN: That takes us to June of last 1 year, when the council made the changes. Right? 2 MS. ZEILINGER: Correct, and that then --3 My focus is, since that time, what 4 MR. BROWN: has been -- is it your testimony that citizen concerns 5 about some of those choices have caused delay in the 6 process? 7

MS. ZEILINGER: No. But I am basically saying that change of the sites caused a delay from what is our initial timeline and continued request that we go somewhere else, and if the relief that we're requesting is not sought, would delay us further and prevent -- possibly prevent us altogether from achieving the goal on D.C. General.

So, well right. If the site hadn't changed, this would have been part of the group of applications that we brought before the Board over the summer. So, it was the change of the sites by council that has brought -- delayed us to this -- to the place that we are, and further changes would necessarily cause further delays.

MR. BROWN: But the fact that citizens decided to hire counsel and contest the applications in Ward 3 and Ward 5, are not why those applications were filed when they were with this Board. Is that correct?

OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington, D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376

MS. MOLDENHAUER: I don't believe she's ever said that.

MS. ZEILINGER: No, that's not what I said. MS. MOLDENHAUER: That's not been testified to.

6 MR. BROWN: I am asking whether or not --7 whether or not that is correct.

8 MS. ZEILINGER: So no, that is -- we've 9 continue to proceed regardless --

MR. BROWN: Without Delay from the citizens.11 Is that correct?

MS. MOLDENHAUER: Clarification on the 12 question. The question is not worded in a way that --13 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Wait a minute. Hold on. 14 Just give me a second here. Just give me a second 15 here. So, Mr. Brown again, I've been trying to figure 16 17 out where you are, and also just, I got a little lost. Could you again just restate it more clearly, the 18 19 question?

20 MR. BROWN: All right.

21 CHAIRPERSON HILL: To Ms. Zeilinger. I 22 understand in general I think, where you were going 23 but I'm not --

24 MR. BROWN: I think Ms. Zeilinger understands 25 exactly where I'm going. The question is very simple.

OLENDER REPORTING, INC. 1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington,D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376 1 When these applications were filed with the 2 BZA, Case 19450 and Case 19452, the timing on the 3 filing of those applications had nothing to do with 4 the prospect that there might be citizen opposition to 5 those cases.

MS. ZEILINGER: That's correct.

MR. BROWN: Thank you.

6

7

16

I mean, we've been working the 8 MS. ZEILINGER: entire time in ordered to meaningfully address any 9 concerns that citizens have brought before us in the 10 11 very robust engagement process that we have testified 12 to, and that it has certainly not been our strategy to proceed without concern in trying to address. But 13 we've, within the timeline that was set out before 14 ourselves, worked to accommodate both. 15

MR. BROWN: I have nothing further.

17 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. All right. So then, Okay. So, I guess the Board doesn't have let's see. 18 19 any more questions of the applicant or the party in opposition. As was the last case, I quess we would 20 like to -- I would like to ask for closing statements 21 22 by the applicant, and also by the party in opposition be written so that we can submit it and that fashion. 23 Also, as the party and opposition had 24 indicated earlier, or had indicated their possible 25

OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington, D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376

request for it, but we are going to have findings of 1 facts and conclusion of law from both parties. 2 The, let's see. I think there was a request from 3 Commissioner Miller for some photographs, I think, 4 from -- and if you can -- different angles. And I 5 think that the applicant understands what those 6 photographs are to be ask about. Yes? And then where 7 is -- is Ms. Campbell gone already? Did she -- okay. 8 Yeah, it was photographs from Ms. 9 MR. MILLER: Campbell. 10

11 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay.

MR. MILLER: Or, and any renderings, additional renderings that the applicant's architect could provide that just shows the building in context with the 17th Street houses across the street.

Okay. So, I'm going to let 16 CHAIRPERSON HILL: 17 the applicant supply the renderings that Commissioner Miller is speaking of. And then, Ms. Campbell, I'm 18 19 going to leave the record open for some photographs from you. Okay? For the angles that you were 20 interested in. And also, there is a map that had been 21 22 requested from Mr. Hart, indicating the different -the locations from the testimony of Mr. Powers. 23 Sorry. Curlin. Sorry, thank you, Mr. Curlin. And 24 then also, actually, I'm going to let the applicant 25

OLENDER REPORTING, INC. 1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington, D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376 1 also submit their own map if they'd like to, to the 2 same -- you know, if you do want to submit a map 3 you're welcome to.

4 You're shaking your head no, so I guess that 5 means you don't want to submit a map, which is fine 6 with me.

MS. MAZO: We may. I don't know.
CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay, so, I'm leaving the
record open for you if you want to. You can both
submit your own maps and we'll take a look at either;
at both maps.

12 Then, let's see. Was there anything else?13 MR. BROWN: Mr. Chairman.

14 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yes, Mr. Brown?

MR. BROWN: With regard to leaving the record open for time, I believe that there is also testimony of the prospect for -- due to a power outage, some few additional letters from community residents. And also, the possibility that there might be some definitive resolution to the question of a resolution from the ANC.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Well, I'll let the Board make comment on this. And I don't mean to be light about this, but we have a lot of information that's already in the record, that's been in the record. And

OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington, D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376 1 if the power was out last night, then I don't feel 2 terribly bad for the people that didn't submit their 3 information last night, because it should have been 4 submitted way earlier than that.

However, the ANC is available to submit 5 anything they'd like. So, I am going to close the 6 record other than the request that the Board has asked 7 for, as well as the ANC if they want to submit 8 anything. Does the Board have any additional comments 9 on that? I'm seeing everyone -- oh, sorry, Mr. Hart. 10 11 MR. HART: Mr. Chair, yeah. Just one piece of 12 -- I just want to make sure that we're -- we kind of finalize this. I wasn't sure if we did. 13

There was a question about the shadow study, and so the matter-of-right aspect of that, if we could just make sure that we get that?

MS. MAZO: Sure. Yeah, no. And we'll show a matter-of-right -- sorry, a shadow study that incorporates all the matter-of-right structural massing requirements.

21 MR. HART: Thank you.

22 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. And I am actually 23 just for my own clarification again, the matter-of-24 right, the IZ, you know, so just being a --

MS. MAZO: It would be for the matter-of-right

OLENDER REPORTING, INC. 1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington,D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376

IZ, which is a 3.0 FAR and a 75 percent lot occupancy. 1 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. All right. And then 2 the timing, Mr. Moy, we're going to try to do this the 3 same as the previous one, which as I recall there was 4 -- the ANC, it's not the same one. When does you 5 all's ANC meet? Does anyone know when the ANC meets? 6 7 MS. MAZO: I think it's -- oh, I don't know. CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. I'm sorry. I can't 8 -- you'd have to come step into the microphone if you 9 want to submit testimony. 10 11 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Typically, the third 12 week of the month. So, we could ask them to have an emergency meeting, is what that would require. 13 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: [Speaking off 14 microphone.] 15 16 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Okay. Yeah. 17 CHAIRPERSON HILL: So, could you please repeat March 22nd for me? 18 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: March 22nd. 19 20 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Thank you. MS. MAZO: We're -- that's the day of the 21 22 hearing. MS. MOLDENHAUER: Based on the -- yeah. 23 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Pardon me? 24 That's the day of the decision, 25 MS. MAZO: OLENDER REPORTING, INC. 1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington, D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376

1 March 22nd.

2 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Oh, our decision. Right.3 Okay.

4 MS. MOLDENHAUER: If you follow the schedule 5 from the prior case.

6 CHAIRPERSON HILL: So, let me think. How am I 7 going to do this? Let's just see what we can get from 8 the ANC before the meeting, if they have anything else 9 to add to the record. I'm going to leave the record 10 open for the ANC. I'm not going to wait until the 11 meeting, so -- because we're doing our decision on the 12 22nd, as the same time as the previous case.

Okay. All right. So, Mr. Moy, what dates do we have now for submissions, and then when we're back here?

MR. MOY: Yes. Working backwards, then as in the previous case, the Board's decision on Wednesday, March 22nd; filings from all parties, Friday, March 19 17th.

MS. MOLDENHAUER: And I believe last time we had the record close for supplemental documents on March 10th.

23 MR. MOY: Uh-huh.

MS. MOLDENHAUER: I know you're getting there. Sorry.

OLENDER REPORTING, INC. 1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington,D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376 1 CHAIRPERSON HILL: That's all right. I 2 somewhat appreciate all the help I can as long as it's 3 tempered.

4 MR. BROWN: Mr. Chairman.

5 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yes, Mr. Butler. Mr. 6 Brown.

7 MR. BROWN: If I could borrow a line from 8 Ronald Regan? I'd hate to disable and prejudice my 9 able, young, and dual attorneys on the other side of 10 this case by having to submit findings and conclusions 11 on two cases on the same day. Probably be a lot 12 easier on them if it could be done another week.

MS. MOLDENHAUER: We would be more than happy to do it on the same day, as we have already following where we responded to your -- you filed two prehearing statements, you filed --

17 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. That's okay. That's 18 all right. Okay. So, we're just --

MR. BROWN: Perhaps I was being too subtle.CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay.

21 MR. BROWN: I was really talking about the 22 older person involved.

23 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay, right. You.

24 MR. BROWN: In this case.

25 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. So, just let me try

OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington, D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376

for you? 3 MR. BROWN: At least another week. 4 MS. MOLDENHAUER: I mean, can we move forward 5 on findings of fact? 6 7 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Can we just give me a second? Give me a second. 8 Okay. So, the date of submission, Ms. Mazo, 9 findings of facts you were trying to get at? 10 MS. MAZO: My one suggestion is that we could 11 12 advance the date of the filing of fact. Sorry, advance the date of the finding of fact and 13 conclusionary statements in this case to a date 14 earlier than March 17th. We could potentially do it 15 March 12th. March 13th? 16 17 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Mr. Brown, I'm going to let 18 vou --MS. MAZO: March 13th? 19 CHAIRPERSON HILL: -- also chime in here, but 20 I'm just letting you know, we're getting to the 22nd. 21

to figure this out. So, the 22nd. When would be

another date? I'm lost. What date, Mr. Brown, works

1

2

That's when we're going to make our decision here. So, as far as like when you guys want to submit before that. So, does March 17th work for you? MR. BROWN: Well, I managed to get both of the

OLENDER REPORTING, INC. 1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington, D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376 prehearing statements in on the same day. I'll do my
 best.

3 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. So, Mr. Moy, did you 4 follow that?

5 MR. MOY: It sounds like we rest back to the 6 original dates. Right?

7 CHAIRPERSON HILL: So, could you repeat that 8 for me, because there's something distracting me 9 from --

10 MR. MOY: Yeah.

11 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Below.

12 MR. MOY: I'm hearing things too. Okay. Decision, March 22nd; filings as cited for Friday, 13 March 17th; and for the -- from the applicant to 14 submit their, going to call it information, would be 15 by same day, March 10th? Or was that earlier? 16 MS. MAZO: March 10th works for us. 17 Then, those are the same MR. MOY: Okay. 18 19 dates.

20 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. All right. Well, 21 then the ANC can submit all the way up until the 21st, 22 but you all are meeting supposedly on the 22nd, so you 23 guys got to talk to your ANC, then. Okay? I'll leave 24 it open for the ANC until the 21st. And then, just so 25 I got kind of confused.

OLENDER REPORTING, INC. 1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington, D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376

And then when are the color photographs coming in, Mr. Moy? That would be on the 10th? MR. MOY: Yes. CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yeah. Okay. All right. Ms. Campbell, you got that? Okay. 10th. All right. Okay. Well, thank you very much and I hope you all get home safe. [Whereupon, the Public Hearing of 19452 concluded.] OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

1100 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 810 Washington, D.C.20036 Washington: (202) 898-1108 / Baltimore: (410) 752-3376 Toll Free: (888) 445-3376